# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

STATE OF NEW	<b>JERSEY</b>	and STATE	OF
CONNECTICUT,			

Civ. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiffs,

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

(Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 et seq.)

ANDREW WHEELER,

v.

in his official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and the UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, the State of New Jersey and the State of Connecticut (collectively State Plaintiffs), allege as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. State Plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief through the citizen suit provision of the Clean Air Act (the Act) against Andrew Wheeler, in his official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (together, EPA), to compel EPA's performance of overdue nondiscretionary duties under the Act.
- 2. EPA failed to perform its mandatory duty to make findings of failure to submit state implementation plans (SIPs) that comply with the "Good Neighbor" provision of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), for the 2015 ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). The Good Neighbor Provision requires states to submit plans to prohibit in-state emissions of air pollutants in amounts that contribute significantly to another state's

nonattainment of any NAAQS, or that interfere with another state's maintenance of any NAAQS. *Id.* States' Good Neighbor SIPs must be submitted to EPA within three years of EPA's adoption or revision of a NAAQS. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(1). No later than six months from the states' Good Neighbor SIP submission deadline, EPA is required to determine whether the states have submitted compliance plans. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B).

- 3. Pennsylvania and Virginia are upwind of State Plaintiffs, meaning that prevailing winds carry pollution from sources in Pennsylvania and Virginia into New Jersey and Connecticut. EPA air pollution models show that transported pollution from Pennsylvania and Virginia contributes significantly to State Plaintiffs' nonattainment of the 2015 ozone NAAQS.
- 4. More than four years have passed since EPA promulgated the 2015 ozone NAAQS, on October 1, 2015. Yet, Pennsylvania and Virginia still have not submitted Good Neighbor SIPs. <sup>1</sup>
- 5. The deadline for EPA to find that states did not file Good Neighbor SIPs was April 1, 2019. Nearly seven months later, EPA still has not made this finding for several long-overdue plans, including for Pennsylvania and Virginia.
- 6. EPA's delay is prejudicial to State Plaintiffs. EPA's failure-to-submit findings will start a two-year deadline for EPA to issue a federal implementation plan (FIP) to address the delinquent states' Good Neighbor obligations. The longer EPA waits to issue these findings, the longer the FIP is delayed, and the longer State Plaintiffs will suffer from high ozone levels caused in part by upwind air pollution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See <a href="https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/">https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>x110 a 2 ozone 2015 section 110 a 2 d i - i prong 1 interstate transport - significant contribution inbystate.html</u> (last visited October 28, 2019). Note that, as of this date, EPA had not yet updated this summary to reflect Maryland's reported submission of its Good Neighbor SIP on October 8, 2019.

7. State Plaintiffs sue for an order that EPA is in violation of its statutory obligation to issue failure-to-submit findings for Pennsylvania and Virginia, and enjoining EPA to make these findings without further delay.

#### **JURISDICTION**

8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under the citizen suit provision of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2). This provision authorizes any person, after due notice to EPA, to sue to compel the performance of a nondiscretionary duty under the Act. The Court also has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1361 (action to compel a federal officer or agency to perform a duty owed to plaintiffs).

#### **NOTICE**

- 9. On July 26, 2019, pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(b), State Plaintiffs sent a certified letter to EPA that they intended sue EPA for failure to perform the nondiscretionary duties outlined here.
- 10. The statutory 60-day notice period expired on September 25, 2019, without action by EPA. As of today, EPA has still not issued the overdue failure-to-submit findings for Pennsylvania and Virginia.<sup>2</sup>

#### **VENUE**

11. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because this civil action is brought against an agency of the United States with headquarters in Washington D.C., and

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On September 5, 2019, EPA made an announcement on its website of its non-binding intention to issue findings of failure to submit as to certain unspecified states by November 22, 2019. This is not an enforceable commitment, and would in any case be eight months late. *See* "Interstate Air Pollution Transport: What's New," <a href="https://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/interstate-air-pollution-transport">https://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/interstate-air-pollution-transport</a> (last visited October 28, 2019).

against the agency's Administrator, acting in his official capacity. Venue is also proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the State Plaintiffs' claim occurred in this judicial district.

#### **PARTIES**

- 12. State Plaintiffs are each sovereign states that bring this action on behalf of their residents to protect public health and welfare. State Plaintiffs also bring this suit on their own behalf to protect their respective interests as administrators of healthcare programs and schools, as employers, and in protecting and preserving the natural resources held in trust by each state. State Plaintiffs also sue in their capacity as joint regulators, with EPA, who are responsible under the Act for preparing implementation plans and for demonstrating attainment with the NAAQS by the Act's statutory deadlines, and who rely on EPA's timely performance in order to carry out their related responsibilities. Each of the State Plaintiffs is a "person" as defined at 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e).
- 13. EPA is the federal agency charged with implementing the Act. Andrew Wheeler is the Administrator of EPA and is sued in his official capacity. EPA has a mandatory, nondiscretionary duty to issue findings of failure to submit within six months of the statutory deadline for submission of states' Good Neighbor SIPs.

