



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mid-Atlantic Region

CLIMATE ADAPTATION IMPLEMENTATION PLAN



To the extent this document mentions or discusses statutory or regulatory authority, it does so for informational purposes only. This document does not substitute for those statutes or regulations, and readers should consult the statutes or regulations to learn what they require. Neither this document, nor any part of it, is itself a rule or a regulation. Thus, it cannot change or impose legally binding requirements on EPA, States, the public, or the regulated community. Further, any expressed intention, suggestion or recommendation does not impose any legally binding requirements on EPA, States, tribes, the public, or the regulated community. Agency decision makers remain free to exercise their discretion in choosing to implement the actions described in this Plan. Such implementation is contingent upon availability of resources and is subject to change.

EPA Publication Number: 903B22001

Contents

Preface	6
EPA Mid-Atlantic Regional Administrator’s Statement on Climate Change Adaptation.....	8
Acknowledgements	9
CHAPTER 1: Introduction.....	10
CHAPTER 2: Regional Programmatic Vulnerability Assessment	14
Background.....	14
Regional Description.....	14
Climate Trends.....	15
<i>Temperature</i>	15
<i>Precipitation</i>	15
<i>Drought</i>	15
<i>Sea-Level Rise</i>	15
Sectoral Impacts	16
<i>Health Concerns</i>	16
<i>Natural Systems</i>	16
<i>Coastal Systems</i>	17
<i>Agriculture</i>	17
<i>Urban Environments</i>	17
<i>Reducing Risks</i>	17
<i>Selected Programmatic Climate Change Vulnerabilities</i>	18
Ensure Clean and Healthy Air for All Communities	18
<i>Increased tropospheric ozone</i>	18
<i>Altered effects on the stratospheric ozone layer</i>	18
<i>Air Quality affected by changes in the frequency or intensity of wildfires</i>	19
<i>Increased exposure to indoor air problems</i>	19
<i>Impacts to energy production and efficiency</i>	20
<i>Extreme weather events may impact air monitoring systems</i>	21
<i>Interactions of sulfur, nitrogen, and mercury deposition within ecosystems</i>	21
<i>Other Impacts</i>	21
Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities	21
<i>Water and energy infrastructure</i>	21
<i>Water quality impacts from climate change</i>	22
<i>Flooding from increasingly frequent intense storm events and sea-level rise</i>	23
<i>Changes to aquatic ecosystems and the composition and distribution of species</i>	24
<i>Robust science and data to support decision-making</i>	25
Safeguard and Revitalize Communities.....	25
<i>Restoring and Preserving Land</i>	25
<i>Sustainable Materials Management</i>	26
Ensure Safety of Chemicals for People and the Environment.....	27
<i>Use of Toxic chemicals</i>	27
<i>Storage of Toxic Chemicals</i>	27
<i>Exposure to Toxic Chemicals from Demolition/Renovation Activities:</i>	27

Enforce Environmental Laws and Ensure Compliance	27
EPA Region III Managed Facilities and Operations	28
<i>Philadelphia Office located at 4 Penn Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania</i>	29
<i>Environmental Science Center (ESC) located at 701 Mapes Road, Fort Meade, Maryland</i>	29
<i>Wheeling Field Office located at 1060 Chapline Street, Wheeling, West Virginia</i>	29
<i>Chesapeake Bay Program Office located at 1750 Forest Drive, Annapolis, Maryland</i>	30
CHAPTER 3: Priority Actions	31
<i>Priority Actions: Fiscal Year 2022</i>	35
1. Deploy the EPA Region III Climate National Priorities List (NPL) Flooding and Vulnerability Tool	35
2. Support climate resilient infrastructure	37
3. Build and maintain coastal climate resiliency through Blue Carbon resources	39
4. Engage Region III Tribes in a meaningful dialogue on climate change adaptation and resilience	40
5. Implement the Chesapeake Bay Program Climate Directive	41
<i>Priority Actions: Fiscal Year 2023</i>	43
6. Assess air monitoring vulnerability	43
7. Support Federal Facilities with resilience goals	44
8. Advance understanding and implementation of climate-resilient Best Management Practices (BMPs)	45
CHAPTER 4: Ensure Clean and Healthy Air for All Communities	47
Air-related Programmatic Vulnerability Assessment	47
Opportunities for Climate Action	47
<i>Community Engagement</i>	47
<i>Grants and other Financial Assistance</i>	49
<i>Within Air and Radiation Division</i>	49
<i>Air Quality and Adaptation</i>	50
9. Engage with communities to address health impacts after adverse events	51
10. Engage climate vulnerable EJ communities	52
11. Review vulnerability of agency and regulated community facilities located in low-lying areas	53
CHAPTER 5: Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities	54
Water Division	54
Chesapeake Bay Program Office	55
Water-related Programmatic Vulnerability Assessment	56
Existing Climate Work	57
<i>Water and Energy Infrastructure</i>	57
<i>Water Quality Impacts from Climate Change</i>	58
<i>Flooding from Increasingly Frequent Intense Storm Events and Sea-Level Rise</i>	58
<i>Changes to Aquatic Ecosystems and the Composition and Distribution of Species</i>	59
Opportunities for Climate Action	59
12. Focus protection and restoration actions to create and maintain resilient watersheds and ecosystems	61
13. Develop EPA Region III-specific “Standard Climate Modules” for each Water Division work unit	63
14. Convene a “Watershed Partnerships Workshop” to address climate adaptation at the watershed scale	64
15. Update the Sea-level-rise Exploration and Assessment (SEA) decision support tool	66
16. Promote successful climate adaptation case studies in EPA’s Adaptation Resource Center (ARC-X) tool	67

17. Apply the Adaptation Design Tool to climate-smart permitting.....	68
18. Finalize the Region III Disaster Mitigation Implementation Strategy.	69
CHAPTER 6: Safeguard and Revitalize Communities	70
Superfund and Emergency Management Division	70
Land, Chemicals, and Redevelopment Division	70
Opportunities for Climate Action	71
19. Consider climate vulnerabilities at Superfund sites.....	72
20. Engage Superfund communities on climate.	74
21. Prioritize Long Term Stewardship (LTS) assessments for RCRA corrective action facilities located in floodplains.	75
22. Build climate adaptation into Brownfields grants.....	76
23. Apply Sustainable Materials Management (SMM) to agricultural practices.....	77
CHAPTER 7: Ensure Safety of Chemicals for People and the Environment	78
Opportunities for Climate Action	78
24. Incorporate climate considerations into Pollution Prevention (P2) Program grants.	79
25. Protect honeybee pollinators using Integrated Pest Management.	80
26. Evaluate the impact of unusual weather events on pesticide “spray drift.”	81
CHAPTER 8: Enforce Environmental Laws and Ensure Compliance.....	82
Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division & Office of Regional Counsel.....	82
Opportunities for Climate Action	83
<i>Leveraging Targeting, Data and Tools to Integrate Adaptation</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>Incorporating Adaptation into Resolution of Enforcement Actions</i>	<i>84</i>
27. Use EJ Screen as an enforcement inspection targeting tool.	85
28. Increase use of technology to survey large areas to identify areas of focus.....	86
29. Prioritize inspections of facilities with potential climate adaptation and EJ impacts through the landfill targeting initiative.	87
30. Identify reasons for relocation of facilities.	88
CHAPTER 9: Leveraging and Utilizing Science for Climate-resilient Decision Making.....	89
Applied Science	89
Collaboration to Maximize Knowledge Production	89
Opportunities for Climate Action	90
Summary of Key Actions:.....	92
CHAPTER 10: Developing Climate Leaders for Tomorrow	93
Education and Capacity Development.....	93
Recruiting and Sustaining Climate Leadership.....	95
External Engagement on Education and Capacity Building	95
31. Assess resources and curricula for capacity development.....	97
32. Develop a recruitment and retention strategy to build climate expertise and leadership in the EPA Region III workforce.....	98
33. Build external capacity.	99

CHAPTER 11 Communication and Engagement Strategy	100
Working together	100
Listening to Vulnerable Communities	100
Top-down and Bottom-up Engagement	101
34. Develop and update a targeted outreach and engagement workplan.	103
35. Create a toolkit of communication and engagement resources.	104
CHAPTER 12: EPA Region III Managed Facilities & Operations.....	105
Out-Year Planning.....	106
Future Considerations	106
References.....	107
End Matter	110

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 12 2022



DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR

Preface

Climate change is threatening communities across the nation. Millions of Americans feel the destructive effects of climate change each year when the power goes down, rivers and lakes go dry, homes are destroyed by wildfires and communities are flooded by hurricanes. Underserved communities are especially vulnerable to the climate crisis and are more likely to experience the negative health and environmental effects of extreme weather events.

The Biden-Harris Administration is actively confronting the climate crisis while also advancing environmental justice. As part of a whole-of-government approach, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is strongly committed to taking the actions necessary to protect human health and the environment and to increase the resilience of the entire nation, even as the climate changes.

The EPA's commitment to action is reflected in its FY 2022-2024 Strategic Plan and in the 2021 Climate Adaptation Action Plan. Both documents present priority actions the agency will take to ensure that its programs, policies and operations remain effective under future climate conditions while we work to support states, territories, tribes and communities in increasing their own adaptive capacity and resilience to climate change impacts.

From flooding at Superfund sites, to wildfires causing air pollution, to sea-level rise affecting water quality and infrastructure, the EPA will boldly address climate impacts in both its programs and the communities it serves. We recognize the importance of tribal, state and local government partnerships in efficient, effective and equitable implementation of climate change adaptation strategies. Our plans were informed and improved by input we received in listening sessions we held to engage these and other partners as we developed these plans.

To ensure we are addressing the climate crisis in a comprehensive way, each of our national program and regional offices has developed individual Climate Adaptation Implementation Plans that outline how the EPA will attain the agencywide goals described in the broader Climate Adaptation Action Plan. These plans describe how programs and regions will integrate climate adaptation into their programs, partnerships and operations. They also describe how they will help partners build their resilience and capacity to adapt, while delivering co-benefits, including curbing greenhouse-gas emissions and other pollution, and

promoting public health, economic growth and climate justice. Of course, the EPA has a major role to play on emissions reductions as well, though that is not the focus of these plans. Indeed, we must focus on both climate adaptation and mitigation to ensure our nation and communities thrive in an era of climate change.

As part of this effort, we will empower our staff and partners by increasing awareness of how climate change may affect our collective ability to implement effective and resilient programs. We will also provide them with the necessary training, tools, data, information and technical support to make informed decisions and integrate climate adaptation into our work.

The EPA will work to modernize its financial assistance programs to encourage climate-resilient investments across the nation. We will also focus on ensuring that investments funded by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, the Inflation Reduction Act and other government programs are resilient to the impacts of climate change. Finally, as our knowledge advances and as impacts continue to develop, our response will likewise evolve. We will work to share these developments to enhance the collective resilience of our nation.

The actions outlined in these implementation plans reflect the EPA's commitment to build every community's capacity to anticipate, prepare for, adapt to and recover from the increasingly destructive impacts of climate change. Together with our partners, we will work to create a healthy and prosperous nation that is resilient to the ever-increasing impacts of climate change — which is vital to the EPA's goal of protecting human health and the environment and to ensuring the long-term success of our nation.



Janet G. McCabe

EPA Mid-Atlantic Regional Administrator's Statement on Climate Change Adaptation

Like many of you, I recall learning about greenhouse gasses in elementary school – a time when shoveling the driveway for snow was a common winter chore and flooding was as rare as an east coast earthquake. Without a doubt, times have changed for us in the Mid-Atlantic Region, as it has for virtually every area of our world.

Some of EPA's 10 regions are experiencing too little water, leading to utility shortages, crop failure, and wildfires. Here in Region III, we have the opposite problem... too much water. Increases in extreme precipitation events can cause flooding that leads to destruction of property, devastation of livelihoods, and loss of life.

Recent news reports from the last year tell the tale: destructive floods in West Virginia and Philadelphia; tornados in suburban Maryland; saltwater intrusion contaminating drinking water throughout Delmarva; shifts in temperatures, rainfall, pests, and diseases affecting crop yields in Pennsylvania; temperatures in our cities making summer days not just unbearable, but unsafe; sea level rise eroding Delaware beaches, swallowing the Chesapeake's historic inhabited islands, and undermining the Navy's ability to conduct and support operations in Virginia, the largest naval base in the world.

The climate we had been accustomed to is no longer a reliable guide for what to expect in the future. And so, we are stepping up to do our part to minimize the causes and safeguard our people and environment to its impacts, with a special emphasis on our most vulnerable communities.

This Climate Adaptation Implementation Plan serves as Region III's response to President Biden's [Executive Order 14008, "Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad,"](#) and Administrator Regan's direction in [EPA's National Climate Adaptation Action Plan](#). Our plan incorporates climate adaptation into everything we do at EPA while at the same time working to reduce greenhouse-gas emissions.

The plan has five overarching goals that guide our actions: 1) supporting community infrastructure and disaster resilience; 2) improving watershed and ecosystem health; 3) providing training and outreach; 4) developing maps and tools; and 5) seeking innovation for program and decision support.

The plan describes over thirty adaptation actions under these goals including: ensuring municipal drinking water and wastewater facilities can withstand climate impacts; understanding how Superfund clean-ups along our coasts can adapt to more intense storm surges; promoting natural wetlands along rivers and bays to protect from surges and store carbon; and working with our partners to protect the Chesapeake Bay.

Above all, our plan is intended to be outcome and action oriented. We will track progress on the actions described in this plan and work closely with our partners to increase climate resilience for all. We invite you to join us in this effort, as we are all in this together.



Adam Ortiz

Regional Administrator

Acknowledgements

This document was prepared by the EPA Region III Climate Collaborative and its members:

Climate Collaborative Steering Committee Members & Coordinators

Leslie Gillespie-Marthaler, *Senior Career Official/Coordinator**

Matt Konfirst, *Deputy Senior Career Official/Coordinator**

Cathy Magliocchetti, *Deputy Senior Career Official/Coordinator**

Dave Campbell, *Steering Committee**

Stacie Driscoll, *Steering Committee*

Mike Dunn, *Steering Committee**

Cristina Fernandez, *Steering Committee*

Karen Melvin, *Steering Committee*

Laura Mohollen, *Steering Committee**

Chad Nitsch, *Steering Committee*

Alison Riley, *Coordinator**

Bo Williams, *Coordinator**

Climate Collaborative Members

Emily Bertram

Alice Chow

Garth Connor

Katie Davis

Paul Entwistle

Carol Febbo

Megan Fitzgerald

Megan Goold

Kristen Hall*

Walter Higgins

Amy Johansen

Bill Jenkins*

Cathleen Kennedy*

Kathrina Konfirst

Paula Krall

Andrew Kreider*

Kelly Krock

Su Ly

Clinton McCrowey

Serena Nicols

Nathaniel O'Neal

Elizabeth Ottinger

Hunter Pates

Samantha Rachko

Brian Rehn

Aviva Reinfeld*

Maryanne Ruiz

Gail Scott

Kelly Shenk

Andrew Taylor*

Lisa Trakis*

Virginia Vassalotti

Ken Virtue*

Donna Weiss*

Erin Willard*

Patti Kay Wisniewski

Kyle Zieba*

**Denotes chapter lead*

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

Climate change is here. According to the U.S. Global Change Research Program, the Earth's climate is warming faster than at any point in the history of modern civilization, primarily because of emissions of heat-trapping greenhouse gases from fossil fuel combustion, deforestation, and land-use change. The impacts are on display every day across the nation: sea levels are rising, intense storms are becoming more frequent and extreme temperatures are continuing to break records. Each of these impacts has the potential to harm human health and the livelihoods of our communities, as well as damage critical infrastructure and ecosystems that serve us across the Mid-Atlantic region and the nation.

This document serves as the EPA Region III response to President Biden's [Executive Order 14008, "Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad,"](#) and EPA Administrator Regan's direction to update regional Implementation Plans as stated in the [EPA 2021 Climate Adaptation Action Plan](#). This plan is intentionally designed to align with the [EPA's Strategic Plan \(FY2022-2026\)](#) to enhance EPA Region III's ability to support these strategic goals, and to facilitate our ability to report on progress related to those goals.

The EPA Region III Climate Adaptation Implementation Plan (CAIP) is intended to be a living document that will be updated annually to demonstrate progress toward priority actions designed to increase climate resilience across the region. This plan primarily focuses on adaptation, which means taking actions to prepare for and adjust to both the current and

Definitions
<p>Climate change adaptation or climate adaptation means taking actions to prepare for and adjust to both the current and projected impacts of climate change.</p> <p>Adaptive capacity is the ability of a human or natural system to adjust to climate change (including climate variability and extremes) by moderating potential damages, taking advantage of opportunities, or coping with the consequences.</p> <p>Climate resilience can be generally defined as the capacity of a system to maintain function in the face of stresses imposed by climate change and to adapt the system to be better prepared for future climate impacts.</p> <p>Climate change mitigation refers to actions limiting the magnitude and rate of future climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions.</p>

projected impacts of climate change. However, EPA Region III is also engaged in actions to address the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs) in its climate mitigation efforts. These two concepts go hand in hand in combating the effects of climate change. As GHG emissions are lowered, it is hoped that efforts to reduce harmful impacts will be lessened, but until we can achieve significant global reductions in overall atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂), we must plan, prepare, and act to reduce harmful impacts that are already occurring at a record pace.

This plan recognizes that not only are our critical resources and natural ecosystems vulnerable to the effects of climate change, but certain populations and communities can be especially vulnerable to climate impacts. One of the principles guiding

EPA's efforts to integrate climate adaptation into its everyday actions calls for adaptation plans that prioritize people, places, and infrastructure that are most vulnerable to adverse climate impacts.

In late 2021, following President Biden's Executive Orders on climate change and environmental justice, and under the leadership of our Regional Administrator, EPA Region III began an analysis of

available data to identify high-priority environmental justice areas of concern, some of the most environmentally overburdened communities in the Mid-Atlantic. As we initiate a multimedia effort to address existing injustices, we will work with these communities to help increase adaptive capacity and resilience to climate change impacts using existing tools and resources. These efforts will be supplemented through disaster support activities that aim to mitigate impacts from extreme weather events (e.g., Superstorm Sandy) using a community-driven solutions approach.

Effective climate adaptation will be designed and implemented with meaningful involvement from all parts of society. This is what we mean by climate justice. As such, this plan has been shared publicly and has undergone a deliberate coordination and outreach effort to ensure that it incorporates meaningful involvement from all parts of society. As this plan is implemented, EPA

Vulnerable Populations

Children, the elderly, minorities, the poor, persons with underlying medical conditions and disabilities, those with limited access to information, and tribal and indigenous peoples.

Tribal Treaty and Reserved Rights

Under the Constitution, treaties with tribal nations are part of the supreme law of the land. They establish unique sets of rights, benefits and conditions for the treaty-making tribes who ceded millions of acres of their homelands to the United States. In return, tribal nations received recognition of property rights in land and resources as well as federal protections. Tribal treaty rights have the same legal force and effect as federal statutes, and they should be integrated into and given the fullest consideration throughout EPA's collective work. Reserved rights are the rights tribes retain that were not expressly granted to the United States by tribes in treaties. Treaty and reserved rights, including but not limited to the rights to hunt, fish and gather, may be found both on and off reservation lands. Agencies should consider treaty and reserved rights in developing and implementing climate adaptation plans to protect these rights and ensure the Agencies meet their legal and statutory obligations and other mission priorities as we work to combat the climate crisis.

In September 2021, EPA joined 16 other federal agencies in signing a [Memorandum of Understanding](#) (MOU) that committed those parties to identifying and protecting tribal treaty rights early in the decision-making and regulatory processes. Accordingly, EPA will consider and protect treaty and reserved rights in developing and implementing climate adaptation plans through strengthened consultation, additional staff training and annual reporting requirements.

Region III will identify, engage with, and assist the populations and communities most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change.

EPA Region III and its partners are uniquely positioned to take effective climate action through the framework that we already employ to achieve our respective missions: promote, incentivize, and enforce. *Promotion* includes a vast array of voluntary initiatives already exemplified through partnerships across the region. *Incentivization* utilizes our collective resources through both existing and new funding streams such as the [Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act \(IIJA\) \(Public Law 117-58, also known as the “Bipartisan Infrastructure Law”\)](#) and applying climate resilience criteria to those funding resources along with technical assistance to enable and implement climate-smart practices. *Enforcement* involves updating our policies, regulations, and guidance over time to ensure these practices are followed. These approaches are supported by identification of data needs and opportunities for collaboration and coordination with partners as well as efforts to support science and research.

In the chapters that follow, the plan identifies key programmatic vulnerabilities (see [Chapter 2](#)) and actions that will be taken to address the impacts of climate change over time. Priority actions are those that will be elevated for tracking by EPA’s Office of Policy and are identified in [Chapter 3](#). Additional actions have been identified by lead programs and are described in Chapters 4-8. Each of the actions outlined in this plan generally falls under one of the five overarching goals established by EPA Region III as illustrated below.

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA)

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA, or Bipartisan Infrastructure Law [BIL]) is a historic investment in the water infrastructure improvements, pollution cleanup initiatives, and workforce opportunities necessary to transform communities around the country. Much of the federal assistance provided through IIJA will scale up EPA’s existing grant and loan programs, such as the State Revolving Fund Programs and Brownfields Grants. It will also be delivered through the creation of new low-interest financing programs, primarily for tribes and rural or disadvantaged communities. With this significant influx of capital from IIJA, it will be more important than ever for EPA – and our state, tribal, and local partners – to invest in resilient infrastructure projects that withstand climate change for decades to come.

EPA Region III will take steps to ensure the outcomes of infrastructure investments using IIJA funds are resilient to the impacts of climate change. EPA Region III will explore opportunities to integrate climate change considerations into its financial assistance programs in order to expand support for projects that increase climate resilience while delivering co-benefits for public health, the mitigation of greenhouse gases, and the reduction of other pollution. EPA Region III will also provide technical assistance to recipients of IIJA funds to help them make climate smart infrastructure investments.




Figure 1.1 – EPA Region III Climate Adaptation Overarching Goals.

In addition to specific actions that address the impacts of climate change, the plan lays out EPA Region III’s strategies to:

- Integrate science into the foundation of our efforts to combat climate change (see [Chapter 9](#))
- Develop internal climate leaders of tomorrow through training and education (see [Chapter 10](#))
- Engage with our partners to leverage collective efforts and share knowledge (see [Chapter 11](#))

Above all, this plan is intended to be outcome- and action-oriented. EPA Region III will track our progress on priority actions and monitor our ability to work with partners to achieve desired end states. The plan itself and actions contained within will evolve over time to ensure that we focus the Region’s resources where needed and that we deliver on our commitment to increase climate resilience for all as an integral part of our mission to protect human health and the environment in EPA Region III.

CHAPTER 2: Regional Programmatic Vulnerability Assessment

Background

The following is an assessment of the vulnerabilities of selected EPA Region III programs with respect to the impacts of climate change. It builds upon the work presented in Section 4 of [EPA's 2021 Climate Adaptation Action Plan](#), as well as individual Climate Adaptation Implementation Plans developed by EPA National Program Offices, (e.g., Office of Air and Radiation, Office of Water, etc.), and it summarizes vulnerabilities related to the seven goals in EPA's FY 2022-2026 Strategic Plan as they relate to Goal 1: Tackle the Climate Crisis.

This vulnerability assessment also builds upon a previous assessment developed by EPA Region III in 2014. It has been updated for the current Climate Adaptation Implementation Plan to reflect advances in peer-reviewed science (climate impacts) and the professional judgment of regional staff (programmatic impacts). Vulnerability assessment is an ongoing process. This plan will be executed as a living document that will be updated as needed to account for new knowledge, data, and scientific evidence about the impacts of climate change on EPA's mission.

Climate trends in EPA Region III (see [Climate Trends](#)) will have impacts on specific sectors (see [Sectoral Impacts](#)) and EPA programs (see [Selected Programmatic Climate Change Vulnerabilities](#)). Significant climate change impacts that pose a threat to EPA Region III include:




Figure 2.1 – Significant climate change impacts in EPA Region III.

Regional Description

EPA Region III, EPA's Mid-Atlantic region, serves Delaware (DE), the District of Columbia (DC), Maryland (MD), Pennsylvania (PA), Virginia (VA), and West Virginia (WV). The Region is unique in that it straddles two different climate regions, as defined by the U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP)ⁱ – the Northeast (DE, DC, MD, PA and WV) and the Southeast (VA). As a result, EPA Region III is characterized by a varied climate, which includes snowy winters, vibrant autumns, and extreme events (such as nor'easters and heat waves) characteristic of the Northeast, and mild temperatures and high humidity characteristic of the Southeast.