#### **STATUTORY FRAMEWORK**

14. The Act requires EPA to establish and periodically revise NAAQS, which establish maximum allowable ambient air concentrations for certain harmful air pollutants. 42 U.S.C. §§ 7408-7409.

- 15. Within three years of a new or revised NAAQS promulgated by EPA, each state must submit a SIP: the state's plan for "implementation, maintenance, and enforcement" of the new or the revised standard. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(1).
- 16. Every SIP must meet the requirements of section 110(a)(2) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2). This includes the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I), the "Good Neighbor Provision," that SIPs prohibit sources within the state from emitting air pollution in amounts that will "contribute significantly to nonattainment in, or interfere with maintenance by, any other State with respect to any" NAAQS. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I).
- 17. No later than six months after the SIP due date, EPA must formally determine whether a state has made a submission meeting the criteria of the Act, including whether the SIP satisfies the Good Neighbor Provision. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). EPA refers to the determination that a state has not timely submitted a SIP that meets the minimum completeness criteria as a "finding of failure to submit."
- 18. If a state has not submitted its Good Neighbor SIP by the statutory deadline, or if EPA finds that a SIP does not meet all the requirements of the Act, EPA has two years to prepare a federal plan in place of the missing or deficient state plan. Specifically, under section 110(c)(1), EPA must "promulgate a Federal implementation plan [FIP] at any time within 2 years after the Administrator (A) finds that a State has failed to make a required submission or finds that the plan or plan revision submitted by the State does not satisfy the minimum criteria established under subsection (k)(1)(A) of this section, or (B) disapproves a State implementation plan [SIP] submission in whole or in part, unless the State corrects the deficiency, and the Administrator approves the plan or plan revision, before the Administrator promulgates such Federal implementation plan [FIP]." 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1).

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 19. Ground-level ozone is a harmful air pollutant regulated under the NAAQS program. Ozone is not emitted directly into the air. It is a secondary air pollutant formed by the atmospheric reaction of ozone "precursors," principally nitrogen oxides (NOx) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), in the presence of sunlight. 80 Fed. Reg. 65,292, 65,299 (Oct. 26, 2015).
- 20. Breathing ozone can cause coughing, throat irritation, lung tissue damage, and can aggravate conditions such as asthma, bronchitis, heart disease, and emphysema. *Id.* at 65,302-11. Exposure to ozone has also been linked to premature mortality. *Id.* Children, the elderly, and those with existing lung diseases such as asthma are at higher risk from breathing ozone. *Id.*
- 21. The formation and transport of ozone occurs on a regional scale over hundreds of miles throughout much of the eastern United States. EPA has long acknowledged that pollution from sources of NOx and VOC in upwind states contributes to downwind states' inability to attain and maintain the ozone NAAQS. Sources in upwind states routinely contribute to multiple downwind air quality problems in varying amounts. For this reason, EPA recognizes that reducing upwind pollution is necessary for downwind states to be able to comply with the ozone NAAQS, and that reducing ozone concentrations in downwind states requires a reduction in what EPA calls the "interstate transport" of ozone precursors from upwind states. 81 Fed. Reg. 74,504, 74,514 (Oct. 26, 2016).
- 22. In 2008, EPA set the air quality standard for ozone at 75 parts per billion. 73 Fed. Reg. 16,436 (Mar. 27, 2008). In 2015, based on updated scientific information about the health risks of ozone at lower concentrations, EPA revised the ozone NAAQS to make it more stringent, setting the primary and secondary standards at 70 parts per billion. 80 Fed. Reg. at