Additionally, the western portions of EPA Region III (sections of western PA and WV) can exhibit climate characteristics similar to USGCRP's Midwest region.

EPA Region III's geography spans a range of landform types, from the Appalachian Mountains through the Piedmont Plateau down to coastal areas, that include tidal rivers, estuaries and barrier islands. The Chesapeake Bay Watershed and the Delaware River Basin include a significant portion of the Region's rivers, coastline and population centers, including large urban areas like Philadelphia, Baltimore and Washington, D.C., which are home to sensitive populations and communities that are particularly vulnerable to the impacts of a changing climate. Outside of urban areas there are streams, wetlands, uplands, and forests with ecological conditions that range from pristine to degraded. Agricultural, industrial, and residential sectors within the region use and impact natural resources within these disparate ecosystems, so climate change can have tangible effects on people's lives and livelihoods.

Certain populations including children, the elderly, minorities, the poor, persons with underlying medical conditions and disabilities, those with limited access to information, and tribal and indigenous populations can be especially vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. Also, certain communities – whether defined by geographic location or other common characteristics – are particularly vulnerable, such as those located in low-lying coastal areas. This plan will seek to address vulnerabilities described below in order to increase the climate resiliency of people, places, ecosystems, and infrastructure that are most vulnerable to adverse climate impacts.

Climate Trends

The following is a summary of the range of climate trends and sectoral impacts that are described for EPA Region III from USGCRP's State Climate Summariesⁱⁱ and the Fourth National Climate Assessmentⁱⁱⁱ.

Temperature - In EPA Region III, average annual temperatures have increased by 1-2°F over the past century (slightly less than 1°F in WV, approximately 1.5°F in VA, more than 1.5°F in MD and DC, approximately 2°F in PA, and more than 2°F in DE). Historically unprecedented warming is projected across the region by the end of the 21st century. The number and intensity of extreme heat events are projected to increase, while extreme cold waves are projected to be less frequent.

Precipitation - In West Virginia, both total annual precipitation and the number of extreme precipitation events have been above average in the 21st century. Pennsylvania has also experienced a large increase in heavy rain events. Across EPA Region III, winter and spring precipitation amounts are projected to increase, as well as the number and intensity of extreme precipitation events, posing an increased risk of flooding.

Drought - Drought is a periodically occurring natural phenomenon across much of the region. Higher temperatures are projected to increase the rate of soil moisture loss during dry spells, resulting in more intense naturally occurring droughts in the future and adverse effects on agriculture.

Sea-Level Rise - Global sea level has risen by about 8 inches since 1880 and is projected to rise another 1 to 4 feet by 2100. Sea-level rise along the EPA Region III coastline has been much higher than the global rate. For example, the coast of Delaware has seen sea-level rise at a rate of more

than one foot per century. Sea-level rise will increase the frequency, extent, and severity of coastal flooding, with the potential for significant environmental and economic impacts, including shoreline erosion and damage to property and infrastructure.

Sectoral Impacts

Health Concerns - Changing climate threatens the health and well-being of people in the Northeast through more extreme weather, warmer temperatures, degradation of air and water quality, and sea-level rise. These environmental changes are expected to lead to health-related impacts and costs, including additional deaths, emergency room visits and hospitalizations, and a lower quality of life. Health impacts are expected to vary by location, age, current health, and other characteristics of individuals and communities.

Rural communities are integral to the Southeast's cultural heritage and to the strong agricultural and forest products industries across the region. More frequent extreme heat episodes and changing seasonal climates are projected to increase exposure-linked health impacts and economic vulnerabilities in the agricultural, timber, and manufacturing sectors. By the end of the century, over 500 million labor hours could be lost from extreme heat-related impacts. Such changes would negatively impact the region's labor-intensive agricultural industry and compound existing social stresses in rural areas related to limited local community capabilities and associated with rural demography, occupations, earnings, literacy, and poverty incidence. Reduction of existing stresses on these communities is expected to increase their resilience.

Urban population centers in proximity to local water bodies face a host of impacts. More frequent and intense precipitation events that result in flooding may lead to an increase in adverse indoor air quality – and associated health impacts – from mold and mildew. Sea-level rise in more populated areas will impact the residential, commercial and industrial sectors whose homes, businesses and facilities lie alongside rivers and streams, leading not only to economic disruption but to contamination of drinking water, indoor air quality degradation and other health impacts. The increase in ground-level air pollution and the heat island effect will exacerbate the health challenges faced by populations already struggling with asthma and related respiratory diseases.

Natural Systems - The seasonality of the Northeast is central to the region's sense of place and is an important driver of rural economies. Less distinct seasons with milder winters and earlier spring conditions combined with more frequent flooding events are already altering ecosystems and environments in ways that adversely impact tourism, farming, forestry, biodiversity, and culturally important landscapes. The region's rural industries and livelihoods are at risk from further changes to forests, wildlife, snowpack, and streamflow.

The Southeast's diverse natural systems, which provide many benefits to society, will be transformed by climate change. Changing winter temperature extremes, wildfire patterns, sea levels, hurricanes, floods, droughts, and warming ocean temperatures are expected to redistribute species and greatly modify ecosystems. As a result, the ecological resources that people depend on for livelihood, protection, and well-being are increasingly at risk, and future generations can expect to experience and interact with natural systems that are much different than those that we see today, including through changes to the distribution of medicinal and culturally important plants.

Coastal Systems - The Northeast's coast and ocean support commerce, tourism, and recreation that are important to the region's economy and way of life. Warmer ocean temperatures, sea-level rise, and ocean acidification threaten these services. The adaptive capacity of marine ecosystems and coastal communities will influence ecological and socioeconomic outcomes as climate risks increase.

The Southeast's coastal plain and inland low-lying regions support a rapidly growing population, a tourism economy, critical industries, and important cultural resources that are highly vulnerable to climate change impacts. The combined effects of changing extreme rainfall events and sea-level rise are already increasing flood frequencies, which impacts property values and infrastructure viability, particularly in coastal cities. Without significant adaptation measures, these regions are projected to experience daily high tide flooding by the end of the century.

Agriculture - Climate change threatens agricultural productivity through changes in temperature and precipitation patterns, increased pest and disease pressures, decline in pollinator health, reduced crop and forage quantity and quality, and infrastructure damage. Agricultural productivity is additionally threatened by impacts to water supply and increased frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, which can cause increased soil erosion and threats to soil health.

Climate change is projected to impact crop production by reducing both quantity and quality of yields, altering optimal growing season periods, and increasing likelihood of crop failure and damage. Similarly, livestock production will be impacted by reducing the quantity and quality of pasture and forage, lowering the yield of feed grain, affecting livestock health, and fostering the spread and resilience of pathogens and parasites that affect livestock development. These impacts on food production impact farmers and ranchers and the communities they serve.^{iv}

Urban Environments - Urban residents face disproportionate exposure to cumulative risks, lack of open and green space, inadequate access to transportation, as well as high rates of asthma and childhood lead poisoning. The Northeast's urban centers and their interconnections are regional and national hubs for cultural and economic activity. Major negative impacts on critical infrastructure, urban economies, and nationally significant historic sites are already occurring and will become more common with a changing climate.

Many southeastern cities are particularly vulnerable to climate change compared to cities in other regions, with expected impacts to infrastructure and human health. The vibrancy and viability of these metropolitan areas, including the people and critical regional resources located in them, are increasingly at risk due to heat, flooding, and vector-borne disease brought about by a changing climate. Many of these urban areas are rapidly growing and offer opportunities to adopt effective adaptation efforts to prevent future negative impacts of climate change.

Reducing Risks - Many communities are proactively planning and implementing actions to reduce risks posed by climate change. Using decision support tools to develop and apply adaptation strategies informs both the value of adopting solutions and the remaining challenges. Experience gained through project implementation provides a foundation to advance future adaptation efforts. Furthermore, today's emissions choices could generate starkly different climate futures by mid-century and beyond; the higher the emissions today, the greater the climatic changes and

resulting impacts tomorrow. **Reducing greenhouse gas emissions now reduces the need for climate adaptation measures in the future.**

Selected Programmatic Climate Change Vulnerabilities

The following section discusses how EPA Region III environmental and human health programs may be vulnerable when faced with the impacts of a changing climate. This selection of programmatic vulnerabilities will be described in the context of the major goals in EPA's 2022-2026 Strategic Plan. The issues described here do not reflect a complete listing of vulnerabilities to EPA programs. EPA Region III, working with other EPA offices and regional stakeholders, will periodically update the information and scope of the programmatic vulnerability assessment.

Ensure Clean and Healthy Air for All Communities

Increased tropospheric ozone

The Mid-Atlantic Region currently has three nonattainment areas for the 2015 ozone standard, affecting more than 12 million residents. Climate change, higher temperatures and weaker air circulation in the United States will lead to more ozone formation, even if emissions of ozone-forming chemicals stay constant.^v Recent research indicates that climate change could result in modeled increases in ozone concentrations of up to 2–4 ppb by 2050 and 5–8 ppb by 2095, relative to the historical periods.^{vi} In addition to the direct impact of temperature change on ozone formation, an increase in energy demand due to higher average temperatures may also lead to a worsening of air quality. Sources within or upwind of the region may be required to implement additional control measures.

In terms of regional resources, greater collaboration with our states will be necessary on planning and rule development to address any additional challenges in achieving or maintaining attainment. All three nonattainment areas in the Mid-Atlantic Region are urban areas with sensitive populations: Philadelphia, Washington D.C., and Baltimore. Higher nighttime temperatures projected in urban areas, as a consequence of both climate change and enhanced effects from urban heat islands, will likely exacerbate the health impacts from ozone pollution on urban populations.^{vii}

Climate change also has the potential to increase the length of the ozone season.^{viii} Currently, the ozone season runs from April through October. During this period, daily ozone levels are recorded and reviewed. An increase in the length of the ozone season would require a longer reporting period, translating to more time spent for data reviews in the Region. Changes in weather patterns that cause inversions may also play a role in increasing the number of ozone days. Although ozone is most likely to reach unhealthy levels on hot sunny days in urban environments, it can still reach high levels during colder months. Ozone can also be transported long distances by wind, so even rural areas can experience high ozone levels.

Altered effects on the stratospheric ozone layer

Climate change will likely have effects on the stratospheric ozone layer; however, the interactions between the changing climate and ozone layer are complex. Climate change affects the ozone layer through changes in chemical transport, atmospheric composition and temperature. In turn, changes in stratospheric ozone can have implications for weather and climate in the troposphere.

Climate change may exacerbate the health effects of ozone layer damage at some latitudes and mitigate them at others.^{ix}

In order to build adaptive capacity with respect to this vulnerability, EPA Region III may need to heighten public awareness of the health risks of ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure, through existing EPA partnership programs such as SunWise®. Climate change may also lead to an increase in the use of cooling devices, such as air conditioners, which contain ozone depleting substances (ODSs) or ODS substitutes. As a result, EPA Region III may need to make changes to its current efforts to promote programs such as GreenChill® and Responsible Appliance Disposal in the Mid-Atlantic.

Air Quality affected by changes in the frequency or intensity of wildfires

In the Mid-Atlantic region, there is currently one moderate nonattainment area for the 2012 Particulate Matter (PM)_{2.5} standard in Allegheny County, PA. While the impact of climate change on ambient PM_{2.5} levels remains somewhat uncertain, there is evidence indicating that climate change will impact PM levels through changes in the frequency or intensity of wildfires.^x

For example, in 2008, monitors in the Norfolk area of Virginia experienced 24-hour PM_{2.5} levels four times (83 ug/m³) the standard due to wildfires in North Carolina. While these fires were not necessarily caused by climate change, this example portrays the impact of fires on PM levels in the Region and is indicative of the potential health and environmental concerns.

In 2021, the National Interagency Fire Center's (NIFC) reported a total of 58,733 wildfires across the country that had burned more than 7.13 million acres, including a series of devastating fires in California over the summer. Altogether, damage from the 2021 Western fires was estimated at \$10.6 billion.

Ozone, too, has the potential to increase as a result of wildfire smoke. For example, one study of a fire that occurred in 2015 connected the event with ozone exceedances in Maryland.^{xi}

In addition, windblown dust from areas affected by drought can diminish air quality. During the winter months, climate change increases the frequency of temperature inversions, which can trap particulate matter, leading to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) exceedances.

Increased exposure to indoor air problems

Existing indoor environmental problems may worsen, and new ones may be introduced, as climate change alters the frequency and severity of adverse outdoor conditions.^{xii}

Extreme temperatures will very likely increase, and heavy precipitation events will likely increase as a result of climate change^{xiii}, which, along with increased dampness, moisture, and flooding affecting homes and occupied buildings may contribute to indoor environmental problems across the Mid-Atlantic.^{xiv}

Frequent breakdowns in a building's protective envelope, as a result of extreme weather conditions, may lead to water infiltration into indoor space, increased dampness, and, in turn, increased exposure to mold and other biological contaminants.^{xv} In addition, much of the housing stock in urban areas in the Mid-Atlantic region is older than many peer cities, with cities like Philadelphia where more than half of all dwellings were built before 1950.^{xvi} Older homes are

prone to mold and mildew issues, and often lack modern climate control systems to help residents cope with both extreme heat and poor indoor air quality.

Residents may weatherize buildings to increase comfort and save energy. Although in general these actions should be encouraged, this may lead to a reduction in ventilation and an increase in indoor environmental pollutants unless measures are taken to preserve or improve indoor air quality.^{xvii} EPA has developed practical guidance for improving or maintaining indoor environmental quality during home energy upgrades or remodeling in single-family homes and schools. EPA's guidance and protocols may need to be revised to include state and local considerations for projected climatic changes. In addition, these programs may need to increase partnerships with other agencies to address training needs and workforce development for building owners, managers, and others, as well as develop new tracking mechanisms to assess the effectiveness of weatherization and remodeling techniques as they relate to indoor environmental quality.

Changes in the emergence, evolution, and geographic ranges of pests, infectious agents, and disease vectors may lead to shifting patterns of indoor exposure to pesticides as occupants and building owners respond to new infestations.^{xviii}

Changes in the climate can also worsen the quality of the air outdoors which infiltrates into indoor environments. Rising carbon dioxide (CO₂) levels and warmer temperatures can increase outdoor airborne allergens which can infiltrate indoor spaces. Warmer temperatures and shifting weather patterns can lead to more frequent and severe wildfires. Smoke and other particle pollution generated outdoors, including from wildfire events and dust storms, can infiltrate into indoor environments and contribute to levels of indoor particulate matter.

The Mid-Atlantic region includes several large urban areas, which are very likely to see increases in the risk of illness and death related to extreme heat and heat waves. For example, in the 1900s, Philadelphia averaged four days per year with temperatures above 95°F, but by the end of the century that number could climb to more than 50 days per year.^{xix} The elderly and those with existing health problems are particularly vulnerable.^{xx} Increased frequency of extreme weather events may result in power outages, leading to increased exposure to potentially dangerous indoor conditions.^{xxi}

EPA Region III may need to build its adaptive capacity to these increasing and changing health risks through its indoor air quality programs, resources, and public outreach and assistance. Partnerships between EPA Region III and stakeholders, such as state/local governments, non-profits, etc., will need to be strengthened in order to inform affected populations about adaptation options related to higher temperatures. Strengthening ties between the Region's energy efficiency and indoor air quality programs will be necessary to address the relationship between building ventilation during efficiency retrofits and potential indoor air problems that may result.

Impacts to energy production and efficiency

Rising temperatures are expected to increase energy requirements for cooling and decrease energy requirements for heating. The former will result in significant increases in electricity use and higher peak demand. The electricity grid itself is also vulnerable to the effects of climate change, such as extreme weather events and peak demand increases resulting from rising

temperatures, which could cause interruptions in the electric power supply.^{xxii} Current models indicate that heat events are likely to intensify peak load on American electrical grids, including the PJM Interconnection, which services all six states in EPA Region III.^{xxiii} The Mid-Atlantic's urban areas and sensitive populations, such as the elderly, are particularly vulnerable to power interruptions during extreme weather events like heat waves.

Extreme weather events may impact air monitoring systems

Extreme weather events, including severe winds, flooding and lightning, could cause damage to the ambient air and RADNET monitoring systems in EPA Region III. Loss of data if monitors are physically inaccessible for long periods of time is also a concern. The Region will need to continue to devote resources to ensuring that monitors can be safely accessed and operated. Changes in meteorology (i.e., increasing temperatures, changes in circulation, inversions) could alter where maximum concentrations occur, thereby affecting air monitoring network adequacy and EPA's ability to effectively model future air quality and provide useful information to the public. As the climate becomes less predictable and more dynamic, EPA's capacity to manage these worsening endpoints will degrade as the likelihood of extreme events increases and predictions become more difficult.

Interactions of sulfur, nitrogen, and mercury deposition within ecosystems

While there is limited scientific evidence on this topic, additional research is underway to better understand how patterns in the atmospheric deposition of sulfur, nitrogen, and mercury with projected changes in the climate and carbon cycle will affect ecosystem growth, species changes, surface water chemistry, and mercury methylation and bioaccumulation.^{xxiv} The potential impacts could have consequences for the effectiveness of ecosystem protection from Agency emissions reduction programs.

Other Impacts

Modeling uncertainty means that it may be difficult if not impossible to anticipate the evolving needs of environmental justice and other vulnerable communities in our region. There will likely be economic impacts on residents and businesses that we cannot currently foresee. EPA will need to develop its own internal capacity, flexibility, and resiliency, to foster more resilient communities.

Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities

Water and energy infrastructure

Deteriorating water infrastructure compounds the climate risk faced by society. Extreme precipitation events are projected to increase in a warming climate and may lead to more severe floods and greater risk of infrastructure failure in some regions. Infrastructure design, operation, financing principles, and regulatory standards typically do not account for a changing climate. Current risk management does not typically consider the impact of compound extremes (co-occurrence of multiple events) and the risk of cascading infrastructure failure.^{xxv} Interdependencies across critical infrastructure sectors such as water, energy, transportation, and telecommunication (and related climate security issues) can lead to cascading failures during extreme weather and climate-related disruptions.^{xxvi}

Much of the infrastructure in the Northeast, including drainage and sewer systems, flood and storm protection assets, transportation systems, and power supply, is nearing the end of its planned life expectancy.^{xxvii} In addition to aging infrastructure, many water systems in the Northeast are also taxed due to population increases and competition among water needs for agriculture, municipal use, recreation, and ecosystems. Extreme precipitation events may exacerbate existing problems in many cities in the Northeast, especially overflows of combined sewer systems. Drinking water and sewer infrastructure is expensive to build and maintain, and climate change may present a new set of challenges for designing upgrades to the nation's drinking water, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure.

Also, a significant fraction of the region's energy infrastructure is located near the densely populated coasts and tide-influenced bays of the Northeast, from power plants to oil refineries, to facilities that receive oil and gas deliveries. Rising sea levels are likely to lead to direct losses, such as equipment damage from flooding or erosion, and indirect effects, such as the costs of raising vulnerable assets to higher levels or building new facilities farther inland. Although nearly 70% of the Northeast coast has some physical ability to dynamically change, an estimated 88% of the Northeast population lives on developed coastal landforms that have limited ability to naturally adapt to sea-level rise.^{xxviii}

In order to make Northeast systems resilient to the kind of extreme climate-related disruptions the region has experienced recently—and the sort of disruptions projected for the future—would require significant new investments in infrastructure.^{xxix}

Water quality impacts from climate change

Projected increases in air temperature and altered precipitation patterns will have direct effects on water quality, including changes to streamflow, water temperature, and saltwater intrusion^{xxx} as well as the response of nutrients, sediment, pathogens and cyanobacterial blooms.^{xxxi} Impacts to water quality are dependent on the interaction between climate-driven changes in the waterbody, basin-specific water management practices and changes to land use. Climate-driven changes include:

- Changes in streamflow, including those caused by fluctuations in groundwater levels in shallow aquifers, that impact the efficiency of pollution removal by microorganisms;
- Saltwater intrusion to rivers and aquifers exacerbated by sea-level rise, storm surges, and altered freshwater runoff in coastal areas^{xxxii};
- Increased heavy precipitation events that drive more frequent pollutant loading to water bodies^{xxxiii};
- Excessive runoff and soil erosion from agricultural cropland, which lead to field production issues and downstream impacts on quality of water resources, including eutrophication and hypoxia;
- Increased risk of algal blooms due to the longer persistence of warm water temperatures combined with episodic increases in nutrient loading^{xxxiv};
- Warmer air and water temperatures increasing the survival of waterborne pathogens.^{xxxv}

A specific Mid-Atlantic water quality concern is saltwater intrusion in the Delaware River. The Delaware River Basin covers over 13,500 square miles and includes a 330-mile-long river and bay that drain portions of New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware. Over 15 million people rely on its water resources for potable, industrial, and agricultural use. Climate-driven changes to air and water temperature, precipitation patterns and sea level can produce negative impacts to water quality, including salinity changes in the river.

The Delaware River Basin Commission monitors the “salt line” location along the tidal Delaware River as it fluctuates in response to changes in stream flows, which either dilute or concentrate chlorides in the river. The salt line location plays an important role in the Delaware River Basin water quality and drought management programs because brackish water moving upstream from the Delaware Bay during low-flow and drought conditions increases sodium chloride concentrations in public water supplies, presenting a public health concern.^{xxxvi} As salt-laced water moves upriver, it also increases corrosion control costs for surface water users, particularly industry, and can raise the treatment costs for public water suppliers. Salinity levels also affect aquatic living resources.

The normal location of the salt line is near the mouth of the Delaware Bay at river mile 67; however, at times it shifts northward. During the summer months of 1999, the salt line moved to river mile 88 and during the 1960’s ‘drought of record’ the salt line reached its farthest recorded upstream location at river mile 102, just 8 miles below important drinking water intakes in Pennsylvania and New Jersey. Sea-level rise creates the potential for more frequent and persistent northward shifts in the salt line.

Flooding from increasingly frequent intense storm events and sea-level rise^{xxxvii}

Since the mid-20th century, the annual number of days with very heavy precipitation has increased more in the Northeastern US than anywhere else in the country, and projections indicate that this trend will continue through the end of the century.^{xxxviii} In EPA Region III, precipitation and associated surface runoff are likely to see the largest increases in the winter and spring seasons. More frequent intense storm events can lead to localized flooding that results in impacts to urban, agricultural, rural and underserved communities. These impacts are exacerbated along the coastline, where sea-level rise compounds the threat of flooding events.

Urban areas are at risk for large numbers of evacuated and displaced populations and damaged infrastructure due to both extreme precipitation events and recurrent flooding, potentially requiring significant emergency response efforts and consideration of a long-term commitment to rebuilding and adaptation. Much of the urban infrastructure in EPA Region III, including drainage and sewer systems, flood and storm protection assets, transportation systems, and power supply, is nearing the end of its planned life expectancy. Climate-related disruptions will only exacerbate existing issues with aging infrastructure.

Flooding can adversely impact agricultural productivity. More intense precipitation events have increased the risk of some types of inland floods, particularly in valleys, where people, infrastructure, and agriculture tend to be concentrated. With little redundancy in their infrastructure and, therefore, limited economic resilience, many rural communities have limited ability to cope with climate-related changes.

Poor, elderly, historically marginalized, recent immigrants, and linguistically or socially isolated individuals as well as those populations with existing health disparities are more vulnerable to precipitation events and flooding due to a limited ability to prepare for and cope with such events.

Sea-level-rise rates in EPA Region III have also led to a doubling or tripling of high-tide flooding events in some places, causing more persistent and frequent (so-called nuisance flooding) impacts over the last few decades. When coupled with storm surges, sea level rise can pose severe risks of flooding, with consequent physical and mental health impacts on coastal populations.

In addition to property and infrastructure impacts, the facilities and cultural resources that support coastal tourism and recreation (such as parking lots, pavilions, and boardwalks), as well as cultural landscapes and historic structures and sites, will be at increased risk from high tide flooding, storm surge, and long-term inundation. In some locations, these culturally and socially important structures also support economic activity; for example, many fishing communities rely on small docks and other shoreside infrastructure for their fishing operations, increasing the risk of substantial disruption if they are lost to sea-level rise and increasing storm frequency. Furthermore, preserving cultural landscapes and mitigating flood hazards to historic structures and sites protects intangible expressions of culture, such as oral traditions, arts, behaviors, ceremonies, practices, knowledge, and traditional techniques.

Changes to aquatic ecosystems and the composition and distribution of species

Aquatic ecosystems include nontidal rivers, streams and wetlands; marine environments; and coastal wetlands. EPA Region III includes the entire Chesapeake Bay, which alone accounts for 11,684 miles of shoreline, a length longer than the entire West Coast of the United States.^{xxxix}

Nontidal rivers, streams and wetlands face a complex array of management challenges and adaptation requirements due to climate change. Changes in precipitation rates and groundwater dynamics will alter hydrology, and water chemistry and temperature will be altered due to atmospheric changes. When combined, these will affect the biological communities within the aquatic ecosystems. Compounding factors that need to be accounted for include changes in terrestrial ecosystems and land cover – for example, the amount, composition, and connectivity of upland forests, floodplains and riparian areas, which will affect the physical and biological integrity of these waters.

Sea-level rise poses a complex array of management challenges and adaptation requirements along the coast. For example, in the Chesapeake Bay relative sea level is projected to rise more than a foot by mid-century and more than two feet by the end of century (under an intermediate-low scenario).^{xl} Subsidence of the land produces sea-level-rise rates that are substantially higher than the global average and among the highest rates in the US outside of Louisiana. The combination of subsidence and sea-level rise threatens portions of cities, inhabited islands, tidal wetlands, and other low-lying regions. Climate change also may affect the volume of the Bay, salinity distribution and circulation, as will changes in precipitation and freshwater runoff. These changes will affect seasonal oxygen depletion and efforts to reduce the agricultural nitrogen runoff into water bodies.

Warmer Chesapeake Bay waters will make survival difficult for northern species such as eelgrass and soft clams, while allowing southern species and invasive species transported in ships' ballast water to move in and change the mix of species that are caught and must be managed. Additionally, more acidic waters resulting from rising carbon dioxide levels will make it difficult for oysters to build their shells and will complicate the recovery of this key species.

Coastal wetlands often migrate landward, disappear, or change in type in response to sea-level rise through accretion. Dense coastal development is often protected by shoreline armoring, which prevents wetland migration and leads to loss of submerged wetlands. Submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) also protects shorelines from erosion, improves water quality, and provides critical habitat for a variety of organisms. Their populations are susceptible to rising water temperatures and water quality changes due to climate change. Coastal wetlands and SAV are essential for providing storm surge buffers, preserving estuarine water quality as well as supporting economically important fish and wildlife habitat. Preserving and restoring coastal wetlands can absorb carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, which has a positive impact on greenhouse gas emissions; wetland loss, by contrast, releases additional carbon into the atmosphere.

Robust science and data to support decision-making

Water temperature, precipitation, and sea level are critical variables in almost everything the Region does in the water program, from setting water quality standards, developing TMDLs, and issuing NPDES permits to helping build drinking water and wastewater treatment infrastructure. Having better data and information on how much and how fast water temperature will increase, how extreme storms may be, and how high and fast sea level will rise will enable EPA Region III to fulfill statutory and regulatory responsibilities. Developing consistent scientific methods and robust datasets to support long-term policy decisions on climate change vulnerability assessments and adaptation planning will help inform these decisions.

Safeguard and Revitalize Communities

Restoring and Preserving Land

Increased flooding and sea-level rise may increase the risk of contaminant releases from vulnerable Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Corrective Action sites, Superfund sites, Brownfield sites, Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) sites, other contaminated sites, and landfills. Flooding from more intense and frequent storms and extreme storm events could affect the migration and management of contaminants. Sea-level rise can lead to inundation and saltwater intrusion which may impact the performance of the remedies and cause the transport of contaminants at sites in coastal areas. Contaminant migration could also occur after prolonged power loss at cleanup sites with pump and treat systems dependent on grid electricity.

Impacts may be most severe for cleanup sites that are not yet completed; however, sites with waste in place following a cleanup and permitted facilities that manage hazardous materials may also be vulnerable. Sites with on-site containment or treatment remedies within the 100- or 500-year floodplain of a surface water body and/or within the sea-level rise zone 1.5 meters above high tide are of particular concern in EPA Region III. Sediment sites with *in situ* capping remedies are vulnerable to flood regime changes and re-suspension and deposition of contaminated

sediment. Flooding from storms and inundation due to sea-level rise could jeopardize land revitalization efforts including renewable energy generation, greener cleanups, and ecological revitalization projects, as well as other site reuse or redevelopment plans at Brownfield sites and completed Superfund Sites.

Increased ambient temperatures and extreme heat may impact the design and operation of remediation systems. Cleanup sites with waste in place phytoremediation, or a vegetative cap may be vulnerable in areas that experience drought or changing plant hardiness zones. Slowed growth rates during heat waves could impact the success of the remedy or revitalization effort, and excessive vegetation loss could lead to erosion. Coastal, stream, and mountain ridgetop habitats are examples of ecosystems in EPA Region III that are vulnerable to increases in ambient temperature.

As storm and flood events increase in frequency and severity, emergency responses to hazardous materials release and oil spills may also increase. Financial constraints and response capacity for Emergency Response staff and Response Support Corps are potential vulnerabilities in EPA Region III. Existing emergency planning and chemical containment strategies at oil and chemical facilities may not be sufficient. Current landfill capacity may also be insufficient to handle surges in disposal of hazardous and municipal wastes generated from extreme storm events. Availability of utilities and transportation infrastructure may be limited as a result of increased impacts to those systems. Power loss and blocked roads can hamper emergency responses.

Potential impacts to permitted RCRA units may occur. Operations such as open burning may be impacted by increased wind or precipitation, preventing scheduled burns from occurring. Impacts on the delay in burning could increase the need for storage capacity (i.e., additional permitted units). Facilities with permitted surface impoundments, who experience flooding events, may be required to activate emergency ponds with extended use, creating potential issues with land disposal regulations and volatile organics. Permitted RCRA piles might also experience challenges with storage that lead to additional controls to maintain sufficient management.

Surface impoundments, landfills, and piles covered under RCRA with coal combustion residuals may also be affected by increased wind, flooding and sea-level rise.

Sustainable Materials Management

Sustainable Materials Management (SMM) includes food waste which composes about 24% of the waste stream going to landfills,^{xli} contributing to substantial greenhouse gas emissions in addition to food insecurity (EJ). As a changing climate will impact agricultural production and output, it will be even more important to prevent wasted food as farms adapt, and to better divert wasted food from landfills. Similarly, other materials like plastics, metals and construction and demolition waste will need to be more sustainably managed to adapt to increasing demands and reducing supply. Disaster debris can also be better diverted from landfill disposal. Potential vulnerabilities include:

- Materials management infrastructure for organics (e.g., composting facilities) in communities may not have been built to be resilient to new and increased risks caused

by a changing climate, resulting in larger quantities of disaster debris during a climate event.

- Solid waste management infrastructure including material recycling facilities might be vulnerable to climate related disruptions, which could affect the disposal or management of waste and recyclable materials (resulting in an accumulation of materials), as well as limiting inputs to products made with recycled material.

Ensure Safety of Chemicals for People and the Environment

Use of Toxic chemicals

A changing climate will likely result in changes in the timing and location of planting crops, which in turn affects the volume and timing of agricultural chemical use. This change in agricultural chemical use could impact risk management decisions made by EPA Pesticides and Toxic Substances Program, particularly with regard to the protection of migrant farm workers.

Changes in temperature and precipitation are expected to lead to increases in mosquitoes and other pests controlled by regulated pesticides. An associated rise in cases of West Nile Virus and other diseases carried by mosquitoes may lead to greater public demand for use of pesticides to control these disease vectors. This may in turn affect the workload of the EPA Pesticides program.

Storage of Toxic Chemicals

Flooding from more frequent intense storms and extreme events could compromise chemical containment strategies at oil facilities and toxic chemical and pesticide storage facilities. Facilities located in coastal areas and/or within the 100- to 500-year floodplain of a surface water body are of concern to EPA Region III. If these facilities do not properly manage the storage of these chemicals and/or store them at higher elevations, the extreme weather events that are expected as a result of climate change may result in the release of toxic chemicals into the environment, including to surface waters via storm water discharges.

Exposure to Toxic Chemicals from Demolition/Renovation Activities:

The extreme weather events that are likely to occur as a result of climate change (e.g., high winds, heavy precipitation events) may damage community infrastructure (e.g., schools and childcare facilities) and residential homes. As a result, there may be an increased risk of exposure to lead, asbestos, and PCBs if buildings are renovated or demolished as part of the recovery efforts.

Enforce Environmental Laws and Ensure Compliance

EPA protects human health and the environment through vigorous and targeted civil and criminal enforcement by conducting inspections and investigations to ensure compliance with environmental laws and regulations. Climate change impacts the manner by which the Region prioritizes enforcement initiatives. It may also impact how EPA allocates resources, and affect the Region's ability to inspect, monitor and ensure compliance with environmental laws; this includes the Region's enforcement powers to address climate vulnerabilities and foster adaptation to changing climatic conditions. For instance, the Region's Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division (ECAD) has the opportunity to address climate change vulnerabilities facing the region by making a concerted effort to incorporate adaptation as a part

of settlement negotiations, mitigation projects, injunctive relief, in compliance discussions, or in other enforcement-related contexts.

Climate change also creates vulnerabilities in the Region's ability to carry out its enforcement and compliance duties, including:

- The increase in intense weather events will lead to an increase in the Region's involvement in disaster response and remediation. This diversion of staff and resources may impact traditional enforcement efforts (as well as other EPA programs).
- Extreme weather events and changes to weather patterns may also contribute to pervasive non-compliance among the regulated community. Examples include an increase in existing infrastructure failures including power outages due to storms or high demand for cooling and heating, wastewater treatment plants experiencing an increase in bypasses due to increased contributions to plants exceeding design capacity.
- Climate change may affect environmental monitoring and sampling in various media that informs the Region's compliance and enforcement work. Heavy precipitation events, floods, severe winds, and tornados have the potential to damage environmental monitoring equipment, and delay or prevent sampling by hindering access to sites. Sea-level rise and coastal flooding may also impact EPA Region III and its partners' long-term sampling locations and may require setting up new sampling sites. Environmental sampling methods and strategies may be compromised and require modifications. Climate change impacts may also introduce new chemicals that were not previously monitored. This may affect the Region's ability to ensure compliance with environmental requirements by regulated entities and take effective enforcement action where there may be violations.
- With an increase in natural disasters and extreme weather events, regulated parties may attempt to invoke force majeure clauses more frequently than before. Force majeure clauses may appear in enforcement agreements such as consent decrees (CD) and can affect CD obligations or timeliness of injunctive relief when an extraordinary event occurs. EPA will need to discuss with regulated entities how to account for and meet obligations despite anticipated extreme weather events.

[EPA Region III Managed Facilities and Operations](#)

Threats from climate change include an increase in extreme temperatures, droughts, intensity of precipitation and ground level ozone pollution, which will affect EPA Region III employees and facilities.

As discussed in more detail in the subsection "[Ensure Clean and Healthy Air for All Communities](#)," climate change may worsen and increase exposure to indoor air quality problems in our buildings from dampness and mold, and expose occupants to different pests, infectious agents and disease vectors, as well as any pesticides applied to address these infestations.

More frequent high-heat days could lead to an increase in heat-related illnesses for our employees, especially older employees and workers doing field work who cannot reduce their

exposure by limiting exertion and time outdoors due to mission requirements. Additionally, hot summer days can worsen air pollution, especially in urban areas, and threaten the health of vulnerable employees. This could increase absenteeism and/or reduce the productivity of our staff.

The increase in frequency and intensity of heavy precipitation events described in the subsection “[Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities](#)” is projected worsen in the future, leading to more frequent flooding and impacts to our road and mass transit systems.

Climate change impacts, including increased severe weather, may also affect the Region’s Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) that describes efforts to prepare and react to issues affecting the operation of our facilities. Unique or site-specific vulnerabilities are described below.

Higher temperatures will likely cause an increase in electricity use and cost in our building to power air conditioning. This increased use could contribute to stress on the power supply grid resulting in brownouts, blackouts and the need to use backup power generators.

Philadelphia Office located at 4 Penn Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

The EPA Region III Headquarters relocated to a new location at 4 Penn Center as of July 2022. Approximately 93% of EPA Region III’s Philadelphia workforce was using mass transit to commute to work pre-pandemic, a trend that is expected to continue as the office is reoccupied post-pandemic. Any disruption to the functioning of this system is a vulnerability that would impact the ability for the workforce to commute to this location. Past examples include a shutdown of mass transit in Philadelphia due to impacts from Hurricane Sandy.

EPA Region III Philadelphia Federal Employee Mass Transit Participation			
Calendar Year	Number of EPA Philadelphia Gov Employees	Number of EPA Philadelphia Gov Mass Transit Participants	Percentage of EPA Philadelphia Gov Mass Transit Participants
2019	628	585	93%

Table 2.1 – EPA Region III Philadelphia federal employee mass transit participation prior to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020.

Past periods of drought in the Delaware watershed have resulted in saltwater intrusion causing concern for the Philadelphia drinking water supply intake on the tidal Delaware River north of the city. Expected sea-level rise from climate change may exacerbate this vulnerability in the future.

Environmental Science Center (ESC) located at 701 Mapes Road, Fort Meade, Maryland

Vulnerability to flooding of the Environmental Science Building should not be an issue. The building site has a very robust stormwater runoff system that directs rainwater falling on approximately 70% of the site to a large capacity infiltration basin that can capture all the volume produced by a two-year storm and almost all the volume of a ten-year storm before there would be any discharge. However, localized flooding of area roads could still be an issue for the approximately 160 employees who must commute to the laboratory to do their work and given the nature of their work have little if any ability to perform their duties from alternate locations.

Wheeling Field Office located at 1060 Chapline Street, Wheeling, West Virginia

Despite its current location, which is less than a quarter mile from the Ohio River with an upstream drainage area of approximately 25,030 square miles, flooding of the Wheeling office is not expected to be a problem. The office is more than fifty feet above the river level and has never been impacted by historic flood events associated with hurricanes in the drainage area or other severe weather. As discussed above, localized flooding of area roads could still be an issue for the approximately 20 Wheeling office employees on their commute to work and for business travel. As a less modern facility with aged mechanical infrastructure, the Wheeling Office would be less resilient to dramatic changes in atmospheric temperatures.

Chesapeake Bay Program Office located at 1750 Forest Drive, Annapolis, Maryland

Our Chesapeake Bay Program Office is located in an office complex approximately three miles from a watershed that contains over 150 major rivers and streams and drains approximately 64,000 square miles. Due to increased flood risk, the office was recently relocated to a site with a higher elevation. A predicted increase in the intensity of hurricanes could impact the office due to its proximity to the coast. As discussed in more detail in the subsection "[Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities](#)," sea-level rise is also a threat to this facility as it will compound the effect of heavy precipitation, increase in flooding and storm surge.

CHAPTER 3: Priority Actions
















[Chapter 2](#) examined climate vulnerabilities across Region III. The remainder of this plan is action-oriented, focusing on programmatic and cross-programmatic activities that bolster climate resilience in Region III environmental work.

Each action within this plan follows a consistent template that describes the action, including metrics, challenges, and co-benefits, and clearly links the action to:

- Climate impacts and threats identified in the vulnerability assessment (see [Chapter 2](#))
- Overarching priority goal(s)
- Relevant Long-term Performance Goal(s) from [EPA’s Strategic Plan \(FY2022-2026\)](#)
- Activity timeframe (period over which the activity will be active)
- Specific science needs, if any, required to do the work





Additional narrative will accompany each action template to provide greater detail when necessary. This format will allow for consistent monitoring and tracking as progress is made. The template is displayed below:







Action Title.






Climate Threat(s):											
Overarching Goal(s):											
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1			LTPG 2			LTPG 3				
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26						
Climate Vulnerability											
	<i>(Reference to pertinent topics covered in Chapter 2)</i>										
Action											
Description:											
Metric(s):											
Project Challenges:											
Co-benefits:											
Owner Email:				New Work?				Resources Available?			
Science Needs											

Sample Climate Adaptation Action Template

HOVERING OVER ANY ICON IN THE DOCUMENT WILL REVEAL THE DESCRIPTION OF THE ICON FROM LEGENDS BELOW.

LEGEND for CLIMATE THREAT(S) (from [Chapter 2 - Vulnerability Assessment](#)):  Increasing tropospheric ozone pollution,  Effects on stratospheric ozone layer,  Increasing extreme temperatures,  Increasing frequency and intensity of wildfires,

 Increasing water temperatures,
  Increasing risk of floods,
  More frequent precipitation extremes (heavy precipitation events/droughts),
  Increasing intensity of hurricanes,
  Sea-level rise,
  Ocean acidification.

LEGEND for OVERARCHING GOAL(S):
 Community Infrastructure and Disaster Resilience,
  Program Integration and Decision Support,
  Mapping and Tools,
  Watershed/Ecosystem Health,
  Training and Outreach.

LEGEND for PERFORMANCE GOAL(S):
LTPG 1 – By September 30, 2026, implement all priority actions in EPA’s Climate Adaptation Action Plan and the 20 National Program and Regional Climate Adaptation Implementation Plans to account for the impacts of the changing climate on human health and the environment.
 LTPG 2 – By September 30, 2026, assist at least 400 federally recognized Tribes to take action to anticipate, prepare for, adapt to, or recover from the impacts of climate change.
 LTPG 3 – By September 30, 2026, assist at least 450 states, territories, local governments, and communities, especially communities that are underserved and disproportionately at risk from climate change, to take action to anticipate, prepare for, adapt to, or recover from the impacts of climate change.

EPA Region III also identified five overarching climate adaptation goals: 1) Community Infrastructure & Disaster Resilience, 2) Program Integration & Decision Support, 3) Mapping & Tools, 4) Watershed and Ecosystem Health, and 5) Training & Outreach. Each of the actions in this plan address one or more of these goals, which are illustrated in Figure 3.1.




Figure 3.1 – Based on the actions developed for the EPA Region III Climate Adaptation Implementation Plan, five overarching climate adaptation goals were identified. Each of the actions in this plan address one or more of these goals.

A subset of the actions developed for the plan have been selected as Priority Actions and are included in this chapter. Priority Actions aim to address all five overarching goals and cover a broad swath of programmatic and cross-programmatic actions. Priority Actions are not mutually exclusive but support one another as displayed in Figure 3.2.

Actions that are not identified as Priority Actions, will reside in their respective chapters throughout the plan and continue to be developed and monitored by the region as they move

forward. As these actions mature in future planning years, they may also be added to the set of Priority Actions in this chapter.

In subsequent annual updates of the plan, the Priority Actions for each fiscal year in this chapter will be updated to demonstrate progress, discuss challenges, and identify any changes made to priority actions since the prior year.




Figure 3.2 – Depiction of the Priority Actions identified for fiscal year 2022 and 2023. Actions that will continue beyond fiscal year 2023 are indicated by arrows. Dark blue bars highlight some of the synergies between individual actions.






Priority Action: Finalize Region III Climate Adaptation Implementation Plan

Finalizing the Region III Climate Adaptation Implementation Plan is one of the Priority Actions for fiscal year 2022. It involved updating the 2014 climate vulnerability assessment, developing actions and Priority Actions for fiscal years 2022 and 2023, and coordinating across regions and national programs to eliminate overlap of effort and identify synergies.



Priority Actions: Fiscal Year 2022










Deploy the EPA Region III Climate National Priorities List (NPL) Flooding and Vulnerability Tool.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability	Storm surge, flooding, storm event impacts.							
Action								
Description:	Finalize, and deploy (including staff training) the newly developed joint ORD and EPA climate change mapping tool. This tool can be used to model and predict flood-related impacts on Superfund Sites for future events and in real time to measure effects of a current storm.							
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deployment of training- track number of employees trained FY22 • Development of a SOP for use FY22 • Implementation of tool for standard use/ track number of times utilized FY23/24 							
Project Challenges:	Completion of tool development; developing a training.							
Co-benefits:	Allow for more resilient and adaptable remedies to be identified and implemented; potential for more positive impacts to surrounding communities in addressing climate vulnerabilities.							
Owner Email:	Mohollen.Laura@epa.gov ;		New Work?	No	Resources Available?		Yes	
	Kennedy.Cathleen@epa.gov							
Science Needs	Continued ORD and GIS Support.							

Additional Narrative:

This tool has been developed as a joint project between EPA Region III and the Office of Research and Development. The tool takes a systems-based, two-pronged approach to climate and flood vulnerability assessment of Superfund National Priority List (NPL) sites and associated communities. Inundation of hazardous waste sites has the potential to release toxics into floodwater and transport contaminated soil and sediments into surrounding communities. A majority of contaminated sites are near low-income housing with already overburdened populations. However, flood vulnerability assessments typically focus on physical and infrastructure impacts. This tool comprises 1) screening level metrics to characterize NPL sites and community vulnerabilities, and 2) community scale information on distribution of contaminants during flood events under multiple climate scenarios for the most vulnerable sites. This flexible framework can be readily adopted to assess contaminated sites and community vulnerabilities to climate, flood, and other natural hazards. The screening level assessment uses GIS analysis to quantify metrics in three categories: flood, sediment, and environmental justice. Metrics are then integrated into a community resilience planning tool with the option to weight the metrics based on user priority needs. This tool provides managers and communities a means to prepare for future extreme events and informs sites and communities most vulnerable for further community scale assessment. A clear SOP for use, communications and training plan will ensure the tool is implemented and utilized fully in the region.

Support climate resilient infrastructure.

Climate Threat(s):							
Overarching Goal(s):							
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2				LTPG 3
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26		
Climate Vulnerability							
<i>Infrastructure design, operation, financing principles, and regulatory standards typically do not account for a changing climate, and current risk management does not consider the impact of compound extremes (co-occurrence of events) and the risk of cascading infrastructure failure.</i>							
Action							
Description:	<p><i>Build climate resilience into water, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure throughout EPA Region III by:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Providing technical assistance and training to water and wastewater systems focused on capacity development, system optimization, climate resilience and operator certification.</i> <i>Providing climate tools to states, local governments and water and wastewater systems to help mainstream adaptation and mitigation and encourage investments in resilient infrastructure.</i> <i>Collaborating with state SRF programs to promote and encourage targeted outreach efforts toward financially distressed and disadvantaged communities and those that may be disproportionately impacted by climate change.</i> <i>Encouraging states to prioritize funding and technical assistance to disadvantaged communities that may be disproportionately impacted by climate change.</i> 						
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Number of water and wastewater systems receiving technical assistance and training, including capacity development, system optimization, climate resilience and operator certification</i> <i>Number of times we share climate tools to states, local governments and water and wastewater systems to help mainstream adaptation and mitigation and encourage investments in resilient infrastructure</i> <i>Number of collaboration opportunities with states</i> <i>Number of loans/dollar amounts in projects for disadvantaged communities related to climate adaptation (starting in FY23)</i> 						
Project Challenges:	<i>Limited opportunities for hands-on efforts; ability to influence state priorities; acceptance by systems or willingness to change</i>						
Co-benefits:	<i>Enhances resilience to allow better preparedness, quicker recovery and addresses hazard mitigation by breaking the cycle of disaster damage, reconstruction, and repeated damage; protects public health when water facilities continue to operate.</i>						
Owner Email:	Wisniewski.Patti-Kay@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes		
Science Needs							
<i>Science needs are not required to implement this project; however, it is likely that science needs could be identified when collaborating with utilities or the states.</i>							

Additional Narrative:

Climate Vulnerability

Deteriorating water infrastructure compounds the climate risk faced by society. Infrastructure design, operation, financing principles, and regulatory standards typically do not account for a changing climate, and current risk management does not consider the impact of compound extremes (co-occurrence of events) and the risk of cascading infrastructure failure. Failure to build resilience into water, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure could impact public health by the inability to provide clean water and safe drinking water services.

Description













Build climate resilience into water, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure throughout EPA Region III by:

- *Providing technical assistance and training to water and wastewater systems focused on capacity development, system optimization, climate resilience and operator certification.*
- *Providing climate tools to states, local governments and water and wastewater systems to help mainstream adaptation and mitigation and encourage investments in resilient infrastructure.*
- *Collaborating with state SRF programs to promote and encourage targeted outreach efforts toward financially distressed and disadvantaged communities and those disproportionately impacted by climate change, leading to more climate resilient projects.*
- *Encouraging states to prioritize funding and technical assistance to disadvantaged communities disproportionately impacted by climate change.*
- *Encouraging states to incorporate climate resilience criteria into their SRF priority ranking systems (Note: Most of our states already do this).*
- *Collaborating with states to focus historic Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) SRF funding towards fostering water and wastewater system resilience to all hazards, including new and emerging threats like cybersecurity.*
- *Working with the states to utilize BIL funding to help water and wastewater agencies reach GHG reduction targets, incorporate renewable energy generation, invest in carbon sinks, and other projects that reduce the GHG footprint of the water industry.*
- *Ensuring states are fully implementing the Floodplain Management Executive Order as it applies to SRF projects.*
- *Advising the states following disasters on the Emergency Use options under SRF and the EPA/FEMA Disaster memo.*














Build and maintain coastal climate resiliency through Blue Carbon resources.