- 65,292. EPA promulgated the 2015 ozone NAAQS on October 1, 2015. *See, e.g.*, 83 Fed. Reg. 62,998 (Dec. 6, 2018) (EPA implementation rule stating that the 2015 ozone NAAQS "were promulgated on October 1, 2015").
- 23. Under section 110(a)(1) of the Act, SIPs for the 2015 ozone NAAQS were due no later than October 1, 2018, three years from promulgation of the revised standard. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(1). EPA then had six months until April 1, 2019 to issue failure-to-submit findings. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B).
- 24. In 2018, EPA designated part or all of New Jersey and Connecticut as nonattainment for the 2015 ozone NAAQS.
- 25. EPA gave the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT metropolitan area (NY Metro Area) a "moderate" nonattainment designation. 83 Fed. Reg. 25,776, 25,821 (Jun. 4, 2018). This area consists of 12 counties in New Jersey and three in Connecticut.
- 26. New Jersey's remaining nine southern counties are part of another regional nonattainment area, the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-NJ-MD-DE metro area (Philadelphia Metro Area), which EPA classified as "marginal" nonattainment. *Id.* at 25,819.
- 27. Connecticut's remaining five counties are part of the Greater Connecticut nonattainment area, also classified as "marginal" nonattainment. *Id.* at 25,794.
- 28. The effect of these designations is that State Plaintiffs have to submit nonattainment SIPs detailing the additional measures the State Plaintiffs will take to come into attainment with the 2015 ozone NAAQS by deadlines in the Act. For the NY Metro Area, the State Plaintiffs have an attainment deadline of 2024. New Jersey's deadline for the Philadelphia

Metro Area, and Connecticut's deadline for the Greater Connecticut area, will come even sooner, in 2021.<sup>3</sup>

- 29. Air quality modeling for these nonattainment areas shows that high concentrations of ozone measured in these densely-populated regions are, in significant part, the result of emissions from major sources of NOx located outside and upwind of the State Plaintiffs. For example, EPA modeling results predict that pollution from Pennsylvania and Virginia will contribute significantly to high ozone levels in part or all of the State Plaintiffs' nonattainment regions for years to come unless EPA enforces the upwind states' Good Neighbor obligations.<sup>4</sup>
- 30. As soon as EPA issues the overdue failure-to-submit findings, EPA will have two years to promulgate FIPs to fully address the Good Neighbor requirements, including for Pennsylvania and Virginia. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1); see EPA v. EME Homer City Generation, L.P., 572 U.S. 489, 507 (2014) (recognizing EPA's nondiscretionary statutory duty to promulgate FIPs within two years of determining a SIP is missing or inadequate).
- 31. EPA's continuing failure to carry out this straightforward ministerial duty is a clear breach of its statutory duty. EPA's failure also prolongs harm to the health and welfare of State Plaintiffs' residents, who will be exposed to higher levels of ozone so long as EPA shirks its responsibility to curtail air pollution transport.
- 32. EPA's failure to comply with its nondiscretionary duty also places unfair economic and administrative burdens on State Plaintiffs. State Plaintiffs are required, subject to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See EPA, Fact Sheet – Final Area Designations for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone Established in 2015 at 7, available at: <a href="https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-04/documents/placeholder\_0.pdf">https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-04/documents/placeholder\_0.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See EPA, 2015 Ozone NAAQS Interstate Transport Assessment Design Values and Contributions, <a href="https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-05/updated\_2023\_modeling\_dvs\_collective\_contributions.xlsx">https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-05/updated\_2023\_modeling\_dvs\_collective\_contributions.xlsx</a>.

punitive consequences, to timely meet attainment obligations under the Act. Those obligations are substantially more burdensome without EPA action to address ozone transport. So long as State Plaintiffs remain in nonattainment, they are required to submit periodic revisions to their SIPs as required by sections 172 and 182 of the Act, showing that they are making "reasonable further progress" towards attainment by cutting in-state emissions year-after-year. 42 U.S.C. § 7502(b) and (c); 42 U.S.C. § 7511a.

- 33. State Plaintiffs already have among the most stringent, protective emissions limits in the country for their in-state sources of NOx and VOCs. EPA's failure to timely issue findings of failure to submit harms the State Plaintiffs and their residents by obligating the State Plaintiffs to promulgate new, ever more stringent and expensive control measures for their local sources of ozone precursors.
- 34. EPA acknowledges that this is unfair, and has stated that requiring downwind areas to plan for attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS before requiring upwind reductions is contrary to the Act's statutory structure and places an "inequitable burden" on downwind areas. 81 Fed. Reg., at 74,516; *see also North Carolina v. EPA*, 531 F.3d 896, 911-12 (D.C. Cir. 2008) (EPA must coordinate interstate transport compliance deadlines with downwind attainment deadlines).

#### **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Failure to Perform a Nondiscretionary Duty Required By 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B))

- 35. State Plaintiffs re-assert and re-allege paragraphs 1 through 34 above.
- 36. The deadline for submission of Good Neighbor SIPs for the 2015 ozone NAAQS was October 1, 2018. Under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B), EPA has a nondiscretionary legal duty to issue a finding of failure to submit no later than April 1, 2019, for every state that failed to submit a Good Neighbor SIP.
- 37. To date, Pennsylvania and Virginia have not submitted Good Neighbor SIPs for the 2015 ozone NAAQS.
- 38. EPA has not issued failure-to-submit findings for Pennsylvania and Virginia, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B).
- 39. EPA's inaction is a "failure of the Administrator to perform any act or duty under this chapter which is not discretionary with the Administrator," for which suit may be brought under 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2).