Climate Threat(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3				
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26				
Climate Vulnerability	<p>Communities are looking for solutions to build and maintain resilience to impacts like coastal and inland flooding, sea-level rise, salt-water intrusion, and erosion, while also meeting other goals, such as protecting and improving water quality and habitat for economically important living resources. Wetlands, tidal marshes, and sea grass (SAV) are coastal “blue carbon” resources that represent potential climate change adaptation, mitigation, and coastal resilience solutions for communities.</p>								
Action	<p>Description: Through this Action, CBPO, LSASD, WD and ORD will identify and actively engage with a community within the Chesapeake Bay watershed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Understand the coastal climate adaptation and resilience challenges they face, and the information, science, and resources needed to address them. Identify, analyze, and implement solutions incorporating blue carbon resources to address local challenges and related priorities. Develop and transfer methods, approaches, data, or tools that can be used by the community to monitor and sustain resilient solutions. <p>As vulnerability to the impacts of climate change and the resources to address it are not equitably distributed, the chosen community will be a historically underserved or marginalized coastal community.</p>								
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop the project scope, identify research needs, and submit proposals for funding (FY22). Select a partner community, develop an engagement plan and identify partner and community science needs (FY23). Create a method for blue carbon assessment, identify relevant datasets and create maps (FY 23/24). Share the assessment and mapping results with Bay Program partners and stakeholders (FY24). Conduct research and summarize results (FY24/25). Develop and implement a communications and engagement plan for input on assessment/research results and implementation plan, finalize research results and develop implementation plan (FY25). 								
Project Challenges:	Effects of COVID on meeting with community and project stakeholders and partners. Staff and funding to support community outreach.								
Co-benefits:	Coastal blue carbon resources can sequester and store carbon while also acting to buffer storm surges, prevent erosion, improve water quality, provide habitat, and support local economies in multiple ways.								
Owner Email:	Jenkins.Bill@epa.gov		New Work? Yes		Resources Available? No				
Science Needs	TBD through community and stakeholder/partner engagement.								

Engage Region III Tribes in a meaningful dialogue on climate change adaptation and resilience.

Climate Threat(s):										
Overarching Goal(s):										
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3				
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26					
Climate Vulnerability										
<i>Many communities are proactively planning and implementing actions to reduce risks posed by climate change. Using decision support tools to develop and apply adaptation strategies informs both the value of adopting solutions and the remaining challenges. Experience gained through project implementation provides a foundation to advance future adaptation efforts.</i>										
Action										
Description:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Host a standalone climate adaptation workshop for federally recognized tribes in FY2023. • Use the Regional Tribal Operations Committee (RTOC) as a forum for ongoing climate change adaptation information sharing, training, and capacity building. • Exchange information with the National Tribal Science Council on national tribal climate change adaptation needs and directions, as appropriate. • Support and encourage the use of General Assistance Program (GAP) grants, and other available funds for climate change adaptation, as particular funds allow (e.g., education of staff and members, assessing their community and environment, and developing climate change adaptation plans). 									
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of climate adaptation and resilient focused meetings, workshops, webinars, etc. held over a fiscal year • Count of GAP grants and other available funds used to support climate change adaptation (starting in FY23) 									
Project Challenges:	Time constraints of tribal staff									
Co-benefits:	Facilitating these touch points will foster better relationships with EPA and other federal/state/non-profit and academic institutions									
Owner Email:	Hamilton.Brian@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes					
Science Needs										
None identified right now. Need experts to communicate information.										

Implement the Chesapeake Bay Program Climate Directive.

Climate Threat(s):	         
Overarching Goal(s):	  
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1 LTPG 2 LTPG 3
Activity Timeframe:	FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26
Climate Vulnerability	
<i>The consequences of a changing climate affect all aspects of life in the Chesapeake Bay watershed, from habitats to communities, living resources to public infrastructure. Changing environmental conditions not only affect the health and resilience of the Bay ecosystem and the populations that rely on its life-sustaining services, but also the success of protection and restoration work across the Bay watershed.</i>	
Action	
Description:	<i>The CBPO will provide core management, scientific, facilitation, technical, and staffing support to build capacity of CBP to prepare for and respond to climate change and advance core elements of the Climate Directive:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Address threats of climate change in all aspects of the work by integrating science and adaptation</i> • <i>Prioritize communities and habitats most vulnerable to ever increasing risks</i> • <i>Apply the best scientific, modeling, monitoring, and planning capabilities of the Chesapeake Bay Program</i> • <i>Connect Chesapeake Bay restoration goals with emerging opportunities in climate adaptation, mitigation, and resilience.</i>
Metric(s):	• <i>Percent of Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement Outcomes with management strategies or Logic and Action Plans that incorporate climate risk.</i>
Project Challenges:	<i>Staff and funding to support sustained community engagement; improving scientific capabilities to monitor, model and assess ecosystem impacts and response to climate change; capacity of CBP to prepare and respond to climate change; coordination of climate adaptation efforts across GITs; developing indicators and tracking/assessing progress on climate change.</i>
Co-benefits:	<i>This action will produce co-benefits across all goals and outcomes of the Chesapeake Bay Agreement.</i>
Owner Email:	Williams.James@epa.gov New Work? Yes Resources Available? No
Science Needs	
<i>This action will affect all aspects of the CBP's work, therefore, CBP will need continued efforts to build a comprehensive understanding of the current science and critical research gaps across the goals and outcomes of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement.</i>	

Additional Narrative:**Climate Vulnerability**

Sea-level rise, warming temperatures, increased precipitation and flooding, species migration, and eroding shorelines are just a few of the impacts the Chesapeake Bay region is expected to experience.

Description

For this action, the CBPO will support and facilitate implementation of the [Chesapeake Executive Council Directive No. 21-1 Collective Action for Climate Change](#) (Climate Directive) across Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) goals, outcomes, and partnership activities. The Climate Directive commits the Partnership to address the threats of climate change in all aspects of its work to restore the Bay and its watershed. It builds upon a strong foundation of collaborative science and action established by the Climate Adaptation Outcome in the 2014 Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement.

CBPO recognizes that the effects of climate change have a disproportionate impact on vulnerable and disadvantaged communities in the Chesapeake Bay watershed. Through this action, the CBPO will guide and support CBP efforts to increase community engagement and provide underrepresented populations a seat and opportunity to engage in discussions related to climate adaptation at the CBP and in design of projects that may affect their communities.

A number of actions included in the EPA Regional Climate Adaptation Implementation Plans have synergies with or may support implementation of the CBP Climate Directive, including but not limited to “Build and maintain climate resiliency through Blue Carbon resources”, “Focus protection and restoration actions to create and maintain resilient watersheds and ecosystems”, “Create sea-level rise viewer”, and “Promote successful climate adaptation case studies in EPA’s Adaptation Resource Center (ARC-X) tool”.

Co-benefits









Goals and outcomes of the Chesapeake Bay Agreement include sustainable fisheries, water quality, vital habitats, climate change, toxic contaminants, stewardship and diversity among others.

Priority Actions: Fiscal Year 2023






In Fiscal Year 2023 work will continue on the following multiyear actions: 1) Deploy the EPA Region III Climate National Priorities List (NPL) Flooding and Vulnerability Tool, 2) Support climate resilient infrastructure, 3) Build and maintain coastal climate resiliency through Blue Carbon resources, 4) Engage Region III Tribes in a meaningful dialogue on climate change adaptation and resilience, and 5) Implement the Chesapeake Bay Program Climate Directive.

In addition to continuing work on the above listed multiyear effects the following three actions will be undertaken in Fiscal Year 2023:





Assess air monitoring vulnerability.

Climate Impact(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26				
Climate Vulnerability	This action relates to extreme weather events that can affect residential access to monitoring equipment, principally hurricanes and major flooding episodes.								
Action									
Description:	Evaluate EPA Region III's Ambient Air Monitoring Infrastructure to identify which sites may be vulnerable to flooding, extreme weather, or other climate impacts, and create a plan of action to address these vulnerabilities.								
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of monitors evaluated Number of States/localities that have been issued monitoring network vulnerability recommendations States that have taken action to include adaptation in their 5-year network assessment 								
Project Challenges:	Coordination with National level program, potential for project to raise concerns about siting,								
Co-benefits:	Protect against loss of data, which may help with local progress toward NAAQS attainment.								
Owner Email:	Riley.Alison@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No				
Science Needs	Access to flood plan maps, etc. to determine site vulnerability.								

Support Federal Facilities with resilience goals.

Climate Threat(s):							
Overarching Goal(s):							
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26		
Climate Vulnerability	<p><i>Increased tropospheric ozone; Altered effects on the stratospheric ozone layer; Interactions of sulfur, nitrogen, and mercury deposition within ecosystems; Vulnerability and uncertainty related to impacts to water in the Region; Water and energy infrastructure; Use of toxic chemicals; Storage of toxic chemicals; Exposure to toxic chemicals from demolition/ renovation activities</i></p>						
Action							
Description:	<p><i>Federal Facilities Enforcement (FFE) has been difficult to achieve in a timely manner, and negotiations are protracted. The targeting of federal facilities located in areas that could be subject to resiliency goals, such as those located in river valleys or in coastal areas may be able to support resiliency plans for sea-level rise and worsening flooding, as a supplement to monetary penalties. Many federal facilities are located in non-attainment areas where the use of emergency generators in the summer ozone season may have an increased impact on air quality and climate health. Chemical storage upgrades provide opportunities in low lying areas that may be another area for resiliency to combat sea level rise and worsening flooding.</i></p>						
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of inspections/offsite compliance monitoring conducted • Potential Mitigation Projects undertaken 						
Project Challenges:	<p><i>Partnering with federal facilities in a productive manner, working with and around federal budgets that may not be easily changed.</i></p>						
Co-benefits:	<p><i>EJ</i></p>						
Owner Email:	Willard.ErinM@epa.gov ; Hall.Kristen@epa.gov	New Work?	No	Resources Available?	Yes		
Science Needs	<p><i>Mapping, satellite floodplain, and additional data to show sea level change, rain events and flooding history in areas with federal facilities to start to develop a baseline trend data set.</i></p>						

Advance understanding and implementation of climate-resilient Best Management Practices (BMPs).

Climate Threat(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3				
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26				
Climate Vulnerability	<p><i>Climate change impacts on air temperature, precipitation volume and intensity, sea-level rise and saltwater inundation, occurrence of extreme weather events, and derivative hydrological responses (e.g., soil moisture, partitioning of surface runoff and subsurface flow) affect BMP performance and resilience, while implementation of “climate smart” BMPs and land-based natural solutions (such as forest restoration) may provide multiple benefits for climate mitigation and adaptation.</i></p>								
Action	<p>Description: <i>Further data and research are needed to understand the climate change impacts and adaptation options on BMP performance. There is currently limited data on BMP climate resilience (i.e., pollutant removal performance, maintenance, shelf life, siting and design) and adaptation co-benefits for living resources and habitat. Working closely with Chesapeake Bay Program partners, the Chesapeake Bay Program Office will take steps to develop a better understanding of BMP responses, including new and other emerging BMPs, to climate change conditions and support implementation of climate adapted BMPs.</i></p>								
	<p>Metric(s): <i>The annual implementation of climate adapted BMPs will be tracked with the CBP’s CAST database.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Tree plantings (riparian tree buffers, reforestation, etc.) will be tracked annually by new acres planted (Tree Composite).</i> <i>Wetland BMPs will be tracked annually by new/restored wetlands acres (Wetland Composite).</i> <i>Climate adapted agricultural BMPs will be tracked annually in acres of agricultural land treated (Agriculture Composite) and, for Livestock Waste Management Systems, in animal units (Livestock Composite).</i> <i>Stormwater Performance Standard BMPs will be measured by the change in the weighted average performance standard in inches (Stormwater Composite)</i> 								
	<p>Project Challenges: <i>The availability of funding and sufficient technical staff to support work; Need to update stormwater design regulations and standards at the municipal, local, and state levels.</i></p>								
	<p>Co-benefits: <i>Co-benefits of these practices include greenhouse gas mitigation, pollution mitigation, increased ecosystem resilience, protection of living resources and habitat, climate justice, improved agricultural soils, reduced flooding, improved public safety, and property protection.</i></p>								
Owner Email:	Williams.James@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No				
Science Needs	<p><i>Improved quantification of the monetized co-benefits of the climate adapted BMPs.</i></p>								

Additional Narrative:

Description

Working closely with Chesapeake Bay Program partners, the Chesapeake Bay Program Office will take steps to develop a better understanding of BMP responses, including new and other emerging BMPs, to climate change conditions and support implementation of climate adapted BMPs by:

- *Supporting development of a research agenda on climate change impacts on BMP performance and adaptation benefits.*
- *Facilitating partner coordination and alignment of programmatic and research activities related to climate adapted BMPs, stormwater management and natural climate solutions.*
- *Reviewing best management practice design, inspection, and maintenance standards to account for the impacts of climate change in stormwater and nonpoint source management.*
- *Communicating technical climate change assessments and research into implementation by supporting adoption by state and local partners and integration into planning and programs.*

Co-Benefits

Co-benefits of tree planting, cover crops, urban stormwater practices, and tidal marsh restoration include greenhouse gas mitigation, pollution mitigation, increased ecosystem resilience, protection of living resources and habitat, climate justice (e.g., by improving flood resilience and public health protection), and improved agricultural soils. Co-benefits of climate adapted stormwater BMPs include sediment and nutrient reduction, reduced flooding, improved public safety, and property protection.

CHAPTER 4: Ensure Clean and Healthy Air for All Communities

EPA Region III's Air and Radiation Division (ARD) has a long history of engagement on addressing climate change impacts and protecting the Region's citizens from air pollution through implementation of the Clean Air Act (CAA). ARD programs are responsible for ensuring implementation of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) which includes reviewing permits and approving State Implementation Plan revisions. To complement our regulatory work, ARD programs include energy efficiency, renewable energy, clean diesel, indoor air quality and radon outreach programs to reduce emissions of criteria pollutants, greenhouse gases and air toxics. Extreme temperatures and increased average temperatures, as well as extreme flooding events in urban areas are the climate change impacts of most concern for ARD. As a result of climate change impacts in the Region, it is expected that our workload will increase.

Most of ARD's historic and ongoing work on climate relates to developing, supporting and implementing mitigation strategies to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, or otherwise minimize air-related impacts on the climate. Many of these activities also include adaptation elements. For example, grant and partnership programs that address energy efficiency and fuel use reductions that reduce carbon emissions also undertake community engagement efforts to help citizens build climate preparedness and promote sustainable and resilient rebuilding after adverse events. In particular, these programs target overburdened and communities with environmental justice concerns, which are often highly vulnerable to climate change impacts.

Air-related Programmatic Vulnerability Assessment

Air pollution is rarely cited first in discussions about the consequences of climate change adaptation, because the health impacts caused by air quality episodes tend to be less immediate than those that result from storms and wildfires. However, it is anticipated that in the U.S. there could be as much as a 50% increase in excess mortality related to climate change ozone impacts by 2025-2035.^{xlii} And although a great deal of progress has been made towards attainment since the inception of the CAA and establishment of NAAQS, some areas face ongoing challenges meeting attainment goals, which could be set back by climate change. Additionally, programs related to air quality and health will likely be impacted (see Table 4.1).

Opportunities for Climate Action

EPA Region III's ARD has for decades engaged in voluntary and partnership programs to address environmental concerns through non-regulatory methods. While none of the Region's existing air program activities are specifically targeted at climate adaptation, many do tackle climate adaptation as a co-benefit of reducing fossil fuel consumption, or through community engagement programs. Our efforts for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 and FY2023 will build upon these existing programs.

Community Engagement

ARD will leverage our existing data resources, stakeholder activities, and organizational expertise to identify communities at greatest risk from climate impacts. These will include:

- Neighborhoods located in heat islands and flood plains.

- Residences in close proximity to facilities of concern, such as petroleum or chemical processing plants.
- Areas with high asthma rates and other susceptibilities to respiratory and cardiovascular disease, with a particular emphasis on vulnerable populations and underserved communities.
- Localities with a high percentage of older housing stock.

Tropospheric Ozone	Stratospheric Ozone
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NAAQS attainment • Asthma and other health impacts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UV radiation outreach • GreenChill® • Responsible appliance disposal
Increased Wildfires	Indoor Environments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NAAQS attainment • Asthma and other health impacts • Toxics 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mold • Infiltration of ambient air pollution, pollen, indoor pollutants • Increased time spent indoors due to extreme weather
Energy Production	Air Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased demand • Peak grid • Energy Star® 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitoring network • RADNET
Interactions of Sulfur, Nitrogen and Mercury Deposition	Engagement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem protection • Impacts uncertain 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EJ communities • Asthma

Table 4.1 – Review of Air Identified Air Vulnerabilities

EPA Region III has begun an analysis of available data to identify high-priority environmental justice areas of concern and some of the most environmentally overburdened communities in the Mid-Atlantic. The multimedia effort to address existing injustices and the cumulative impacts of pollution in these communities will include help increasing adaptive capacity and resilience to climate change. ARD staff will develop a comprehensive plan to target those areas for future engagement, resources, and funding opportunities.

In tandem with our community climate assessment, ARD will work to evaluate vulnerabilities and needs within our existing stakeholder network, while expanding the network to include new community-based partners who would benefit from collaboration and engagement. We will utilize existing networks in moving forward with our adaptation-related activities, such as Energy Star® and SmartWay®.

ARD will compile a clearinghouse of informational resources, training materials, potential funding opportunities (including federal, state, and other financial sources), technical assistance, mapping, and other resources to assist our community partners. EPA Region III’s Indoor Air

Program already conducts outreach and education activities and will continue to build upon and refine those efforts, utilizing the most up-to-date science and best practices.

Grants and other Financial Assistance

ARD administers numerous grant and rebate programs, totaling tens of millions of dollars in funding each year. In cooperation with our headquarters office, ARD will implement guidance and directives related to climate change, including climate adaptation measures for our grantees and rebate recipients. This work will also extend to our work in communities with environmental justice concerns, which heavily intersect with climate-vulnerable populations.

Through work with our existing partners and programs, we will anticipate and prepare for all new grant requirements related to adaptation and build upon this work to maximize the impact of the grant funds spent, and in doing so raise awareness about climate vulnerabilities and tools to address them. For example, our grantees and subgrantees may be tasked with incorporating adaptation into the outreach, written materials, and any public events they conduct during meeting the milestones set out under their grant work plans. Currently, ARD's state partners are required to report regularly on climate change activities. ARD will include a specific request for reporting on climate adaptation activities. In addition, ARD will build upon our ongoing climate work to connect more closely with adaptation activities happening at the state level and will be better prepared to anticipate needs and issues for communities in those states.

While virtually all the grants we administer are part of national-level programs, EPA Region III will work with our states to connect with potential grant and rebate applicants and encourage projects that benefit climate-vulnerable populations. We will attempt to connect these potential recipients, including organizations that may not have grant writing staff or extensive experience with project-management, with publicly available trainings and resources to help them improve their ability to submit and manage successful applications.

Finally, ARD will take steps to connect potential community partners with our existing stakeholder network, fostering beneficial peer-to-peer relationships and sharing or best practices and institutional knowledge.

Within Air and Radiation Division

ARD will undertake a project to assess our programmatic infrastructure vulnerabilities. Our staff will review the greater ambient air quality monitoring network in our states to identify which locations and assets are susceptible to fires, storms, and flooding, or could otherwise become damaged or inaccessible because of extreme weather, leading to compromised or lost data. We will also assess any potential for emergent issues with state or other partners that could impede our work in the Region. In addition, ARD staff will undergo training when it is offered, as appropriate, and will identify any training gaps that should be addressed.

Measurement and Evaluation: ARD will continuously work to track progress toward meeting our goals, which may include:

- State reports submitted
- Number of stakeholders, partners, and communities identified
- Plans for outreach and engagement developed

- Informational clearinghouse created and populated
- Number of new grant applicants
- Number of grant workplans that address climate adaptation
- Events, meetings, or trainings held

Program-specific Discussion on Climate Science Needs: Moving forward to make a meaningful impact on climate adaptation concerns in EPA Region III will require the best available science and data resources at EPA’s disposal. This will include access to mapping software and GIS data, census and other population data, climate and air quality modeling, existing data tools such as [EJScreen](#), and other resources to be determined.

One of the biggest challenges the Region faces is translating and utilizing data and science via our programmatic work to ensure that the assistance and information we provide to communities is meaningful and useful. Our focus will be on using what we know about climate now to anticipate future needs and concerns, to help vulnerable populations prepare for the inevitable effects of climate change where they live. The Region is mindful that community needs, and vulnerabilities may increase over time, and that we should also be prepared to target resources.

Many of our state partners are engaged in some capacity in adaptation planning activities. We will coordinate with them and their stakeholders to identify any issues that may arise as such planning activities move forward.

EPA Region III will work to build internal capacity to be able to incorporate climate change data into modeling and emissions analyses. Examples include: 1) determining emission trends for sources associated with climate change impacts (frequent and more intense storms, more high temperature days), such as portable electric generators and peaking power plants; 2) updating current datasets used for dispersion modeling to consider human activities like sprawl and meteorological datasets (rainfall patterns, temperatures, etc.); and 3) the identification and refinement of GHG emissions data, especially from non-traditional sources.







Air Quality and Adaptation

Although tremendous progress has been made improving air quality across the nation, climate change makes it more difficult to attain air quality standards and protect the quality of the air we breathe, posing higher risks to public health, and especially overburdened and vulnerable populations.







To ensure clean and healthy air for all communities, EPA Region III will take the following actions:

9. Engage with communities to address health impacts after adverse events.
10. Engage climate vulnerable EJ communities.
11. Review vulnerability of agency and regulated community facilities located in low-lying areas.







Engage with communities to address health impacts after adverse events.

Climate Impact(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26				
Climate Vulnerability	<p><i>This action relates to extreme weather events that can affect residential access to grid power, principally hurricanes and major flooding episodes, but also heat waves which can also cause blackouts.</i></p>								
Action									
Description:	<p>Support the management of health needs in vulnerable and overburdened communities by engaging at-risk communities and connecting them with resources to address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • safe use of backup generators to maintain medications and medical devices. • best practices to cope with health impacts related to adverse weather events. 								
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communities/individuals engaged 								
Project Challenges:	Need for FTE, data on market for generators and existing population of units.								
Co-benefits:	Possible increased used of more efficient generators, or reduced need if alternative resources are available.								
Owner Email:	Riley.Alison@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No				
Science Needs	<p><i>Data on generation, identification of communities at highest risk (high rates of diabetes, heart disease, and in flood plains or other vulnerable locations).</i></p>								

Engage climate vulnerable EJ communities.

Climate Impact(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26				
Climate Vulnerability	This action relates to extreme weather events that can affect human health and housing conditions, principally hurricanes and major flooding episodes, but also heat waves.								
Action									
Description:	Draft a plan to identify and engage high-risk EPA Region III communities with EJ concerns or experiencing disproportionate adverse impacts to inform our community engagement efforts. This would build upon work already completed, overlaying existing maps with maps of flood plains, heat islands, neighborhoods with older housing stock, etc.								
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Successful completion of mapping project. 								
Project Challenges:	Access to data, software, and expertise.								
Co-benefits:	Information could be useful for a wide array of regional and national programs and activities, to help target resources at areas of highest need.								
Owner Email:	Riley.Alison@epa.gov	New Work?	No	Resources Available?	No				
Science Needs	Existing maps, access to specific vulnerability maps, other data.								

Review vulnerability of agency and regulated community facilities located in low-lying areas.

Climate Impact(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26				
Climate Vulnerability									
	<i>This action relates to extreme weather events that can affect human health and housing conditions, principally hurricanes and major flooding episodes, but also heat waves.</i>								
Action									
Description:	<i>Identify Region III's facilities (in the regulated community, as well as agency assets and infrastructure) located in low-lying areas that could be subject to severe weather and those located near shorelines that pose a hazard to public health and the environment.</i>								
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facilities engaged/evaluated. 								
Project Challenges:	<i>Access to internal and external data, cooperation from external partners and stakeholders.</i>								
Co-benefits:	<i>Partnership building, potential prevention of hazardous incidents.</i>								
Owner Email:	Riley.Alison@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No				
Science Needs									
	<i>Listings of Vulnerable facilities, Maps, possible need to create mapping.</i>								

CHAPTER 5: Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities

Climate change impacts are experienced through interactions with our water resources and result in direct and cascading effects on our daily lives in communities and natural environments throughout EPA Region III. Climate change acts as a threat multiplier, exacerbating existing stressors that can lead to degraded water quality, destabilization of critical water infrastructure, economic impacts, harm to aquatic life, and limitations on recreational opportunities. The Water Division and the Chesapeake Bay Program Office are responsible for protecting built and natural water resources within EPA Region III.