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, State Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment:

- 1. Declaring that EPA is in violation of section 110(k)(1)(B) of the Act by failing to perform a mandatory, nondiscretionary duty to issue findings that Pennsylvania and Virginia have failed to submit Good Neighbor SIPs required by 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) for the 2015 ozone NAAQS;
- 2. Enjoining EPA to make findings of failure to submit for Pennsylvania and Virginia without any further delay;

- 3. Awarding State Plaintiffs their costs of litigation, including reasonable attorneys' fees recoverable under 42 U.S.C. § 7604(d);
- 4. Retaining jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of ensuring EPA's compliance with the Court's order; and
  - 5. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 29, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

GURBIR S. GREWAL Attorney General of New Jersey

By: /s/ Robert J. Kinney
Robert J. Kinney
Aaron A. Love
Deputy Attorneys General
Division of Law
25 Market St., PO Box 093
Trenton, NJ 08625-0093
(609) 376-2762
Aaron.Love@law.njoag.gov

WILLIAM TONG
Attorney General of Connecticut

By: /s/ Jill Lacedonia
Matthew I. Levine
Jill Lacedonia
Assistant Attorneys General
Office of the Attorney General
55 Elm St., P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06106
(860) 808-5250
Jill.Lacedonia@ct.gov

### Case 1:19-cv-03247 Document 1-1 Filed 10/29/19 Page 1 of 2

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

JS-44 (Rev. 6/17 DC)											
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				D	EFENDA	NTS					
STATE OF NEW JERSEY and STATE OF CONNECTICUT			of UI	ANDREW WHEELER, in his official capacity as Administrator of the United State Environmental Protection Agency, and the UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY							
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF 88888 (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT 11001  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED							
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NA	ME, ADDRESS	, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)	)	A7	TTORNEYS	(IF KNOW	/N)				
Robert J. Kinney, D. New Jersey Office of 25 Market Street, Ti (609) 376-2789	of the Attor	ney General									
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)			III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY!								
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		deral Question S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	n of this	State	PTF O 1	O 1		ated or Principal Place ess in This State	PTF O 4	OFT 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	(In	(Indicate Citizenship of			ther State	O 2	<b>O</b> 2		ated and Principal Place ess in Another State	<b>O</b> 5	<b>O</b> 5
		,	Foreig	n or Subj gn Countr	ry	<b>O</b> 3	<b>O</b> 3	Foreign 1	Nation	<b>O</b> 6	O 6
(Place an X i	n one categ	IV. CASE ASSIC ory, A-N, that best repres							onding Nature of Su	it)	
O A. Antitrust		Personal Injury/ Ialpractice	(	<b>9</b> C.	Admini Review	strative	Agenc	v	O D. Temporo Order/Pr	elimina	
410 Antitrust	atitrust  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Medical Malpractice 365 Product Liability 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Product Liability			Social Se	151 Medicare Act   cial Security			Injunction  Any nature of suit from any category may be selected for this category of case assignment.  *(If Antitrust, then A governs)*			
O E. General Civi	il (Other)	OR		0	F. Pro	Se Gen	eral C	ivil			
Real Property   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   422 Appeal 27 USC 1   423 Withdrawal 28 U   423 Wi		SC 157 Ther IS Condition	ons	870	Tax Suits Taxes (U defendar IRS-Thir 7609  re/Penalty Drug Re Property Other  atutes False Cla Qui Tam 3729(a)) State Re Banks & Commer Rates/etc Deportat	S plaint at) rd Party  Z lated Sei 21 USC  apportic Banking ce/ICC	26 USC zure of 881	462 Naturaliza Application 465 Other Imn Actions 470 Racketeer & Corrupt 480 Consumer 490 Cable/Sate 850 Securities/ Exchange 896 Arbitration 899 Administra Act/Review Agency De 950 Constitution Statutes 890 Other Stat (if not adm review or I	n Influences Organiza Credit Illite TV Commodi I office Procession Influences	edure al of State ions e agency	