Water Division

The Water Division (WD) ensures drinking water is safe and restores and maintains watersheds and their aquatic ecosystems to protect human health, support economic and recreational activities, and provide healthy habitat for fish, plants, and wildlife.

The Division is responsible for implementing the Clean Water Act (CWA), Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) and Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA), and provisions of the Ocean Dumping Ban Act across the Mid-Atlantic Region except for inspections and enforcement, which are principally managed by the Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division (ECAD).

Each branch and section within the WD is responsible for executing their own set of core functions and actions in a coordinated manner that enables the division to successfully carry out its mission. The WD accomplishes this primarily through providing oversight to states and in some cases, through direct implementation of specific programs. WD works closely with the ECAD, which is principally responsible for compliance inspections and enforcement actions related to the above listed laws.

The WD coordinates with other divisions within the region to ensure programmatic support, technical assistance, critical funding of state programs, sound science, environmental justice, and when necessary, compliance and enforcement. Table 5.1 provides an “at-a-glance” look at critical functions that the WD manages across the region.

Wetlands	Clean Water	Drinking Water	Partnerships
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wetland Permits (CWA 404) • CWA 404(c) “veto” and (q) “elevation” • Ocean Disposal Permits • CWA 401(a)(2) Notification of Permit Application • Mitigation Banking • Tribal Assumption – Treatment as a State (TAS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits • Impaired Waters (CWA 303(d)) • Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) • Water Quality Standards (WQS) • Chesapeake Bay Regulatory Requirements • Clean Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) • State capacity • Contaminants (PFAS, Lead, etc.) • Operator Certification • Water Security • Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permits • Source Water Protection • Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green Infrastructure • Hazard Mitigation • Agriculture • National Estuary Program (NEP) • No Discharge Zone (NDZ) • Nonpoint Source Program (CWA 319) • Water Pollution Control (CWA 106) • Water Quality Management (CWA 604(b))

Table 5.1 – Water Division Programmatic Responsibilities; CWA = Clean Water Act.

Chesapeake Bay Program Office

The Chesapeake Bay Program Office (CBPO) provides core scientific and management support for the Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP), a unique, regional partnership that directs the protection and restoration of the Chesapeake Bay and its watershed. Formed in 1983, the Chesapeake Bay Program coordinates efforts among six states (MD, PA, VA, WV, DE, NY), the District of Columbia, the Chesapeake Bay Commission tri-state legislative body, and federal agencies.

The CBP is guided by the 2014 Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement (Agreement), which establishes goals and outcomes for sustainable fisheries, water quality, vital habitats, climate change, toxic contaminants, stewardship and diversity, and other areas. Section 117 of the Clean Water Act calls for the CBPO to facilitate and coordinate the Chesapeake Bay Program partnership and authorizes CBPO to administer grant programs and provide technical assistance to nonprofits, state and local governments, academic institutions, and others to support implementation and monitoring towards the Agreement and carrying out CBP’s mission. CBPO is also responsible for implementing the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Accountability and Recovery Act of 2014.

In 2010, the EPA established the Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). The Bay TMDL is designed to ensure all nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment pollution control efforts needed to restore the water quality standards of the Bay and its tidal rivers are in place by 2025. The CBPO works with other offices within EPA Region III and EPA, the watershed jurisdictions, and key federal agencies to review two-year water quality milestones that measure progress made in achieving the Bay TMDL and the jurisdictions’ Watershed Implementation Plans.

Science, Analysis and Implementation	Partnership and Accountability
Implement and coordinate science, research, modeling, support services, monitoring, data collection, and other activities.	Coordinate the development and implementation of specific management strategies and Logic and Action plans to carry out the responsibilities of the signatories to the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement.
Develop information pertaining to the environmental quality and living resources of the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem.	Facilitate the partnership’s collaborative decision-making to achieve the goals and outcomes of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement through the CBP organizational structure.
Support the efforts of states and other partners to attain Chesapeake Bay water quality standards and meet the goals of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL.	Communicate partnership progress to interested public through the development and upkeep of metrics, through social and traditional media and web sites and through other multi-media approaches.

Table 5.2 – Chesapeake Bay Program Office Programmatic Responsibilities.

Water-related Programmatic Vulnerability Assessment

Climate change is already having an impact on the ability of the WD and the CBPO to fulfill their congressionally mandated responsibilities. As climate change warms the atmosphere, altering the hydrologic cycle, changes to the amount, timing, form, and intensity of precipitation will continue. Other expected changes include the flow of water in watersheds, as well as the quality of aquatic and marine environments. These impacts are likely to affect the programs designed to protect water quality, public health, and safety. Table 5.3 indicates which WD and CBPO programs will be impacted by the vulnerabilities described in [Chapter 2](#).

Water and energy infrastructure	Water quality impacts from climate change
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) • Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) • Green Infrastructure • Hazard Mitigation • Operator Certification • Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) • Water Security/Preparedness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agriculture • Impaired Waters (CWA §303(d))/Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) • Green Infrastructure • Hazard Mitigation • National Estuary Programs (NEPs) • Nonpoint Source (NPS) Program • Permits (municipal, industrial, stormwater/MS4, Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations, UIC, wetlands) • Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) • Source Water Protection (SWP) Program • Water Quality Standards (WQS)
Flooding from increasingly frequent intense storm events and sea-level rise	Changes to aquatic ecosystems and the composition and distribution of species
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agriculture • Green Infrastructure • Hazard Mitigation • Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) • Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) • Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) • Water Quality Standards (WQS) • Water Security/Preparedness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agriculture • National Estuary Programs (NEPs) • Nonpoint Source (NPS) Program • Source Water Protection (SWP) Program • Wetlands Permits (CWA §404) • Wetlands Mitigation Banking

Table 5.3 – Water-related Programmatic Vulnerability Assessment.

Existing Climate Work

Water and Energy Infrastructure

The **Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Programs** support projects related to climate change, including Green Project Reserve (GPR)-green projects, Energy and Water efficiency, groundwater recharge, and stormwater management and encourage State partners to consider climate related projects, as opposed to solely traditional water infrastructure projects. Outreach on climate mitigation includes assisting wastewater utilities with more stringent effluent limits, addressing increased wet weather events and energy conservation. Public outreach on climate-related activities is achieved through publication of success stories and sharing State Revolving Fund Fact Sheets with EPA Region III examples with EPA and State peers.

The **Green Infrastructure Team** helps manage the Green Streets, Green Jobs, Green Towns (G3) grants, which specifically require consideration of climate to be ranked higher; coordinates with regional, national, and interagency workgroups on climate adaptation and disaster mitigation;

and designs and improves tools to support climate adaptation (e.g., Sea Level Evaluation and Assessment (SEA Tool), EPA’s Adaptation Resource Center (ARC-X)).

Priorities for the **Nonpoint Source Program** are set by the state; however, nonpoint source solutions include installation of green infrastructure practices that can help manage existing and projected increases to precipitation.

Nationally, the **Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program** has created an incentive for UIC Class VI wells for Carbon Capture and Sequestration through the Internal Revenue Service Code Section Q45 tax credit (see 26 U.S. Code § 45Q).

Water Quality Impacts from Climate Change

The **Water Quality Standards Program** utilizes the 2014 EPA report “[Being Prepared for Climate Change: A Workbook for Developing Risk-Based Adaptation Plans](#),” which guides users through development of a risk-based climate change adaptation plan consisting of a vulnerability assessment and an action plan to reduce the most pressing risks.

Changes in temperature, stream flow data, precipitation, and sea-level need to be accounted for in newly issued **NPDES permits**; Mid-Atlantic Intensity, Duration Frequency (IDF) curves are currently being updated to show future precipitation data versus past data to be used for design purposes.

The **Source Water Protection Program** supports development of Risk and Resilience Assessments and Emergency Response Plans (ERPs) for public water systems; promotes and supports implementation of best management practices (BMPs) that protect upstream water supplies (land preservation, agricultural conservation practices, green infrastructure, etc.); coordinates on review of Clean Water Act Section 106 grants, which usually include a climate resilience or climate adaptation component relevant to source water protection; and participate in external source water collaboratives, states, and other federal agencies (US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service, Association of State Drinking Water Administrators), in order to leverage funding for source water protection.

As part of the **Chesapeake Bay TMDL**, jurisdictions are required to develop actions to address an increase in nitrogen and phosphorous loads due to 2025 climate change conditions in their Watershed Implementation Plans. For agriculture, many of the actions relate to implementing “climate-smart” agricultural conservation practices that not only reduce nutrient and sediment pollution from agricultural lands, but also reduce greenhouse gas emissions and/or store carbon in the soil and build farm resiliency.

Flooding from Increasingly Frequent Intense Storm Events and Sea-Level Rise

The **Drinking Water Program** shares tools and resources with regional water suppliers and state programs, including [Climate Ready Water Utilities](#) and [Adaptation Strategies Guide for Water Utilities](#) and encourages their use to build resilience to the impacts of extreme weather events. The program also supports states in the development of climate adaptation training for water utilities. Risk Assessments under America’s Water Infrastructure Act (AWIA) of 2018 include a review of severe weather events impacting community water suppliers.

Regionally, the **Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program** is currently permitting an Aquifer Recharge Project in Hampton Roads, Virginia, to recharge the depleted Potomac Aquifer and to combat saltwater intrusion and land subsidence.

To address climate mitigation, the **NPDES Program** has focused on promoting the use of biogas recovery systems to reduce methane emissions from livestock waste as well as to ensure energy security and help respond to disasters impacting wastewater treatment plants and working cooperatively with industry stakeholders and waste officials to reduce or avoid methane emissions from landfills through the Landfill Methane Outreach Program.

Changes to Aquatic Ecosystems and the Composition and Distribution of Species

The **404 Regulatory Program** is exploring how to incorporate climate comments into wetland permit applications, third-party mitigation and regulatory reviews, including developing a GIS-based tool to analyze resiliency and climate effects for permit review.

The **Enhancing State and Tribal Program** is updating its Core Element Framework (CEF) to include climate change actions that States and Tribes can incorporate when developing their wetland programs; focusing on climate change, resiliency and marsh migration as priority issues for the interagency Mid-Atlantic Wetland Workgroup (MAWWG); prioritizing research addressing climate impacts on wetlands in the 2021/22 Wetland Program Development Grants Request for Proposal; and examining past grant project accomplishments related to climate change and marsh migration, sea-level rise and living shorelines.

The **Ocean Program** is actively working on understanding and communicating the impacts of ocean acidification, including updating a Story Map to be published on the EPA website.

The **National Estuary Program** engages in an array of activities with the potential for positive climate adaptation benefits, including but not limited to preservation and restoration of intertidal and tidal wetlands and benthic habitats; installation of living shorelines; research programs related to “blue carbon” (carbon stored in coastal and marine ecosystems) and carbon sequestration; and adaptation methodologies.

The **Watershed Resources Registry (WRR)** is working with state partners to evaluate GIS data layers that could be added to each WRR and used to develop climate resiliency analyses.

Opportunities for Climate Action













Given the scope and scale of climate impacts on the work being done by the WD and CBPO, promoting climate resilience and supporting climate justice are both important to sustain and enhance the investments that have been made in clean and safe water for communities across EPA Region III. The activities included in the next section of this chapter address a variety of climate concerns in multiple WD programs and the CBPO. As we complete and learn from these activities, we will develop additional goals in future years to ensure that climate adaptation is fully integrated into all EPA Region III water programs.

To ensure clean and safe water for all communities, EPA Region III will take the following actions:

12. Focus protection and restoration actions to create and maintain resilient watersheds and ecosystems.
13. Develop EPA Region III-specific “Standard Climate Modules” for each Water Division work unit.

14. Convene a “Watershed Partnerships Workshop” to address climate adaptation at the watershed scale.
15. Update the Sea-level-rise Exploration and Assessment (SEA) decision support tool.
16. Promote successful climate adaptation case studies in EPA’s Adaptation Resource Center (ARC-X) tool.
17. Apply the Adaptation Design Tool to climate-smart permitting.
18. Finalize the Region III Disaster Mitigation Implementation Strategy.










Focus protection and restoration actions to create and maintain resilient watersheds and ecosystems.

Climate Threat(s):							
Overarching Goal(s):							
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26		
Climate Vulnerability							
<p>Temperature extremes, wildfire patterns, rising sea levels, hurricanes, floods, droughts, and warming ocean temperatures are expected to significantly alter ecosystems and delivery of the ecological resources and benefits that people depend on for livelihood, protection, and well-being. The adaptive capacity and resilience of ecosystems and watersheds will influence ecological and socioeconomic outcomes as climate risks increase.</p>							
Action							
Description:	<p>This Action will utilize the newly developed Region-wide 1-meter landcover data and the latest science and tools to identify, assess, prioritize and map both protection and restoration opportunities that will maintain and increase watershed and ecosystem resiliency to climate change. This information will allow Regional Programs, states, local governments, non-governmental organizations and private landowners to aggregate and view the protection and restoration opportunities at multiple scales, from watershed to parcel level.</p>						
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secure funding for GIS contractor, engage partners, identify data, methods and tool needed (FY23). Select analytical and mapping methods (FY23/24). Complete analysis, develop maps, and create a prototype visualization tool (FY24/25). Solicit feedback from regional programs on products and summarize the feedback received (FY25). Modify analytical methods and tool(s) based on feedback, create host platform, and share data/tools with partners (FY25/26). Track ongoing utility of products to identify updates/improvements to data, maps and visualization tool/platform (FY26). 						
Project Challenges:	<p>Staff for data analysis, visualization; and coordination with other federal and state agencies.</p>						
Co-benefits:	<p>Protection will: reduce the need for and cost of restoration activities; sustain or increase the availability of open/green space for recreation; increase local economic opportunities; and sustain carbon sequestration function (possibly ensuring access to carbon sequestration markets). Restoration will: support/create jobs; increase recreational opportunities, ecosystem services, and carbon sequestration functions.</p>						
Owner Email:	Jenkins.Bill@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No		
Science Needs							
<p>Modeling and assessing ecosystem and watershed function and changes due to climate change.</p>							







Additional Narrative:

The information generated can also be combined with other data sets (from tools like EJ Screen and EnviroAtlas) to further assess protection and restoration opportunities in concert with other priorities and describe additional benefits. As part of this action, we will also identify organizations that have the capacity to use this information to plan, collaborate, fund, and/or implement relevant actions.

Develop EPA Region III-specific “Standard Climate Modules” for each Water Division work unit.

Climate Threat(s):										
Overarching Goal(s):										
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3				
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26					
Climate Vulnerability										
	<i>Given that this activity encompasses all Water Division programs, it will address the entire range of vulnerabilities described in Chapter 2.</i>									
Action										
Description:	<i>All relevant work units in the Water Division will develop a "standard climate module" to be used in training and outreach activities with external partners. To ensure message consistency across the agency, modules will be adapted from those developed by National Program Offices and then tailored to address Region III-specific issues.</i>									
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In FY22, each of the seven work units in the Water Division will have developed a "standard climate module" to be used in training and outreach activities with external partners.</i> 									
Project Challenges:	<i>To ensure alignment with National Program Offices, the timing of this work will be dependent on the timeframe pursued by individual Program Offices at EPA Headquarters.</i>									
Co-benefits:	<i>This action would allow each program office to carefully consider how climate impacts their program (internal capacity development), communicate those impact to partners (external engagement), and provides opportunity for additional partner engagement regarding EPA or other federal climate resources.</i>									
Owner Email:	Konfirst.Matthew@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes					
Science Needs										
	N/A									

Convene a “Watershed Partnerships Workshop” to address climate adaptation at the watershed scale.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability								
<i>Water quality impacts from climate change, flooding from increasingly frequent intense storm events and sea-level rise, and changes to aquatic ecosystems and the composition and distribution of species are vulnerabilities that impact individual waterbodies and communities. However, the interconnected nature of stream networks, ecosystems and communities creates an imperative to design solutions at a larger scale that allows partners the opportunity to take advantage of the co-benefits described below.</i>								
Action								
Description:	<i>The Water Division will facilitate a workshop in FY23 (at the earliest) to support innovative efforts to incorporate climate adaptation (and avoid maladaptation) into combined water quality and quantity planning at the watershed scale. Participants would include existing watershed partnerships in EPA Region III as well as other Tribal, federal state and local partners with a focus and/or interest in this topic.</i>							
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Number of federally recognized Tribes, states, territories, local governments, and communities, especially communities which are underserved and disproportionately at risk from climate change that have presented about ways to take action to anticipate, prepare for, adapt to, or recover from the impacts of climate change at the watershed scale.</i> • <i>Number of federally recognized Tribes, states, territories, local governments, and communities, especially communities which are underserved and disproportionately at risk from climate change that participated in the workshop.</i> 							
Project Challenges:	<i>This workshop could conceivably be of interest to a large number of partners, which would have an impact on planning, coordination and technical resources required to hold the event.</i>							
Co-benefits:	<i>Benefits of integrating climate adaptation into water quality and quantity planning include efficiency in collection and management of datasets, leveraging additional partners and funding, and efficiencies gained by multi-objective planning. The use of green infrastructure to meet some of these goals also offers the opportunity to take advantage of air quality and ecosystem co-benefits and ancillary benefits to the community, like green job creation, outdoor recreation space and increased property values.</i>							
Owner Email:	Konfirst.Matthew@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No			
Science Needs								
<i>Science needs are not required to implement this project; however, it is likely that science needs could be identified during the workshop.</i>								

Additional Narrative:

Description



“Participants would include existing watershed partnerships in EPA Region III.” These partnerships would include but are not limited to the Chesapeake Bay Program, National Estuary Programs, state Nonpoint Source programs, and Urban Waters Partnerships.

“Other federal Tribal, state and local partners with a focus and/or interest in this topic” could include but are not limited to Federal Emergency Management Agency, USDA, US Department of Transportation, Silver Jackets teams, watershed groups, and local governments.












Project Challenges

The status of the pandemic and demand for hybrid participation may add resource requirements and logistical considerations. Given the experience of the pandemic over the past couple of years, there is increasing comfort with online participation in conferences and workshops, but a transition to a more hybrid setting may require creative solutions to produce a sense of community and facilitate collaboration between participants.







Update the Sea-level-rise Exploration and Assessment (SEA) decision support tool.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability	<p>When coupled with storm surges, sea-level rise can pose severe risks of flooding, with consequent physical and mental health impacts on coastal populations. In addition to property and infrastructure impacts, the facilities and cultural resources that support coastal tourism and recreation as well as cultural landscapes and historic structures will be at increased risk from high tide flooding, storm surge, and long-term inundation.</p>							
Action								
Description:	<p>The Water Division, in partnership with the Laboratory Services and Applied Sciences Division, will develop a sea-level rise data product that will allow EPA Region III programs to assess the threat of sea-level rise quickly and easily into specific projects and for specific locations.</p>							
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By the end of CY22, sea-level-rise data produced by the Sea Level Rise and Coastal Flood Hazard Scenarios and Tools Interagency Task Force for the 2022 interagency report will have been processed into GIS mapping layers. • In FY23 an app will be developed and released publicly to explore sea-level-rise data generated by the Sea Level Rise and Coastal Flood Hazard Scenarios and Tools Interagency Task Force. • Beginning in FY23 the number of unique users utilizing the tool will be tracked. 							
Project Challenges:	<p>The scientific and modeling foundations for this project have been firmly established and reviewed, but the work requires substantial processing time to generate new map layers.</p>							
Co-benefits:	<p>This app would allow the Region and the Agency to make sea-level related decisions using a common framework.</p>							
Owner Email:	Konfirst.Matthew@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes			
Science Needs	<p>Successful completion of this project requires technical GIS support from the Laboratory Services and Applied Sciences Division.</p>							







Promote successful climate adaptation case studies in EPA’s Adaptation Resource Center (ARC-X) tool.

Climate Threat(s):										
Overarching Goal(s):										
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1			LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26					
Climate Vulnerability										
	<i>Given that case studies could be collected from any program across the region, this action could potentially address any of the climate vulnerabilities addressed in Chapter 2.</i>									
Action										
Description:	<p><i>This activity will promote successful climate adaptation efforts that have been implemented by state, local, Tribal or other partners in the Mid-Atlantic region as a model for other communities to replicate. Success stories will be developed into case studies that can be incorporated into EPA’s Adaptation Resource Center (ARC-X) tool.</i></p> <p><i>Potential case studies will be identified through the EPA Region III Climate Collaborative workgroup, and an effort will be made to cover a diversity of programs and partners. Coordination with the Office of Policy will ensure that those studies selected for writeup broaden the topic areas covered in the existing set of ARC-X database.</i></p>									
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In FY22-26, a minimum of one Region III case study will be identified and submitted to the Office of Policy each year (total = 5).</i> 									
Project Challenges:	<p><i>Staff may feel they don’t have the appropriate expertise to draft a case study. There may be some hesitancy by managers to commit resources to this effort or sensitivity about sharing certain aspects of the case study.</i></p>									
Co-benefits:	<p><i>This effort will allow EPA Region III to promote successful climate adaptation efforts underway across the region. Limited resources preclude working with every community; however, promoting ideas and methodologies for climate adaptation projects that have been implemented successfully by partners is a good way to disseminate information that could build climate resiliency in a greater number of communities.</i></p>									
Owner Email:	Konfirst.Matthew@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes					
Science Needs										
	N/A									

Apply the Adaptation Design Tool to climate-smart permitting.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability								
<p>Water quality impacts from climate change include variations in streamflow, water temperature and saltwater intrusion, which will have to be accounted for while writing and reviewing National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), UIC and wetlands permits. Changes to aquatic ecosystems and the composition and distribution of species are likely due to climate-driven changes interacting with water management practices and land-use changes within individual watersheds.</p>								
Action								
Description:	<p>The Adaptation Design Tool (ADT) was created to help coral reef managers incorporate climate change adaptation into management plans using existing planned actions as a starting point, and to identify additional climate-smart strategies as needed. The tool works by guiding users through a series of worksheets that address three interrelated questions about climate impacts to the stressors of concern for ecosystem management actions, climate impacts on planned ecosystem management actions, and implications for designing adaptation actions that successfully address resulting vulnerabilities.</p> <p>This project will repurpose the ADT framework to address climate considerations in Water Division permitting. The result will be an application that allows users to produce consistent, thorough, climate-smart comments during permit review. ADT's guiding questions will be reimagined to fit a permitting context.</p>							
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In FY23 a tool will be developed to easily incorporate relevant climate considerations into permit writing and review. • Number of external partners that have contributed input to the development of the tool. • Percentage of permits that incorporate comments using the tool. 							
Project Challenges:	<p>Completion of this project is predicated upon receiving funding through an EPA Regional-ORD Applied Research Program (ROAR) grant. Potential challenges to project implementation include how to proceed without additional funding.</p>							
Co-benefits:	<p>This project will include collaboration with ORD researchers. One of the co-benefits is partnership building between EPA Region III and ORD.</p>							
Owner Email:	Konfirst.Matthew@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No			
Science Needs								
<p>Given the Office of Research and Development (ORD)'s role in developing and promoting the Adaptation Design Tool (ADT), partnership with ORD will be a critical factor in the success of this project.</p>								

Finalize the Region III Disaster Mitigation Implementation Strategy.

Climate Threat(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26				
Climate Vulnerability	<p><i>This project will address the “Flooding from increasingly frequent intense storm events and sea level rise” as well as “Water and energy infrastructure” and “Water quality impacts from climate change” vulnerabilities.</i></p>								
Action									
Description:	<p><i>The Region III Disaster Mitigation Implementation Strategy describes the regional roles and responsibilities in carrying out disaster mitigation through the Promote/Incentivize/Enforce framework.</i></p>								
Metric(s):	<p><i>Success will be measured by getting input from those in related regional roles and finalizing the document.</i></p>								
Project Challenges:	<p><i>Getting feedback on the regional roles and responsibilities from designated personnel.</i></p>								
Co-benefits:	<p><i>Successful implementation of this project will lead to increased regional coordination and disaster preparedness.</i></p>								
Owner Email:	Rachko.samantha@epa.gov	New Work?	No	Resources Available?	Yes				
Science Needs	N/A								

CHAPTER 6: Safeguard and Revitalize Communities

EPA's waste and land clean-up programs play a crucial role in protecting public health and the environment from exposure to hazardous materials, remediating contaminated property, and making these properties available for reuse. Changes in climate should be taken into consideration in order for the Region to continue to serve these important functions. Sea-level rise, storm and flood events, and increased ambient temperatures are climate change impacts of particular concern for these programmatic focus areas, as described in [Chapter 2](#). EPA Region III's waste and cleanup activities are addressed in two divisions, the Superfund and Emergency Management Division (SEMD) and the Land, Chemicals and Redevelopment Division (LCRD).

Superfund and Emergency Management Division

The SEMD is responsible for implementation of activities pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), the National Oil and Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency Plan (the National Contingency Plan or NCP) and the National Response Plan. The division assesses and addresses sites contaminated by hazardous substances and oil spills, prepares for responses to hazardous incidents and potential homeland security events, and engages in robust community involvement. Local area planning is a key part of the SEMD's preparedness program and involves coordinating, planning and training local partners involved in emergency response and chemical response actions. During preparation for a severe weather event, EPA Region III communicates with local area planning groups, permitted facilities, state partners, and responsible parties and with our state partners to identify potential vulnerabilities during the storm event and then to identify, mitigate and remediate any effects after the weather event has occurred on the sites.




Figure 6.1 – Overview of the Superfund remedial process.

Land, Chemicals, and Redevelopment Division

The LCRD is also responsible for safeguarding and revitalizing communities. Its goal is to provide a safe, clean and sustainable future and is charged with the responsibility of supporting the Agency's mission by protecting the land and its inhabitants from chemicals and hazardous waste. It does so by preventing pollution, promoting sustainable materials management, and supporting redevelopment and community revitalization mandated under several environmental statutes. These programs include the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and Brownfields

Redevelopment and Sustainable Materials Management. The Division's achievements are reflected in a number of activities such as:

- Continuing partnerships with States and industry on RCRA hazardous waste site cleanups and redevelopment;
- Working with the Army Corp of Engineers through an Intergovernmental Agreement to assist with RCRA site assessments and cleanups;
- Promoting sustainable materials management through partnerships, including sustainable management of food and electronics and helping the government to be a “green” leader;
- Guarding against mismanagement of hazardous waste;
- Working with states to ensure underground storage tanks are intact and sites are ready for anticipated uses, and
- Encouraging site/property revitalization through the Brownfields Revitalization Act, including awarding grant funds for technical assessments and site cleanups.
 - Revitalization and redevelopment of former industrial sites pose an opportunity to work with our state partners to encourage the implementation of climate change measures and apply climate adaptation and resilience strategies as part of revitalization. Although grant solicitation and evaluation criteria are EPA Headquarters driven, the Brownfields Branch in EPA Region III can perform targeted outreach to vulnerable communities and educate them on how to address climate change with their existing projects and in Brownfields grant applications. EPA Region III Brownfields will integrate climate adaptation into programs and policies while working alongside states, non-profits, local governments, and other community groups. Specific metrics will be developed and tracked to ensure that Brownfield lands are being restored in a climate conscious approach to revitalization.








Opportunities for Climate Action

In conclusion, impacts from climate change to EPA’s waste and land cleanup programs are real and should be evaluated throughout the life of a project. The priority actions identified in this section will take steps to ensure climate change vulnerabilities are considered in planning, evaluating, and remediating our NPL and other land cleanup sites.

To safeguard and revitalize communities, EPA Region III will take the following actions:

19. Consider climate vulnerabilities at Superfund sites.
20. Engage Superfund communities on climate.
21. Prioritize Long Term Stewardship (LTS) assessments for RCRA corrective action facilities located in floodplains.
22. Build climate adaptation into Brownfields grants.
23. Apply Sustainable Materials Management (SMM) to agricultural practices.








Consider climate vulnerabilities at Superfund sites.

Climate Threat(s):							
Overarching Goal(s):							
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26		
Climate Vulnerability	A range of potential vulnerabilities will be addressed by this action. Ensuring that actions taken in the Superfund program remain protective includes evaluating climate vulnerabilities from a range of impacts such as sea-level rise, storm and flood events, increased ambient temperatures.						
Action	<p>Description: SEMD will create a divisional Standard Operating Procedure which will describe how to consider, evaluate, and document potential climate vulnerabilities throughout the Superfund process (i.e.: site investigations, removal and remedial activities and five-year reviews). This action will build upon existing tools and resources such as OLEM Climate Vulnerability Assessments, climate adaptation guidance from OLEM and the regional climate mapping tool. Part of this priority action will include developing template language to use in the development of a Statement of Work for Superfund activities.</p>						
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Launch divisional workgroup- FY 22 • Number of workgroup meetings tracked -FY22/23 • Evaluate existing and any new SOPs/ guidance/ BMPs from OLEM- FY 22/23 • If appropriate develop divisional tool FY23 						
Project Challenges:	Resourcing issues and staff capacity to participate. Adoption at enforcement led projects and federal facilities.						
Co-benefits:	Allow for more resilient and adaptable remedies to be identified and implemented; potential for more positive impacts to surrounding communities in addressing climate vulnerabilities.						
Owner Email:	Mohollen.Laura@epa.gov ; Kennedy.Cathleen@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes		
Science Needs	Science needs previously identified by SEMD include science and tools needed to map, predict and prepare for climate vulnerabilities at Superfund sites, and ecological impacts. (see Chapter 9)						

Additional Narrative:

The National Contingency Plan dictates that potential site remedies are evaluated by nine criteria (outlined in [40 CFR 300.430\(e\)\(9\)\(iii\)](#)). The evaluation considers both short- and long-term protectiveness of human health and the environment, and as such climate vulnerabilities should be considered when evaluating options for remedy selection. In addition, at Superfund sites where there are contaminants left in place or during an ongoing remedial action, a Five-Year Review is conducted to evaluate protectiveness, including vulnerabilities and impacts from a changing climate. Documenting these evaluations will ensure that any new or previously unidentified climate vulnerabilities are adequately assessed and tools such as EPA Office of Land and Emergency Management (OLEM) 2014 [Technical Fact Sheets](#) and the [Greener Cleanup Principals](#) will be identified and considered in the evaluations. With the above action, EPA Region III plans to more consistently and explicitly consider climate into all phases of evaluating and addressing remedial and removal sites.





Engage Superfund communities on climate.

Climate Threat(s):							
Overarching Goal(s):							
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3	
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26		
Climate Vulnerability	A range of potential vulnerabilities will be addressed by this action, as outlined in Chapter 2.						
Action							
Description:	Develop a standard practice to engage communities affected by Superfund sites and related activities to address relevant climate concerns (e.g., through a Site's Community Involvement Plan (CIP), Five-Year Review interviews).						
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluation of existing/ new guidance Development of template questions or discussion guides. 						
Project Challenges:	Participation and cooperation from a community affected by Superfund sites can be a challenge; along with ensuring the feedback received is truly reflective of local climate considerations.						
Co-benefits:	Build stronger partnerships and relationships with community members which may have information on local level climate considerations (i.e., local flooding in storm events).						
Owner Email:	Mohollen.Laura@epa.gov ; Kennedy.Cathleen@epa.gov		New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes	
Science Needs	N/A						





Additional Narrative:

Throughout the Superfund process, EPA conducts early, frequent and meaningful community engagement. This engagement is both statutorily required and implemented as a best practice. Ensuring climate considerations are incorporated into this community engagement will be a key part of ensuring local level and community concerns are understood. By developing a SOP which outlines standard questions and climate information to consider at a community level will ensure that engagement is consistent, equitable and defined, allowing EPA to ensure it is incorporated throughout the project.





Prioritize Long Term Stewardship (LTS) assessments for RCRA corrective action facilities located in floodplains.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability	Increased flooding and sea-level rise may increase the risk of contaminant releases from vulnerable Brownfields Redevelopment sites.							
Action	<p>Description: LCRD will give (and encourage EPA Region III states to give) higher priority to Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Corrective Action (CA) facilities with completed remedies located within 100-year flood plains for Long Term Stewardship (LTS) Assessments. In addition, all new Remedy Decisions, for facilities located in 100-year flood plains, will include consideration of potential climate change impacts as part of the long-term effectiveness element of the Remedy Selection balancing criteria.</p> <p>Metric(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of LTS assessments conducted within 100-year flood plain. • Number of new Remedy Decisions evaluated for Climate Change impacts <p>Project Challenges: This work is integrated in the core program work.</p> <p>Co-benefits: Disaster preparedness and partnership building</p> <p>Owner Email: Pizarro.Luis@epa.gov</p> <p>New Work? No</p> <p>Resources Available? Yes</p>							
Science Needs	N/A							

Build climate adaptation into Brownfields grants.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability	Increased flooding and sea-level rise may increase the risk of contaminant releases from vulnerable Brownfields Redevelopment sites							
Action	<p>Description: EPA Region III can also work with HQ Office of Brownfields and Land Revitalization to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasize climate adaptation as a key part of the Brownfields application/solicitation process. • Develop outreach strategies to promote climate adaptations for grantees. • Have additional funding available for Brownfield cleanups grants that are pursuing greenspace redevelopment. • Incorporate new technical assistance from EPA contractors to evaluate a grantee's jurisdiction for maximizing climate adaptations. 							
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of outreach events that incorporate climate adaptations for brownfields grantees. • Number of Brownfields reuse plans that take changing climate conditions into consideration. 							
Project Challenges:	Resources and tool development obstacles							
Co-benefits:	Greenhouse gas mitigation, disaster preparedness and partnership building.							
Owner Email:	Gilmartin.Brett@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No			
Science Needs	N/A							

Apply Sustainable Materials Management (SMM) to agricultural practices.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability								
<p><i>As a changing climate will impact agricultural production and output, it will be even more important to prevent wasted food as farms adapt, and to better divert wasted food from landfills. Similarly, other materials like plastics, metals and construction and demolition waste will need to be more sustainably managed to adapt to increasing demands and reducing supply. Disaster debris can also be better diverted from landfill disposal.</i></p>								
Action								
Description:	<p><i>Consult and partner with states, tribes, territories, local governments, environmental justice organizations, community groups, businesses, and other federal agencies to strengthen adaptive capacity and increase the resilience of the nation, with a particular focus on advancing environmental justice.</i></p> <p><i>Foster a greater awareness of and promote the benefits of using finished compost, including carbon sequestration and water retention. Other benefits include helping to suppress plant disease, improving soil structure (enabling the soil to better hold on to essential nutrients), enhancing soil fertility, increasing crop yields, and reducing the need for chemical fertilizers and pesticides.</i></p> <p><i>Foster a greater awareness of digestate from anaerobic digestion. The nutrients in digestate can be land-applied and can help promote healthy soil, including soil structure, root development, resistance against drought/disease, and increase in crop yields.</i></p>							
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Increase organics materials (tons/year processing capacity/collection infrastructure).</i> 							
Project Challenges:	<p><i>EPA has refocused efforts and is in the process of establishing new performance metrics.</i></p>							
Co-benefits:	<p><i>Greenhouse gas mitigation</i></p> <p><i>Disaster preparedness and partnership building, including through the EPA Region III Disaster Support Workgroup</i></p>							
Owner Email:	Daw.Harry@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	No			
Science Needs								
<p><i>This is being addressed at the national level.</i></p>								

CHAPTER 7: Ensure Safety of Chemicals for People and the Environment

The Land, Chemicals, and Redevelopment Division (LCRD) envisions a Safe, Clean and Sustainable Future and is charged with the responsibility of supporting the Agency's mission by protecting its inhabitants from chemicals and hazardous waste and preventing pollution. LCRD's Programs are mandated under several environmental statutes including the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), Residential Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act, Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act of 1986 (AHERA), Emergency Preparedness and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) TRI Program, and Pollution Prevention (P2) Act.

One of the key programs at the intersection of chemical safety and climate adaptation is the P2 program. The goal of the P2 Program is to achieve source reduction including reducing hazardous material use, water use, emissions and costs at manufacturers and other businesses.

The P2 program provides grant funding that supports the identification of best practices to reduce toxic chemical use through reduction and/or substitution. These grants fund technical assistance for states, tribes, local governments, communities and industry.

The program is coordinated at the national level, but EPA Region III participated in the development of new climate adaptation and mitigation grant criteria and will play a key role in the selection and management of these grants. The proposed FY22/23 P2 Grant Request for Application (RFA) will include an evaluation criterion called "Climate Emphasis," which will provide a point value to the quality and extent to which their narrative describes how the applicant intends to provide and emphasize P2 technical assistance to address the climate impacts of business facilities.





Additionally, the P2 program tracks Metric Tons of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent (MTCO_{2e}) reduced, which supports climate mitigation efforts. It also measures pounds of hazardous material reduced, gallons of water reduced, and costs reduced.

Opportunities for Climate Action

To ensure safety of chemicals for people and the environment, EPA Region III will take the following actions:

24. Incorporate climate considerations into Pollution Prevention (P2) Program grants.
25. Protect honeybee pollinators using Integrated Pest Management.
26. Evaluate the impact of unusual weather events on pesticide "spray drift."






Incorporate climate considerations into Pollution Prevention (P2) Program grants.

Climate Threat(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26				
Climate Vulnerability	A changing climate can affect exposures to a wide range of chemicals. Exposures may change because of changing environmental conditions or changing use patterns.								
Action									
Description:	P2 Grants consider climate emphasis as a small point value grantee application evaluation selection criterion. The P2 program requests that grantees report MTCO _{2e} implementation results annually.								
Metric(s):	• MTCO _{2e} reduced								
Project Challenges:	Adequate FTE to manage additional Infrastructure grant funds								
Co-benefits:	Amplification of P2 benefits and best practices								
Owner Email:	Piergiovanni.Peter@epa.gov		New Work?	No	Resources Available?	Yes			
Science Needs									
	N/A								

Additional Narrative:

The EPA Region III P2 Program will award funds to technical providers that assist businesses and address climate change impacts. This will be achieved through providing technical information, best practices, and networking to reduce toxic chemical use and address climate change impacts.





Protect honeybee pollinators using Integrated Pest Management.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability	<p>A changing climate can affect exposures to a wide range of chemicals. Exposures may change because of changing environmental conditions or changing use patterns. EPA's efforts to reduce exposures may be affected, including through impacts to pesticide exposure models. Many of EPA's tools and models for examining exposure to chemicals rely on inputs that are sensitive to climate data.</p>							
Action								
Description:	<p>EPA Region III LCRD is focusing efforts on protecting an essential crop pollinator, the honeybee, from detrimental pests and acute pesticide poisoning through their FY22 Integrated Pest Management (IPM) project.</p>							
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of participants attending webinar. 							
Project Challenges:	<p>Resources to update tools and methods</p>							
Co-benefits:	<p>Disaster preparedness, partnership building; decrease in food insecurity due to more crop availability</p>							
Owner Email:	Forman.Debra@epa.gov		New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes		
Science Needs	<p>Updated data, tools and methods</p>							

Additional Narrative:

EPA Region III LCRD is focusing efforts on a Managed Pollinator Protection Plan (MP3) to control pests and reduce pesticide application. Specifically, LCRD is focusing efforts on protecting an essential crop pollinator, the honeybee, from detrimental pests and acute pesticide poisoning through their FY22 Integrated Pest Management (IPM) project. With the development and promotion of outreach materials, this project will spread awareness on three honeybee pests, the Varroa Mite, Greater Wax Moth, and Small Hives Beetle, and IPM strategies to control and prevent these pests from destroying regional honeybee populations. Utilizing geolocation data of registered apiaries and stakeholders, the project will pay particular attention to engaging minority, low-income, and vulnerable communities. LCRD looks to improve the body of knowledge on pollinator pests, sustainable control, and prevention to increase the region's resilience to climate change.

Evaluate the impact of unusual weather events on pesticide “spray drift.”

Climate Threat(s):							
Overarching Goal(s):							
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26		
Climate Vulnerability	<p>A changing climate can affect exposures to a wide range of chemicals. Exposures may change because of changing environmental conditions or changing use patterns. EPA’s efforts to reduce exposures may be affected, including through impacts to pesticide exposure models. Many of EPA’s tools and models for examining exposure to chemicals rely on inputs that are sensitive to climate data.</p>						
Action	<p>Description: State FIFRA inspectors will collect weather data for the day of the application from the nearest weather station and review the certified applicator’s records which must include weather data as part of the inspection. The EPA Region III states have agreed to compile these findings and report out during year-end reporting in the FIFRA grant workplans. EPA Region III States have agreed to include unusual weather observations and overall weather trends in their reporting. For instance, if a state notices a higher than usual number of spray drift complaints in a season, they agree to evaluate weather data for the incidents and report out on any weather trends which could have led to the higher incidence rates.</p>						
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collection of spray drift incidence rate baseline data 						
Project Challenges:	Adequate State resources, including ability to hire due to COVID.						
Co-benefits:	Disaster preparedness, partnership building; decrease in food insecurity due to more crop availability						
Owner Email:	Forman.Debra@epa.gov		New Work?	No	Resources Available?	Yes	
Science Needs	Updated data, tools and methods						

Additional Narrative:

Four of the EPA Region III states have chosen Spray Drift as one of their FIFRA PPG (Performance Partnership Grant) workplan “pick list” items to report out on annually to address climate adaptation. The Grant Guidance activities involve the state “monitoring compliance with spray drift label language and report investigation findings as part of year-end reporting.” The states then agree to perform use inspections involving review of label language to ensure drift precautions were adhered to during applications.

CHAPTER 8: Enforce Environmental Laws and Ensure Compliance

Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division & Office of Regional Counsel

This chapter was developed by the EPA Region III Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division (ECAD) and the Office of Regional Counsel (ORC). ECAD is responsible for developing and implementing enforcement and compliance assurance programs in the Region, by conducting inspections and investigations and compelling compliance with environmental laws and regulations. ORC represents the Region in legal matters including civil, criminal, and administrative cases, and regulatory and permit actions. ORC provides legal support to ECAD to develop and bring administrative and judicial cases to achieve compliance and negotiate settlements to enforce environmental laws through the Region.

ECAD has the opportunity to incorporate climate adaptation into its enforcement efforts, beginning with the identification of inspection targets, the collection of information on facilities to inform compliance determinations, through the crafting of resolutions in enforcement negotiations and cases that incorporate, and address climate adaptation needs in the Region. There is also an opportunity to focus these efforts to address underserved communities facing environmental justice concerns to help make these communities more resilient to climate change. ECAD will also coordinate with other EPA organizations, dedicating resources to incorporating climate adaptation into enforcement, including the Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.

There are many challenges to incorporating climate adaptation strategies in the enforcement process. Many environmental laws are designed to preserve an existing environmental baseline and restore damage to that baseline through enforcement. With climate change, the environmental baseline is changing and will continue to change. In addition, it is difficult to predict how this baseline will change in the future.

Adaptation can be reactive to changes already underway, but it can also be anticipatory, predicting and proactively preparing for future climate change events. This uncertainty, varying interpretations of risk, and a lack of a defined legal framework for adaptation mean that the Region will need to approach the integration of adaptation flexibly, on a case-by-case basis, depending on geography and industry. The Region will need to use the tools at its disposal creatively in negotiations—incorporating adaptation measures through mitigation projects, injunctive relief, and other tools that may become available in the future (such as Supplemental Environmental Projects). Currently, the ability to incorporate climate adaptation measures into enforcement cases may have varying levels of success because in many instances participation in adaptation projects may be voluntary, subject to negotiation, and regulated entities will have different levels of interest and resources to dedicate to adaptation efforts.

Climate change will also require the Region to adapt the ways in which it carries out its enforcement and compliance duties. As described in [Chapter 2](#), ECAD anticipates that climate change may impact how the Region prioritizes enforcement initiatives, the allocation of resources, and the Region's ability to inspect, monitor and ensure compliance with environmental laws.

Opportunities for Climate Action

ECAD proposes to incorporate climate change adaptation considerations both in the initial stages of targeting facilities for inspections and as a part of resolution of enforcement cases, when feasible.

Leveraging Targeting, Data and Tools to Integrate Adaptation

As described below in more detail, several of ECAD's Priority Actions will focus on screening, data collection, and using tools in the targeting process to identify facilities located in the Region facing various climate adaptation challenges. In some cases, this involves leveraging tools already accessible to the agency, but using them in new ways to focus on targeting areas and facilities that will need to consider adaptation measures. In other cases, ECAD will screen and collect data on different types of industries and facilities for consideration in its targeting and inspections.

Using Tools to Target for Adaptation: For instance, ECAD already utilizes "[EJ Screen](#)" as a means of identifying Environmental Justice (EJ) areas of concern and to target enforcement efforts in these areas when possible. EJ Screen's default is to draw a radius of several miles surrounding a facility or site. ECAD proposes to expand the use of EJ Screen to creatively draw polygons to target facilities across media in ways that are tailored to the geography and demographics of an area. This includes considerations that air pollution travels with prevailing winds (often in the northeast), while water pollution impacts may be in the opposite direction, going downstream. Other technology can similarly be leveraged to identify new locations requiring enforcement and adaptation consideration, including Geospatial Measurement of Air Pollution ("GMAP"), Forward Looking Infrared ("FLIR") cameras, Data Analysis and Reporting Tool ("DART"), flyovers with aircrafts to identify otherwise previously unknown emitting facilities or sectors, and leveraging satellite data and other tools to identify impacts from other media. ECAD also proposes to use these technological tools as part of an effort to target, inspect, and monitor smaller landfills and those solely owned and operated by municipalities.

Screening and Collecting Data to Target for Adaptation: ECAD also proposes to screen and collect data in certain areas to inform targeting for adaptation purposes. This includes priority actions to: 1) identify federal facilities located in vulnerable areas and to emphasize adaptation measures, where applicable, in federal facility enforcement cases; 2) identify and consider in targeting instances where facilities may move from one area with heavy community involvement or a low EJ screen score to a new area that may lack community engagement and/or have a higher EJ screen score; and 3) target facilities in certain sectors that face similar adaptation challenges and incorporating adaptation measures during permit renewal phases and enforcement. An example in this last category is a priority action to identify aging wastewater treatment plants in the Region soon up for permit renewal that will need to adapt to heavy rains and flooding. These climate change factors could affect these facilities' ability to treat wastewater effectively. As part of negotiation of an Administrative Order on Consent or consent decree, such cases could include injunctive relief that includes measuring, monitoring and reporting of stormwater flows over time, analyzing hydraulic capacity and integrity of piping, pumps and treatment systems, minimizing infiltration and inflow from greater stormwater flows and higher water tables, and identifying facility improvements to handle increased frequency and intensity of wet weather events.

Incorporating Adaptation into Resolution of Enforcement Actions






ECAD intends to incorporate adaptation considerations in the resolution of all enforcement actions, when feasible. This includes discussing adaptation challenges with respondents during negotiations, and incorporating adaptation measures, where feasible, into injunctive relief, mitigation projects, and Supplemental Environmental Projects (if/when they become available) in enforcement cases, and in long term planning for incorporation into Consent Decrees. ECAD also intends to work on enhancing community engagement in judicial cases, and administrative cases where possible, to solicit input from communities on special projects that could include adaptation projects. For instance, as a part of resolution of an enforcement case, ECAD and ORC could include in negotiations updating emergency response plans, stormwater pollution prevention plans, operation and maintenance standard operating procedures, training, and other planning documents to address impacts associated with more intense weather events.

ECAD and ORC intend to consult with the HQ Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, which, as part of its priority actions, will be designating “adaptation experts,” and collating and creating an inventory of adaptation measures to consider for different types of industries and locations as a resource to refer to for enforcement cases.








To enforce environmental laws and ensure compliance, EPA Region III will take the following actions:

27. Use EJ Screen as an enforcement inspection targeting tool.
28. Increase use of technology to survey large areas to identify areas of focus.
29. Prioritize inspections of facilities with potential climate adaptation and EJ impacts through the landfill targeting initiative.
30. Identify reasons for relocation of facilities.









Use EJ Screen as an enforcement inspection targeting tool.

Climate Threat(s):									
Overarching Goal(s):									
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1			LTPG 2		LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23		FY24	FY25	FY26			
Climate Vulnerability	Increased tropospheric ozone; Altered effects on the stratospheric ozone layer; Interactions of sulfur, nitrogen, and mercury deposition within ecosystems; Water quality impacts from climate change; Storage and Use of toxic chemicals								
Action									
Description:	Use EJ Screen in an innovative way to target areas with EJ concerns or areas adjacent to traditional EJ areas that are rural or may not meet the 80 th percentile EJ threshold for other reasons. Use “draw polygon” to target facilities across media in ways that are tailored to the geography and demographics of an area. For example, a medium size chemical manufacturer with both a CAA and NPDES permit in a standard prevailing wind area – CAA impacts will be mostly to the northeast, while NPDES (and SDWA) impacts will be noted downstream, which may be in the opposite direction from the air receptors.								
Metric(s):	Each media to report out on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inspections/offsite compliance monitoring in areas with EJ concerns resulting from use of this tool • Cases investigated or initiated 								
Project Challenges:	Updated data – some data sets may be years delayed due to data used and reporting requirements. Capturing EJ correctly – while this method may allow for expanded identification of EJ areas, existing EPA reporting tools may not currently allow for expanded methods to be used for reporting/recording and this effort could go untracked until databases are updated.								
Co-benefits:	Use of this approach can ensure that each community affected by different media contributions to pollution are addressed and ensure that communities that might not fall into a target list on a “standard” EJ screen can receive attention.								
Owner Email:	Willard.ErinM@epa.gov ; Hall.Kristen@epa.gov			New Work?	No	Resources Available?	Yes		
Science Needs	Use of EJ Screen software, and potentially overlapping technologies like monitoring network data or maps.								