#### Case 1:19-cv-03247 Document 1-1 Filed 10/29/19 Page 2 of 2

530 Habeas Corpus – General 442 Civil Rights – Employment 895 Freedom of Information Act 152 Recover	of Defendant						
510 Motion/Vacate Sentence   (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation)   890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act)   (excluding the content of the cont							
*(If pro se, select this deck)*  *(If pro se, select this deck)*							
	Judge thts – Voting g Rights Act)						
V. ORIGIN							
O 1 Original Proceeding From State Court Court O 3 Remanded O 4 Reinstated or Reopened From another district (specify) O 6 Multi-district O 7 Appeal to District Judge from Mag. Direct File O 6 Multi-district O 7 Appeal to District Judge from Mag. Direct File O 6 Multi-district O 7 Appeal to District Judge From Mag. Direct File O 6 Multi-district O 7 Appeal to District Judge I District Judge From Mag. Direct File O 6 Multi-district O 7 Appeal to District Judge I District Judge I Direct File O 6 Multi-district O 7 Appeal to District Judge I Direct File I Dir							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.) Clean Air Act citizen suit under 42 USC §7604(a)(2) to compel overdue agency finding required by 42 USC §7410(k)(1)(B)							
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23  DEMAND \$  Check YES only if demanded in complaint YES NO X							
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instruction)  YES  NO  If yes, please complete related case form							
DATE: October 29, 2019 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44

**Authority for Civil Cover Sheet** 

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- I. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident of Washington, DC. 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the <u>primary</u> cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only <u>one</u> category. You <u>must</u> also select <u>one</u> corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S). IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	Distric	et of Columbia
STATE OF NEW JERSE CONNECTION		) ) )
Plaintiff(s	)	)
v.		Civil Action No.
ANDREW WHEELER, in hi Administrator of the United S Protection Agency, and I ENVIRONMENTAL PROT	States Environmental JNITED STATES ECTION AGENCY,	) ) ) )
Defendant(	(s)	)
	SUMMONS I	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Pennsylvania Avenue N	Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 IW, Washington, DC 20460  PROTECTION AGENCY, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW,
	Washington, DC 20460	TO TEOTION AGENCET, 1200 Formoyivania Avonaci (vv),
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must the Federal Rules of Civil Proc whose name and address are:	ervice of this summons or ed States agency, or an of serve on the plaintiff an a edure. The answer or mo Robert J. Kinney, Deput New Jersey Office of the Division of Law 25 Market Street, PO Bo Trenton, NJ 08625-0093	e Attorney General  ox 093  be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
·		ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date: 10/29/2019		
10/20/2013		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

## Case 1:19-cv-03247 Documents 103FIGiled 10/29/19 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CO-932 Rev. 4/96

#### NOTICE OF DESIGNATION OF RELATED CIVIL CASES PENDING IN THIS OR ANY OTHER UNITED STATES COURT

Civil Action No.	
(To be supplied b	y the Clerk)

C.A. No.

#### **NOTICE TO PARTIES:**

Pursuant to Rule 40.5(b)(2), you are required to prepare and submit this form at the time of filing any civil action which is related to any pending cases or which involves the same parties and relates to the same subject matter of any dismissed related cases. This form must be prepared in sufficient quantity to provide one copy for the Clerk-s records, one copy for the Judge to whom the cases is assigned and one copy for each defendant, so that you must prepare 3 copies for a one defendant case, 4 copies for a two defendant case, etc.

#### **NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:**

Rule 40.5(b)(2) of this Court requires that you serve upon the plaintiff and file with your first responsive pleading or motion any objection you have to the related case designation.

#### NOTICE TO ALL COUNSEL

Rule 40.5(b)(3) of this Court requires that as soon as an attorney for a party becomes aware of the existence of a related case or cases, such attorney shall immediately notify, in writing, the Judges on whose calendars the cases appear and shall serve such notice on counsel for all other parties.

The plaintiff, defendant or counsel must complete the following:

#### I. RELATIONSHIP OF NEW CASE TO PENDING RELATED CASE(S).

A new case is deemed related to a case pending in this or another U.S. Court if the new case: [Check appropriate box(e=s) below.]

- (a) relates to common property
- (b) involves common issues of fact
- (c) grows out of the same event or transaction
- (d) involves the validity or infringement of the same patent
- (e) is filed by the same pro se litigant

#### 2. RELATIONSHIP OF NEW CASE TO DISMISSED RELATED CASE(ES)

A new case is deemed related to a case dismissed, with or without prejudice, in this or any other U.S. Court, if the new case involves the <u>same</u> parties and <u>same</u> subject matter.

Check box if new case is related to a dismissed case:

3. NAME THE UNITED STATES COURT IN WHICH THE RELATED CASE IS FILED (IF OTHER THAN THIS COURT):

V.

4. CAPTION AND CASE NUMBER OF RELATED CASE(E-S). IF MORE ROOM IS NEED PLEASE USE OTHER SIDE.