Increase use of technology to survey large areas to identify areas of focus.

Climate Threat(s):								
Overarching Goal(s):								
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3		
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24		FY25	FY26		
Climate Vulnerability	Increased tropospheric ozone; Altered effects on the stratospheric ozone layer; Interactions of sulfur, nitrogen, and mercury deposition within ecosystems; Vulnerability and uncertainty related to impacts to water in the Region; Emergency response; Storage of toxic chemicals							
Action								
Description:	Create maps showing data over several days of survey to demonstrate where emissions may be moving and run EJ Screen/ Non-attainment overlays on those neighborhoods. Mapping and tracking emissions movement will better identify at risk communities who may not be located directly near a facility.							
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of maps created. 							
Project Challenges:	Wind rose modeling of this type is very useful but infrequently available on the small scale that would be provided by this type of monitoring. Providing more training to additional staff members, may need to utilize contractors to assist in survey events, formulate trainings, coordinate with OECA, NEIC for knowledge transfer and OJT opportunities for training.							
Co-benefits:	Address EJ concerns, identify previously unknown emitting facilities or sectors that can become compliance initiatives.							
Owner Email:	Willard.ErinM@epa.gov ;		New Work?	No	Resources Available?		Yes	
	Hall.Kristen@epa.gov							
Science Needs	Training, maintain equipment, research and learn about emerging technologies							

Prioritize inspections of facilities with potential climate adaptation and EJ impacts through the landfill targeting initiative.

Climate Threat(s):	 				   
Overarching Goal(s):		 			
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2		LTPG 3
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26
Climate Vulnerability	Altered effects on the stratospheric ozone layer; Increased tropospheric ozone; Water and energy infrastructure (e.g., Landfill Gas to Energy)				
Action					
Description:	Focus inspection/compliance monitoring efforts on landfills that have not reported as being subject to regulatory requirements based on landfill size and those solely owned and operated by a municipality because they frequently have fewer resources. Use technology like GMAP and methane flyovers to further refine targeting lists. Landfills have the 3 rd highest Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions of sources in the US.				
Metric(s):	• Number of inspections				
Project Challenges:	Landfill emissions data are entered by the facility and are dependent upon theoretical calculations that may not be completely accurate. Return to compliance frequently lags actions due to the nature of landfills. EPA should foster a positive relationship with states and local communities because they are “front line” on landfill compliance due to rule delegations and permitting				
Co-benefits:	EJ				
Owner Email:	Willard.ErinM@epa.gov ; Hall.Kristen@epa.gov	New Work?	No	Resources Available?	Yes
Science Needs	Technologies like GMAP, drones, FLIR camera and mapping				

Identify reasons for relocation of facilities.

Climate Threat(s):										
Overarching Goal(s):										
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1		LTPG 2			LTPG 3				
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26					
Climate Vulnerability	Interactions of sulfur, nitrogen, and mercury deposition within ecosystems; Vulnerability and uncertainty related to impacts to water in the Region; Restoring and preserving land; Use of toxic chemicals; Storage of toxic chemicals; Exposure to toxic chemicals from demolition/ renovation activities									
Action										
Description:	Attempt to identify instances where facilities may move from one area, with heavy community involvement and public pressure to comply, to another neighborhood or geographic area with a less sophisticated community. Review if the new area lacks community engagement and its EJ Screen score is significant, to better understand and potentially mitigate and/or prevent this type of physical move to a new location.									
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of facilities that moved from a non-EJ or barely EJ area to one that is EJ 									
Project Challenges:	Identify data tools that would allow staff to easily identify facilities with new addresses									
Co-benefits:	EJ, community partnership, effective permitting									
Owner Email:	Willard.ErinM@epa.gov ; Hall.Kristen@epa.gov		New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes				
Science Needs	ECHO Notify for multiple programs showing changes at facility level; review of other federal and state agency data sets, like the Department of Labor and state permitting amendments									

CHAPTER 9: Leveraging and Utilizing Science for Climate-resilient Decision Making

Applied Science

Each EPA Region III program brings essential, and often unique, scientific capability and capacity to bear on advancing the Agency's mission. That reservoir of talent and expertise will be tapped to ensure that sound and objective science is used to inform programmatic activities towards the goal of advancing the objectives with this CAIP and the Agency's climate objectives more broadly. The Laboratory Services and Applied Science Division (LSASD) serves as a key champion for science within EPA Region III and will continue to support regional programs and partners to meet their science, information and knowledge needs by providing laboratory analytical support; data analysis; visualization/mapping; decision-support tool creation; and field-based work including monitoring, biological assessment, and analyses.

Scientific knowledge and its application in decision making are critical in guiding the multitude of actions EPA Region III programs take to help our communities and environment adapt, mitigate, and create and maintain resiliency to the effects of climate change. The breadth and complexity of the science and knowledge needed to meet these challenges will require collaboration across disciplines, sectors, programs, agencies, communities, and science and research organizations. It will require us to understand climate change science, integrate research across natural, social, and health sciences and identify knowledge gaps. We also recognize the need to engage the Region's tribal communities and integrate their Traditional Ecological Knowledge (consistent with EPA policy) to create a more comprehensive framework for climate solutions.

As such, knowledge synthesis and utilization, including the dialogue that should occur between science and knowledge producers, "translators," and users, are key elements of our approach. An important place for us to begin the dialogue on our collective science and knowledge needs is by asking, "What critical climate change questions do our programs need answers to in order to inform decision-making?" These dialogues will ensure decision makers have the best available knowledge and will keep research efforts aligned with user needs.

However, given the scale and urgency of the challenge, and the pervasive and systemic nature of climate change and its impacts, addressing the science and knowledge needs will require an increasingly integrated, systems-based approach. This approach will need to advance multiple program-specific science questions/priorities; consider cross-programmatic and cumulative effects and impacts in parallel; and integrate social, health, and natural scientific disciplines.

Collaboration to Maximize Knowledge Production

We will coordinate with EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD) and the other relevant national program office on collaboration, networking, and outreach activities to develop a strategic approach to engaging with them, and other agencies and institutions. ORD and the other national program offices are developing Climate Adaptation Implementation Plans in parallel to the EPA Region III Climate Adaptation Implementation Plan. The ORD Plan specifically identifies climate-related science needs as a focus area and is incorporating those needs into Strategic Research Action Plans (StRAPs), which serve as blueprints for structuring and

coordinating research activities. A major part of our approach will be to work hand-in-hand with our Regional Science Liaison (RSL) and ORD to identify and share our science and knowledge needs. We have already provided early climate-specific science needs input to ORD during the current round of StRAP planning. We will also take advantage of collaborative opportunities like the Regional-ORD Applied Research (ROAR) Program to fulfill the needs identified by our regional programs.

Given the amount of science and research being conducted and supported by other federal agencies, state agencies, academic institutions, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), a key element of our science approach is to establish partnerships with these groups. Primarily, we want to ensure we are aware of what other groups are doing so we can: leverage and not duplicate effort; inform others of our needs and work collaboratively to have them filled; and ensure information and products produced are useable by regional staff, decisionmakers, and our partners and stakeholders, so as to integrate climate adaptation into our programs and assist our partners in integrating adaptation into their programs.

EPA Region III is also fortunate to have an existing example of a strong and effective approach to networking and partnering with other agencies and organizations in the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership. The Chesapeake Bay Program Office (CBPO) (see Chapter 5) will be engaged to bring lessons learned and insights to partnering to the regional level.

Lastly, to ensure integration of climate adaptation science and knowledge into our, and our partners, day to day operations and programs, we will need to be aware of and facilitate climate science training and knowledge transfer. A subsequent chapter of this CAIP further details how this capacity building will be an aspect of our interaction and collaboration with all our regional programs and partners. Examples may include:

- Becoming an internal and external resource for key climate related science areas.
- Transferring science, including training and outreach, to regional program staff to ensure the latest research is being applied in programmatic decision making.
- Attending training and events hosted by other agencies and entities to build internal scientific capacity and foster collaboration.
- Creating outreach and knowledge transfer opportunities for potential partners and stakeholders to create engagement opportunities.
- Fostering intra-Agency sharing of relevant research and lessons learned with other EPA Regions and programs to avoid redundancy and maximize resources.

Opportunities for Climate Action

Although many science and knowledge needs exist, there is already a strong knowledge base on which to build. The urgency of the climate crisis means that decision makers should not and cannot wait for identified science needs to be met prior to initiating action. Climate action must continue in parallel with research activities, drawing on existing knowledge and incorporating new insights as they become available. To assist with integrating and applying new and existing science results and knowledge into programmatic activities, and to identify additional needs through knowledge application, the development of an adaptive management approach to ensure science needs are routinely evaluated and updated will be initiated.

Science needs are identified essential elements of many of the priority actions articulated in this CAIP. These needs are thematically aligned as follows:

- Geospatial representation of key climate-related data and related data analytics
 - Floodplain maps, satellite imagery, weather-related metrics, industrial source/infrastructure mapping, health indicators, etc.
- Assessment tool development and application
 - Sea-level Exploration and Assessment Decision-making Tool
 - NPL Flood Vulnerability Tool
 - Adaptation Design Tool for climate-smart permitting
- Sector-specific and community-based technical assistance
 - Resilient infrastructure
 - Disaster mitigation
 - Health and welfare impacts

A number of years ago, EPA Region III proactively initiated a process for identifying and compiling an inventory of science and research needs for our divisions and programs led by the Regional Science Council (RSC), which is made up of scientists from each of the Region’s divisions. In 2021, the existing inventory of regional science needs was augmented to categorize climate-related and environmental justice science needs. Currently, the list contains approximately 40 different climate-related science needs. The RSC members reached out to their respective divisions to compile the list; and going forward, the RSC will lead and be heavily engaged in maintaining, updating, and helping to fulfill the identified science needs. As mentioned, EPA Region III shared this list with ORD in the fall of 2021. The EPA Region III’s top 3 climate science and research needs as identified in our science need inventory are:

- Climate vulnerability assessments using Mid-Atlantic-specific climate scenarios on:
 - Water quality and particularly the design/function of water quality Best Management Practices (BMPs). This aligns with the [Chesapeake Executive Council Directive No. 21-1: Collective Action for Climate Change](#), which aims to “update best management practice design standards to account for the impacts of climate change, using leading predictive models and tools, to ensure investments made today continue to yield benefits even as the climate changes.”
 - EPA regulated facilities and associated communities.
- Impacts to and design considerations for wetland, stream and terrestrial restoration under Mid-Atlantic-specific climate scenarios.
- Framework and standardized parameter values/thresholds for assessing social, economic, and psychosocial disruptions to communities from present/future climate change such as increased storms, sea-level rise and heat waves. Particular attention to vulnerable communities that would be severely impacted with an emphasis on children's health.

The science needs specifically identified in this CAIP will be added to the EPA Region III comprehensive science needs inventory. The inventory is used to direct and inform our efforts to meet existing and emerging science needs.

Summary of Key Actions:

- Maintain an updated list of regional climate science and knowledge needs, including consideration of cross-program and system-based needs.
- Enlist the RSC's assistance in investigating a potential adaptive management approach to identifying and integrating science and knowledge into our programmatic activities.
- Work with our RSL on sharing and incorporating regional science and knowledge needs into ORD's planning processes and make connections to relevant ORD research and programs (e.g., the ROAR Program).
- Provide science-based support and assistance to regional programs and partners and serve as a conduit of needs to other science providers.
- Share and utilize insights learned from the vast experience of the CBPO collaborating and networking to meet science and knowledge needs.
- Develop and initiate an approach to interact and collaborate with other federal agencies, states, NGOs, academic institutions, and Native American communities.
- Identify and support training and knowledge transfer opportunities for regional programs and our state and local government, NGO partners, Tribes, and communities.

CHAPTER 10: Developing Climate Leaders for Tomorrow

Tackling an existential global environmental crisis such as climate change requires informed and energized leadership today. The arc of the global community's response to climate change began decades ago and will require a sustainable cadre of climate leaders well into the future. A fundamental step toward that goal is for EPA Region III to focus on building the capacity of our existing staff; recruiting a climate-educated workforce; and providing opportunities for Agency staff to practice and share their knowledge within the communities in which they live and serve.

Education and Capacity Development

For well over 20 years, EPA Region III has been providing opportunities for its staff to build individual and programmatic capacity around a full suite of climate-related topics. Much of that early work focused on raising the climate awareness of all staff, regardless of their position or organizational function. This type of general capacity-building needs to be maintained as part of our everyday business for both existing and new staff – every one of us has a role to play.

While the entire EPA Region III workforce must attain a sound basic understanding of the impacts of climate change and means for making a difference, an ever-growing cohort of regional staff will need to build advanced technical knowledge and capacity. Again, EPA Region III is by no means starting at square one with regard to its native technical capacity. Across the Region, seasoned, as well as more junior staff possess an impressive level of climate-specific technical expertise and experience. The Region must continue to build on that strong foundation by developing and sustaining deliberate and coordinated opportunities to enhance our collective knowledge base around such topics as:

- Atmospheric and terrestrial climate change science
- Greenhouse gas generation and mitigation
- Media-specific climate impacts
- Climate adaptation
- Legal and regulatory approaches to greenhouse gas control and mitigation
- Associated knowledge in demographics, community health, ecosystem services
- Proficiency in data science, GIS, modeling, etc.
- Emerging technologies and strategies

Reaching staff to deliver foundational knowledge on climate change can be achieved through a variety of channels. Substantial passive resources exist on EPA's website and through its library services. Both provide opportunities for self-learners to navigate themselves to a wide array of similar external resources. Formal trainings delivered across a variety of platforms will provide staff with a more active and participatory educational experience. Much of the content for such trainings readily exists and can be leveraged to create and deliver general climate education. Other education modalities will continue to be used and adapted to provide basic climate content to staff. These include program-specific training being developed by EPA National Program Offices (see Table 10.1), mandatory/non-mandatory on-line training, leadership messaging, the Region's electronic bulletin board ("R3 in the Know"), social media, in-office signage, computer desktop "signage;" etc.

Climate Adaptation Training Module by Lead Office	Tentative Availability Date
Office of Policy (OP) – Climate Adaptation 101	Summer 2022
Regulation Writers	End of 2022
Office of Water (OW)	End of 2022
Office of Land and Emergency Management (OLEM)	End of 2022
Office of Air and Radiation (OAR)	End of 2023
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP)	End of 2023
Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (OECA)	End of 2023
Office of Mission Support (OMS)	End of 2023
Office of Homeland Security (OHS)	End of 2023
Office of International and Tribal Affairs (OITA)	End of 2023
Office of Research and Development (ORD)	End of 2023

Table 10.1 – List of climate adaptation training modules being developed by National Program Offices and the tentative date the training will be available.

In developing and sustaining our existing technical prowess, we can leverage the learning opportunities described above. It will also require a continuation and expansion of specialized and targeted educational and capacity building strategies. The Regional Science Council (RSC) has a long track record of coordinating and delivering technical training to staff. Its more recently deployed “Regional Science Council Presents” (RSCP) training program provides an ideal platform to routinely deliver learning events. Each month, at a minimum, the RSCP conducts an educational event focused on a particular topic relevant to the work and lives of the staff. The infrastructure of the RSCP will be leveraged to develop a climate-specific training agenda that will provide content that will target technical learners, as well as more broad-based participants. On a related note, it is envisioned that the RSCP platform will, as appropriate, be utilized as part of the Region’s coincident efforts to develop environmental justice leaders of tomorrow. There will be ample opportunity to provide coordinated and integrated content on these two important and interwoven challenges.

The RSCP represents only one means to bring the necessary technical education resources to regional staff. The Region’s long-standing human resources-based training program will continue to focus on staff development and will pursue the types of formal internal and external training events that staff have benefited from for years. This will include procuring training conducted in-house for large audiences, as well as supporting individualized training provided by external entities such as academic institutions and non-governmental organizations.

Another essential means for developing technical capacity is through on-the-job training opportunities. The Region should continue to provide staff with opportunities to build their knowledge basis and expertise through cross-program and cross-agency temporary work assignments and details. This type of knowledge transfer will exponentially expand the collective

expertise while having the collateral benefit of forging new collaborative relationships with important partners in addressing the climate change challenge.

In a similar fashion, the Agency's and Region's formal Science Program provides unique opportunities for staff to immerse themselves into the pursuit of highly technical science and research. Each year, EPA Region III (like each of the other EPA Regions) has the opportunity to identify priority research needs and to pursue those needs in collaboration with the Office of Research and Development (ORD). (see [Chapter 9](#)) These rigorous research projects allow regional staff to develop skills and expertise while creating the science to help the Region address important environmental challenges. Now, and in the future, the challenges of climate change and environmental justice will play a central role in the Region's decision-making process for prioritizing where to apply its limited research resources. The Regional Science Program provides staff with other opportunities to develop essential technical skills through the Regional Research Partnership Program (R2P2) and the Regional/ORD Community of Science Networking Program (ROCS-Net).

[Recruiting and Sustaining Climate Leadership](#)

It is not enough to ensure existing on-board staff are climate aware and trained. The Agency and the Region must seek to recruit and retain within its ranks a workforce that comes to us with advanced education and abilities specific to addressing climate change. In much the same way the Agency pursues an ever more diverse and representative workforce, we must emphasize the need for skills and aptitude in the foundational challenges of today and tomorrow such as climate change and environmental justice. The Region's talented human resources staff will prove to be effective agents in helping the Region meet this objective. Likewise, all hiring officials will be aware of the priority to seek recruits that bring these attributes.

In support of the pursuit of climate-ready new hires, regional leadership and human resources staff can look for innovative ways to adapt existing recruitment and hiring strategies. This includes targeting higher education institutions that emphasize the development of the skills we are seeking. We should expand our recent efforts to implement a formal intern program (Pathways Internships) that will allow us to mentor future employees and perhaps encourage them to develop the types of skills we need while they are still pursuing their higher education.

The expansive and ever-evolving nature of the climate change challenge necessitates a broader suite of talents and educational experiences. The Region should also consider expanding the traditional list of occupational series for which it recruits. Economists and accountants may be needed to devise and implement market-based solutions. Educators and marketers may support programming to change public behavior. We should be seeking problem solvers from across the entire occupational spectrum.

A hiring and retention strategy specific to recruiting and retaining climate expertise and leadership will be developed and provided to the regional management team as a resource.

[External Engagement on Education and Capacity Building](#)

In alignment with this plan's broader communications and engagement strategy (see [Chapter 11](#)), the Region will seek to engage with our community partners where we can bring value to enhancing awareness and understanding about climate change. Often, we can seek to deliver

capacity building that integrates climate and environmental justice educational resources and opportunities. Many of the same tools that provide learning opportunities to our own staff can be used in the community setting. The Agency has already made significant investment in developing publicly accessible climate change content through its online and social media platforms. The Region can develop strategies to amplify and draw attention to these resources during engagement with our local community partners. This would include tailoring the Region's outward facing messaging and communications to highlight the connections between our activities and programs and how they are making a difference on climate change.

The Region can also continue its legacy of being active educators in the community, particularly in partnership with local schools. We will also continue to leverage our prized Environmental Education Grant program to support environmental education projects that promote environmental awareness and stewardship and help provide people with the skills to take responsible actions to protect the environment.

A climate educated EPA Region III workforce can also be a tremendous asset in advancing climate awareness principles within our own communities. Giving us all an opportunity to put into practice our knowledge and expertise and to be agents of change where we live.












Throughout all of these activities, we must ensure that we implement engagement strategies that are inclusive of all of our communities and that are tailored to meet community members on their terms and within the context of their lives. Particular emphasis and energy should be focused on reaching those communities that are most impacted by, and least resilient to, the impacts of climate change. Achieving climate justice requires acknowledging and addressing the specific challenges faced by low-income residents, the elderly, minority communities, and other underserved and underrepresented communities.

Activities that advance external engagement and capacity building can be integrated into the Region's broader external engagement strategies.

To develop climate leaders for tomorrow, EPA Region III will take the following actions:

31. Assess resources and curricula for capacity development.
32. Develop a recruitment and retention strategy to build climate expertise and leadership in the EPA Region III workforce.
33. Build external capacity.












Assess resources and curricula for capacity development.

Climate Threat(s):											
Overarching Goal(s):											
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1			LTPG 2			LTPG 3				
Activity Timeframe:	FY22		FY23		FY24		FY25		FY26		
Climate Vulnerability											
<i>A climate educated staff and stakeholder community is an essential foundational component of a strategic effort to make meaningful progress on the full scope of climate adaptation objectives and goals.</i>											
Action											
Description:	<i>An assessment of current and existing training and educational resources will be conducted to identify gaps and opportunities. A compendium of those resources will be developed, and broad-based access will be provided. Companion training curricula will be developed and tailored for targeted audiences.</i>										
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Initial performance metrics will focus on the developmental timeliness and quality of the capacity building products and processes.</i> <i>Secondary metrics will be developed to assess training penetration and knowledge acquisition.</i> 										
Project Challenges:	<i>Curating an accessible, effective, and relevant suite of resources from the vast array of existing materials will require challenging assessment and decision-making. Ensuring that the resources and curricula are appropriately inclusive will require input from all of the relevant programs. The resources to develop, coordinate, and deliver educational content will require broad-based staff support.</i>										
Co-benefits:	<i>Capacity building and training will provide opportunities for cross-media awareness and collaboration.</i>										
Owner Email:	Campbell.Dave@epa.gov			New Work?	Yes		Resources Available?	Yes			
Science Needs											
<i>No unique science needs are associated with the training effort. Of course, the majority of the training will be science-based.</i>											

Develop a recruitment and retention strategy to build climate expertise and leadership in the EPA Region III workforce.

Climate Threat(s):										
Overarching Goal(s):										
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1			LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22		FY23		FY24		FY25		FY26	
Climate Vulnerability										
<p><i>The recruitment, development and retention of climate-focused staff and leaders is an essential foundational component of a strategic effort to make meaningful progress on the full scope of climate adaptation objectives and goals.</i></p>										
Action										
Description:	<p><i>An assessment of current and existing hiring practices will be conducted to identify best practices for the recruitment of targeted professionals. A strategic hiring plan will be developed and implemented to recruit climate professional and leaders. A development and retention strategy specific to climate professional will be developed and implemented.</i></p>									
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Initial performance metrics will focus on the timeliness and quality of the assessment and hiring and retention strategies.</i> <i>Secondary metrics will be developed to assess the success of the strategies using hiring and retention statistics.</i> 									
Project Challenges:	<p><i>The development and successful implementation of recruitment and hiring practices and strategies are complex and challenging exercises. They often require the integration of a variety of hiring goals and objectives. Likewise, they require commitment and support from corporate leadership in order to effectuate culture change within the recruitment process.</i></p>									
Co-benefits:	<p><i>Novel recruitment practices and pursuit of non-traditional professionals can bring new talents and expertise to the Region that can be leverage against other organizational priorities.</i></p>									
Owner Email:	Campbell.Dave@epa.gov			New Work?	Yes		Resources Available?	Yes		
Science Needs										
<p><i>No unique science needs are associated with the recruitment and retention effort.</i></p>										

Build external capacity.

Climate Threat(s):										
Overarching Goal(s):										
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1			LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26					
Climate Vulnerability										
<i>A climate educated stakeholder community is an essential foundational component of a strategic effort to make meaningful progress on the full scope of climate adaptation objectives and goals.</i>										
Action										
Description:	<i>An assessment of current and existing training and educational resources will be conducted to identify gaps, opportunities and relevance and appropriateness for public accessibility. A compendium of those resources will be developed, and a broad-based accessibility and communication strategic plan will be developed in coordination with the broader external engagement strategy.</i>									
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Initial performance metrics will focus on the developmental timeliness and quality of the assessment and accessibility and communication strategy.</i> <i>Secondary metrics will be developed to assess training penetration and knowledge acquisition.</i> 									
Project Challenges:	<i>Curating an accessible, effective, and relevant suite of resources from the vast array of existing materials will require challenging assessment and decision-making. Ensuring that the resources and curricula are appropriately inclusive will require input from all of the relevant programs. The resources to develop, coordinate, and deliver educational content will require broad-based staff support.</i>									
Co-benefits:	<i>Capacity building and training will provide opportunities for greater community engagement and collaboration.</i>									
Owner Email:	Campbell.Dave@epa.gov	New Work?	Yes	Resources Available?	Yes					
Science Needs										
<i>No unique science needs are associated with the external capacity building effort. Of course, the majority of the training will be science-based.</i>										

CHAPTER 11 Communication and Engagement Strategy

Working together

Region III recognizes that effective communication and engagement with key stakeholders throughout the MidAtlantic will be critical to the overall success of adaptation action. EPA's National Adaptation Plan directs this implementation effort to communicate and engage with Tribes, states, territories, local governments, environmental justice organizations, community groups, businesses, and other federal agencies to strengthen adaptive capacity and increase the resilience of the nation, with a particular focus on advancing environmental justice.

As climate change continues to alter the environment, these stakeholders, including the many diverse communities located throughout the Mid-Atlantic region, will need assistance to develop mitigation strategies and adapt accordingly. To succeed, Region III will communicate our priorities, but also develop strategies to hear and understand the needs of our communities, providing the foundation for more effective engagement and collaboration over the long-term.

Region III plans to focus our initial engagement with the public sector partners in the states, cities, and local communities that are often at the vanguard of climate adaptation. Region III will identify those communities that are already addressing and planning for climate adaptation to coordinate efforts, avoid duplication, identify gaps and provide support. This includes, but is not limited to, coordinating with local sustainability offices, non-governmental organizations, citizen advisory committees, and local municipalities. This also include EPA Region III offering consultation to the seven (7) Region III federally recognized tribes and the Seneca Nation of Indians on the draft Regional Climate Adaptation Implementation Plan.

Listening to Vulnerable Communities

In addition, the Region will need to identify and engage with the vulnerable populations throughout the Mid-Atlantic that may be disproportionately impacted by climate change and other environmental harms, including children, the elderly, minorities, low-income communities, communities of color, medically vulnerable people, tribal and indigenous peoples, and people with limited access to information, or limited English proficiency. The Region will identify communities that may experience direct impacts from climate change, including extreme weather events, increased and more intense rain events, flooding, sea level rise, more frequent heat waves, increased use of pesticides due to changes in insect habitats, among other effects.

Region III will continue to collect data on vulnerable communities, research effective adaptation strategies and best management practices, and will synthesize this information into mapping tools and other resources to provide to the public through its website, trainings, workshops, forums and other forms of community engagement. The Region will also continue to build partnerships with the public, connecting communities with resources and information needed for adaptation. This means both maintaining existing partnerships and making new partnerships with regional environmental and climate justice coalitions, local communities, neighborhood and community advocacy groups, tribes, non-governmental organizations, private entities and industry, the agricultural sector, state agencies, and other federal agencies to collaborate and find solutions to continue to protect the environment and public health in the face of climate change.

Top-down and Bottom-up Engagement

The region's overall strategy for meeting these engagement objectives will be a combination of (a) top-down engagement with leadership at the relevant federal, state, and local organizations paired with support for the (b) bottom-up engagement developed with individual actions in this plan.

The top down, more centralized engagement at the leadership level will allow us to share information from this plan and learn about the priorities and actions of partner organizations in order to determine areas for collaboration. With the launch of this planning process, we will start our engagement with the organizations that we typically work with as partners with a shared mission, particularly federal agencies, states, tribes, and local governments. The objectives of such engagement include:

- Seek high level engagement at the state secretary, director level, and then specific program, as needed, as well as, high-level level engagement with other members of the federal family.
- Share information on each organization's climate adaptation priorities
- Identify areas where priorities and actions could be aligned to leverage resources and outcomes to work together now to increase adaptive capacity.
- Clarify ongoing issues or questions that require additional discussion or follow-up for future planning efforts

The bottom-up, more distributed engagement will need to develop over time as the individuals and teams working on aspects of this plan determine types of engagement necessary to implement the priority actions identified in the program specific chapters. Communications and engagement with the public are woven into many of the Priority Actions referenced in this report and several Priority Actions specifically focus on external engagement, including:

- Building external capacity by assessing and compiling existing and needed training and educational resources;
- Developing a broad suite of communication channels to effectively engage the public. This includes a mix of technological and traditional tools such as social media, live streamed video content and targeted advertising, as well as print, television, and radio-based media. These formats will be utilized collaboratively to ensure information is equitably shared with the public regardless of disability or access to technology;
- Engaging Region III Tribes in a meaningful dialogue on climate change adaptation and resilience by hosting a climate adaptation workshop for federally recognized tribes, participating in the Regional Tribal Operations Committee, exchanging information with the National Tribal Science Council, and supporting and encouraging the use of General Assistance Program grants for climate adaptation;
- Engaging with communities to mitigate health impacts after adverse events by proactively connecting vulnerable and overburdened populations to essential resources;
- Enhancing mapping capabilities to identify communities that have potential environmental justice concerns in climate vulnerable areas; and

- Building stronger partnerships and relationships with Superfund communities to address climate concerns.
















Other Priority Actions within this plan include communication and engagement components, including, but not limited to:

- Providing training on and encouraging the use of web tools to map vulnerable communities and to help states and local governments normalize the adoption of mitigation strategies and encourage investment in resilient infrastructure;
- Providing technical assistance and training for water and wastewater treatment systems to improve climate resilience;
- Engaging with a community in the Chesapeake Bay watershed to incorporate blue carbon resources to address local challenges;
- Partnering with federal facilities to achieve resiliency goals;
- Facilitating a workshop to incorporate climate adaptation into water quality and quantity planning at the watershed scale for federally recognized Tribes, states, territories, local governments, and communities;
- Developing outreach events that incorporate climate adaptations for brownfields grantees;
- Consulting and partner with states, tribes, territories, local governments, environmental justice organizations, community groups, businesses, and other federal agencies to strengthen adaptive capacity and increase their resilience by applying Sustainable Materials Management;
- Expanding the scope of enforcement to capture more EJ communities by using EJ Screen in innovative ways to target enforcement inspections and
- Fostering relationships with state and local communities to assist in monitoring landfill emissions and compliance.
















To build the necessary infrastructure to support engagement, EPA Region III will take the following actions:

34. [Develop and update a targeted outreach and engagement workplan.](#)
35. [Create a toolkit of communication and engagement resources.](#)

Develop and update a targeted outreach and engagement workplan.

Climate Threat(s):										
Overarching Goal(s):										
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1			LTPG 2			LTPG 3			
Activity Timeframe:	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26					
Climate Vulnerability										
All relevant Vulnerabilities currently listed in this report and additional future vulnerability, if determine within the engagement process.										
Action										
Description: <i>The Region III Climate Collaborative, working with the Climate Steering committee will develop and keep updated a targeted outreach and engagement workplan for the Climate Adaptation and Implementation Plan that highlights opportunities to collaborate at the federal, state, tribal and local levels. The workplan should include a focus on communities that are underserved and disproportionately at risk from climate change.</i>										
Metric(s): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial performance metrics will be related directly to LTPG 2 and LTPG 3 since effective engagement with stakeholders will be necessary to influence and then determine how and when an external partner has taken an action to anticipate, prepare for, adapt to, or recover from the impacts of climate change. Secondary performance metrics will support relevant outreach and engagement objectives in each action or priority action. 										
Project Challenges: <i>Where possible, this the plan should use existing communications tools (ex. social media, Summits) and established channels (ex. Priority engagement communities) to help with engagement, and be cautious about making plans to develop new tools – make the focus on a commitment to understand and honestly engage with various communities across the region.</i>										
Co-benefits: <i>There may be opportunities to develop specific engagement actions for future workplans based on what we learn in the priority actions.</i>										
Owner Email: Dunn.Michael@epa.gov New Work? Yes Resources Available? Yes										
Science Needs										
Understanding the science needs of partners and delivering science and technical tools and information to our partners will be a key role of the engagement process.										

Create a toolkit of communication and engagement resources.

Climate Threat(s):	         
Overarching Goal(s):	    
Performance Goal(s):	LTPG 1 LTPG 2 LTPG 3
Activity Timeframe:	FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26
Climate Vulnerability	
<i>All relevant Vulnerabilities currently listed in this report and additional future vulnerability, if determine within the engagement process.</i>	
Action	
Description:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a toolkit of communication and engagement resources to make the Climate Adaptation and Implementation Plan more accessible and beneficial to communities in the region. Resources should be developed using the methods and modes of communication that are most effective based on study of the audience and engagement goals. Resources could include talking points, approved slide decks, science resources, fact sheets, decision support tools, maps, case studies, etc. • The divisional offices have identified general and specific stakeholder groups that the Region will engage and partner with to implement the priority actions identified in the program specific chapters.
Metric(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initial performance metrics will track when the resources are developed, and the toolkit is officially launched • Final performance metrics will track the outputs associated with using the resources within the toolkit. Ex. how many presentations are given using the pre-approved slide presentation materials.
Project Challenges: <i>Robust upfront engagement and effective listening will be needed to ensure resources will be available and appropriate for the communities that are underserved and disproportionately at risk from climate change. For example, language and translation services may be necessary for many communities in the region.</i>	
Co-benefits: <i>There may be opportunities to add climate adaptation communication and engagement messages into other programmatic outreach work by developing and using the toolkit. For example, including climate adaptation talking points into RA level event talking points for water infrastructure events.</i>	
Owner Email: Dunn.Michael@epa.gov	New Work? Yes Resources Available? Yes
Science Needs	
<i>Understanding the science needs of partners and delivering science and technical tools and information to our partners will be a key role of the engagement process.</i>	

CHAPTER 12: EPA Region III Managed Facilities & Operations

The Region's goal is to ensure that our facilities are climate resilient to minimize the effects of climate-related impacts on all facets of regional operations, including infrastructure, supply chains, acquisition, and the workforce that supports the mission. Currently EPA Region III occupies four physical locations, each supporting the overall regional and national mission of the agency. The Region has recently relocated some of these offices and has using that opportunity to take climate change adaptation concerns into consideration during the relocation process.

The geographic setting and programmatic responsibilities create a unique set of climate vulnerabilities at each location. For instance, all locations may be subject to heavy rain events, flooding, heat waves, or other weather events that may impact the ability to reach locations via mass transit. The workforce traveling to the Philadelphia Office relies heavily on the availability of mass transit, while the Annapolis office was located in a floodplain and had been susceptible to flooding. EPA Region III facilities include the following locations:

- **Philadelphia Regional Headquarters Office:** located at 4 Penn Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- **Environmental Science Center (ESC):** located at 701 Mapes Road, Fort Meade, Maryland
- **Wheeling Field Office:** located at 1060 Chapline Street, Wheeling, West Virginia
- **Chesapeake Bay Program Office:** located at 1750 Forest Drive, Annapolis, Maryland

In alignment with the [EPA 2021 Climate Adaptation Action Plan](#), EPA Region III will assess risks and reevaluate its current posture to ensure that stated goals are realistic with respect to current and future climate impacts. The regional and national plans will ensure that:

- EPA will conduct additional facility-specific climate resiliency assessments to identify new vulnerabilities and determine best practices for withstanding severe weather events, enhancing Information Technology (IT) security, ensuring resilient power supplies, and continuing EPA's mission-related work in the event its buildings or operations are compromised by extreme weather events due to climate change.
- The Agency will continue to audit its facilities for safety, physical security, and sustainability opportunities such as energy reduction, water conservation, and fleet efficiency to reduce the Agency's greenhouse gas emissions and climate change impacts. EPA will also use its master planning process, which revisits facility plans every five years, to consider renovations and other projects to enhance resilience and reduce the greenhouse gas emissions associated with its operations.
- EPA Region III will support the agency's efforts to implement Executive Order (E.O.) 14057, Catalyzing Clean Energy Industries and Jobs through Federal Sustainability. E.O. 14057 outlines a coordinated, whole-of-government approach, along with individual agency goals and actions, to transform Federal procurement and operations to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and environmental impacts, and secure a transition to clean energy and sustainable technologies. It establishes that the Federal Government

will lead by example to achieve a carbon pollution-free electricity sector by 2035 and net-zero emissions economy-wide by 2050.

The Region will evaluate its workforce (including federal employees, contracted staff, grantees, and Senior Environmental Employment (SEE) Program staff), supplies, and equipment to ensure that there is minimal risk that climate-change events would degrade our ability to carry out our delegated responsibilities.

Out-Year Planning

EPA Region III has recently relocated two of our four locations, Philadelphia and Annapolis, and is in the process of relocating the Wheeling office. These facility location moves are expected to help the Region reduce our total emissions footprint, as well as decrease anticipated operational effects on our facilities from climate change.

The Philadelphia Regional Headquarters Office will feature more modern environmentally conscious fixtures, daylighting controls, more efficient mechanicals and include more natural lighting in spaces.

The Annapolis Office will also feature many of the same improvements and was also chosen in part because it is less susceptible to flooding. The new facility will be located outside a floodplain to increase safety of the workforce and the building itself.

While the Region has not yet identified a new location for the Wheeling Office, it will take into consideration these same types of facility improvements. More modern spaces reduce the overall risk of facility downtime because equipment is significantly newer and far from the end of its useful life.

Future Considerations

- Determine if policy, guidance, or email notification is warranted to those employees and contractors conducting field work during excessive heat warning or ozone action days.
- Determine the number of employees that are currently using flexiplace and maxi-flex work schedules.
- Determine the number of employees teleworking, along with the average number of days worked remote per employee.
- Identify the number of employees who lack work schedule and/or telework flexibilities due to resources or job function.
- Determine if special criteria are needed applicable to the Philadelphia Regional Headquarters Office and/or field offices in the event of weather operational disruption.
- Determine if a response plan is need in the event of a mass transit disruption due to weather or other factors, which would prevent Region III Philadelphia-area employees from reaching the building.

References

- ⁱ Jay, A., Reidmiller, D.R., Avery, C.W., Barrie, D., DeAngelo, B.J., Dave, A., Dzaugis, M., Kolian, M., Lewis, K.L.M., Reeves, K., & Winner D. (2018). [Overview](#). In D.R. Reidmiller, C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, & B.C. Stewart (Eds.), *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II* (pp. 33–71). U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA. DOI: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.CH1
- ⁱⁱ Kunkel, K.E., Frankson, R., Runkle, J., Champion, S.M., Stevens, L.E., Easterling, D.R., Stewart, B.C., McCarrick, A., & Lemery, C.R. (Eds.). (2022). *State Climate Summaries for the United States 2022*. NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 150. <https://statesummaries.ncics.org/> Note: Summaries for [Delaware](#), [Maryland](#), [Pennsylvania](#), [Virginia](#) and [West Virginia](#) were used.
- ⁱⁱⁱ USGCRP. (2018). [Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II](#) (D.R. Reidmiller, C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, & B.C. Stewart, Eds.). U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA, 1515 pp. DOI: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.
- ^{iv} USDA. (2021). *Action Plan for Climate Adaptation and Resilience*. <https://www.sustainability.gov/pdfs/usda-2021-cap.pdf>
- ^v Denman, K.L., Brasseur, G., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P.M., Dickinson, R.E., Hauglustaine, D., Heinze, C., Holland, E., Jacob, D., Lohmann, U. Ramachandran, S., da Silva Dias, P.L., Wofsy, S.C. & Zhang, X. (2007). [Couplings Between Changes in the Climate System and Biogeochemistry](#). In S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller (Eds.), *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 499-587). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- ^{vi} Nolte, C.G., Spero, T.L., Bowden, J.H., Sarofim, M.C., Martinich, J., & Mallard, M.S. (2021). [Regional temperature-ozone relationships across the U.S. under multiple climate and emissions scenarios](#). *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 71(10). 1251-1264, DOI: 10.1080/10962247.2021.1970048
- ^{vii} Nolte, C.G., Dolwick, P.D., Fann, N., Horowitz, L.W., Naik, V., Pinder, R.W., Spero, T.L., Winner, D.A., & Ziska, L.H. (2018) [Air Quality](#). In: D.R. Reidmiller, C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, & B.C. Stewart (Eds.), *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II* (pp. 512–538). U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA. DOI: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.CH13
- ^{viii} Chen, J., Avise, J., Lamb, B., Salathé, E., Mass, C., Guenther, A., Wiedinmyer, C., Lamarque, J.-F., O’Neill, S., McKenzie, D., & Larkin, N. (2009). [The effects of global changes upon regional ozone pollution in the United States](#). *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 1125-1141.
- ^{ix} World Meteorological Organization. (2010). *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project—Report No. 52*. <https://csl.noaa.gov/assessments/ozone/2010/report.html> Note: the word “expected” is used in the report to characterize projected climate change impacts on the stratospheric ozone layer. For purposes of this vulnerability assessment, the word “likely” has been used as a proxy for “expected.”
- ^x Committee on Environment and Natural Resources of the National Science and Technology Council. (2008). *Scientific Assessment of the Effects of Global Change on the United States*. <http://www.climate-science.gov/Library/scientificassessment/Scientific-AssessmentFINAL.pdf>
- ^{xi} Dreessen, J., Sullivan, J., & Delgado, R. (2015). Observations and impacts of transported Canadian wildfire smoke on ozone and aerosol air quality in the Maryland region on June 9–12, 2015. *Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association*, 66(9), 842-862. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10962247.2016.1161674>
- ^{xii} Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. (2011). *Climate Change, the Indoor Environment, and Health*. <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/13115/climate-change-the-indoor-environment-and-health>
- ^{xiii} IPCC. (2012). [Summary for Policymakers](#). In C.B. Field, V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, D.J. Dokken, K.L. Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M. Tignor, & P.M. Midgley, (Eds.), *Managing the Risks of Extreme*

Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation - A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (pp. 1-19). Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA.

^{xiv} Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. (2011). *Climate Change, the Indoor Environment, and Health*. <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/13115/climate-change-the-indoor-environment-and-health>

^{xv} [Ibid.](#)

^{xvi} Zhao, N. (2018, August 27). *Age of Housing Stock by State*. National Association of Homebuilders Eye on Housing. <https://eyeonhousing.org/2018/08/age-of-housing-stock-by-state-2/>

^{xvii} [Ibid.](#)

^{xviii} [Ibid.](#)

^{xix} The City of Philadelphia Office of Sustainability. (2021). *Philadelphia Climate Action Playbook*. <https://www.phila.gov/media/20210113125627/Philadelphia-Climate-Action-Playbook.pdf>

^{xx} Dahl, K., Spanger-Siegfried, E. Licker, R., Caldas, A., Cleetus, R., Udvardy, S., Declet-Barreto, J., & Worth, P. (2019). *Killer Heat in the United States: Climate Choices and the Future of Dangerously Hot Days*. Union of Concerned Scientists. <https://www.ucsusa.org/resources/killer-heat-united-states-0>

^{xxi} Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. (2011). *Climate Change, the Indoor Environment, and Health*. <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/13115/climate-change-the-indoor-environment-and-health>

^{xxii} USGCRP. (2009). *Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States*. (T.R. Karl, J.M. Melillo, & T.C. Peterson, Eds.). United States Global Change Research Program. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA. <https://nca2009.globalchange.gov/index.html>

^{xxiii} Auffhammer, M., Baylis, P., & Hausman, C.H. (2017). [Climate change is projected to have severe impacts on the frequency and intensity of peak electricity demand across the United States](#). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(8), 1886-1891.

^{xxiv} Burns, D.A., Lynch, J.A., Cosby, B.J., Fenn, M.E., Baron, J.S., & US EPA Clean Air Markets Division. (2011). *National Acid Precipitation Assessment Program Report to Congress 2011: An Integrated Assessment*. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/default/files/microsites/ostp/2011_napap_508.pdf

^{xxv} Lall, U., Johnson, T., Colohan, P., Aghakouchak, A., Brown, C., McCabe, G., Pulwarty, R., & Sankarasubramanian, A. (2018). [Water](#). In D.R. Reidmiller, C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, & B.C. Stewart (Eds.), *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II* (pp. 145–173). U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA. DOI: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.CH3 Note: This is Key Message 2 from Chapter 3.

^{xxvi} Clarke, L., Nichols, L., Vallario, R., Hejazi, M., Horing, J., Janetos, A.C., Mach, K., Mastrandrea, M., Orr, M., Preston, B.L., Reed, P., Sands, R.D., & White, D.D. (2018). [Sector Interactions, Multiple Stressors, and Complex Systems](#). In D.R. Reidmiller, C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, & B.C. Stewart (Eds.), *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II* (pp. 638–668). U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA. DOI: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.CH17

^{xxvii} Dupigny-Giroux, L.A., Mecray, E.L., Lemcke-Stampone, M.D., Hodgkins, G.A., Lentz, E.E., Mills, K.E., Lane, E.D., Miller, R., Hollinger, D.Y., Solecki, W.D., Wellenius, G.A., Sheffield, P.E., MacDonald, A.B., & Caldwell, C. (2018) [Northeast](#). In D.R. Reidmiller, C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, & B.C. Stewart (Eds.), *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II* (pp. 669–742). U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA. DOI: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.CH18

^{xxviii} [Ibid.](#)

^{xxix} [Ibid.](#)

-
- ^{xxx} Paul, M.J., Coffey, R., Stamp, J., & Johnson, T. (2019). A review of water quality responses to air temperature and precipitation changes 1: flow, water temperature, saltwater intrusion. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 55(4), 824-843. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34316251/>
- ^{xxxi} Coffey, R., Paul, M., Stamp, J., Hamilton, A., & Johnson, T. (2018). A review of water quality responses to air temperature and precipitation changes 2: nutrients, algal blooms, sediment, pathogens. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 55(4), 844-868. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8048137/#_ffn_sectitle
- ^{xxxii} Paul, M.J., Coffey, R., Stamp, J., & Johnson, T. (2019). A review of water quality responses to air temperature and precipitation changes 1: flow, water temperature, saltwater intrusion. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 55(4), 824-843. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34316251/>
- ^{xxxiii} Coffey, R., Paul, M., Stamp, J., Hamilton, A., & Johnson, T. (2018). A review of water quality responses to air temperature and precipitation changes 2: nutrients, algal blooms, sediment, pathogens. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 55(4), 844-868. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8048137/#_ffn_sectitle
- ^{xxxiv} [Ibid.](#)
- ^{xxxv} [Ibid.](#)
- ^{xxxvi} University of Delaware. (2008). *Technical Summary: State of the Delaware Basin Report – A report on the health of the 13,539-square-mile Delaware River Basin in Delaware, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania*. <https://www.wrc.udel.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/State-of-the-Delaware-Basin-Report-2008.pdf>
- ^{xxxvii} Dupigny-Giroux, L.A., Mecray, E.L., Lemcke-Stampone, M.D., Hodgkins, G.A., Lentz, E.E., Mills, K.E., Lane, E.D., Miller, R., Hollinger, D.Y., Solecki, W.D., Wellenius, G.A., Sheffield, P.E., MacDonald, A.B., & Caldwell, C. (2018). [Northeast](#). In D.R. Reidmiller, C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, & B.C. Stewart (Eds.), *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II* (pp. 669–742). U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA. DOI: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.CH18 Note: Most of this section is condensed and edited from Chapter 18 of NCA4.
- ^{xxxviii} Hayhoe, K., Wuebbles, D.J., Easterling, D.R., Fahey, D.W., Doherty, S., Kossin, J., Sweet, W., Vose, R. & Wehner, M. (2018). [Our Changing Climate](#). In D.R. Reidmiller, C.W. Avery, D.R. Easterling, K.E. Kunkel, K.L.M. Lewis, T.K. Maycock, & B.C. Stewart (Eds.), *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II* (pp. 72–144). U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA. DOI: 10.7930/NCA4.2018.CH2
- ^{xxxix} Chesapeake Bay Program. (Accessed 2022, February 16) *Facts and Figures*. Discover the Chesapeake. <https://www.chesapeakebay.net/discover/facts>
- ^{xl} NOAA. (2017). *NOAA Technical Report NOS CO-OPS 083.Global and Regional Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the United States*. https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/publications/techrpt83_Global_and_Regional_SLR_Scenarios_for_the_US_final.pdf
- ^{xli} EPA. (2020). *Advancing Sustainable Materials Management: 2018 Fact Sheet – Assessing Trends in Materials Generation and Management in the United States*. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021-01/documents/2018_ff_fact_sheet_dec_2020_fnl_508.pdf
- ^{xlii} Wilson, A., Reich, B.J., Nolte, C.G., Spero, T.L., Hubbell, B., Rappold, A.G. (2016). *Climate change impacts on projections of excess mortality at 2030 using spatially-varying ozone-temperature risk surfaces*. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, 27(1), 118-124. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5621597/>

