1	Lauren Packard (Cal. Bar #317774)	
2	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 1212 Broadway	
3	Suite 800	
4	Oakland, CA 94612 Phone: 510-844-7100 x303	
5	Fax: 510-844-7150	
6	email: <u>lpackard@biologicaldiversity.org</u>	
7		****
8	Attorney for Plaintiffs Center for Biological Divers Center for Environmental Health	sity ana
9	UNITED STATES DI	STDICT COUDT
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DIST	
11		
12		_ ,
13)
14	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY)
15	and)
16	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
17) Civ. No.
18	Plaintiffs,)
19	v.) COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
20) AND DECLARATORY RELIEF)
21	ANDDEW WHEELED in his	(Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 et seq)
22	ANDREW WHEELER, in his official capacity as Administrator of the)
23	United States Environmental Protection Agency,	
24)
25	Defendant.)
26		
27		
28		

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiffs Center for Biological Diversity and the Center for Environmental Health, [collectively "Environmental Groups"] bring this Clean Air Act citizen suit to compel the United States Environmental Protection Agency to undertake overdue mandatory duties. Specifically, Andrew Wheeler, in his official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), has failed to determine whether the West Central Pinal nonattainment area for the 2006 National Ambient Air Quality Standard ("NAAQS") for particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter ("PM2.5") attained by its attainment date. In addition, EPA has failed to promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan ("FIP") for Arizona's New Source Review ("NSR") program to correct the deficiencies it found when it partially disapproved Arizona's State Implementation Program ("SIP").

2. Accordingly, Plaintiffs THE CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY and CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH bring this action against Defendant ANDREW WHEELER, in his official capacity as EPA Administrator, to compel him to perform his mandatory duties with respect to West Central Pinal PM2.5 NAAQS nonattainment area and Arizona's NSR program.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This case is a Clean Air Act citizen suit. Therefore, the Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a) (Clean Air Act citizen suits).
- 4. An actual controversy exists between the parties. This case does not concern federal taxes, is not a proceeding under 11 U.S.C. §§ 505 of 1146, and does not involve the Tariff Act of 1930. Thus, this Court has jurisdiction to order declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If the Court orders declaratory relief, 28 U.S.C. § 2202 authorizes this Court to issue injunctive relief.

NOTICE

5. On January 3, 2019, Plaintiffs mailed to EPA by certified mail, receipt requested, written notice of intent to sue regarding the violations alleged in this Complaint. EPA

received this notice of intent to sue letter no later than February 4, 2019. More than sixty days have passed since Plaintiffs mailed their "notice of intent to sue" letter. EPA has not remedied the violations alleged in this Complaint. Therefore, a present and actual controversy exists.

VENUE

6. Defendant EPA resides in this judicial district. This civil action is brought against an officer of the United States acting in his official capacity and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the Northern District of California. The claims in this Complaint concern EPA's failure to perform mandatory duties with regard to Arizona's implementation of the Clean Air Act. EPA Region 9, which is responsible for Arizona, is headquartered in San Francisco. Thus several of the events and omissions at issue in this action occurred at EPA's Region 9 headquarters in San Francisco. In addition, Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health is headquartered in Oakland and Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversity is incorporated in California with its main California office in Oakland. Accordingly, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

7. A substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the County of San Francisco. Accordingly, assignment to the San Francisco Division or the Oakland Division is proper pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (d).

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff the CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY is a non-profit 501(c)(3) corporation incorporated in California. The Center for Biological Diversity has more than 69,000 members throughout the United States and the world. The Center for Biological Diversity's mission is to ensure the preservation, protection, and restoration of biodiversity, native species, ecosystems, public lands and waters, and public health through science, policy, and environmental law. Based on the understanding that the health and vigor of human societies and the integrity and wildness of the natural

environment are closely linked, the Center for Biological Diversity is working to secure a future for animals and plants hovering on the brink of extinction, for the ecosystems they need to survive, and for a healthy, livable future for all of us.

- 9. The Center for Biological Diversity and its members include individuals with varying interests in wildlife species, native plants, and their habitat ranging from scientific, professional, and educational to recreational, aesthetic, moral, and spiritual. Further, the Center for Biological Diversity's members enjoy, on an ongoing basis, the biological, scientific, research, educational, conservation, recreational, and aesthetic values of the regions inhabited by these species, including the regions at issue in this action. The Center for Biological Diversity's members observe and study native species and their habitat, and derive professional, scientific, educational, recreational, aesthetic, inspirational, and other benefits from these activities and have an interest in preserving the possibility of such activities in the future. The Center for Biological Diversity and its members have participated in efforts to protect and preserve natural areas, including the habitat essential to the continued survival of native species, and to address threats to the continued existence of these species, including the threats posed by air pollution and other contaminants.
- 10. Plaintiff the CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH is an Oakland, California based non-profit organization that helps protect the public from toxic chemicals and promotes business products and practices that are safe for public health and the environment. The Center for Environmental Health works in pursuit of a world in which all people live, work, learn, and play in healthy environments.
- 11. Plaintiffs' members live, work, recreate, travel, and engage in other activities throughout the areas at issue in this complaint and will continue to do so on a regular basis. Pollution in the affected areas threatens and damages, and will continue to threaten and damage, the health and welfare of Plaintiffs' members as well as their ability to engage in and enjoy their other activities. Pollution diminishes Plaintiffs' members' ability to enjoy the aesthetic qualities and recreational opportunities of the affected area.

- 12. EPA's failure to timely perform the mandatory duties described herein also adversely affects Plaintiffs, as well as their members, by depriving them of procedural protection and opportunities, as well as information that they are entitled to under the Clean Air Act. The failure of EPA to perform these mandatory duties also creates uncertainty for Plaintiffs' members as to whether they are exposed to excess air pollution.
- 13. The above injuries will continue until the Court grants the relief requested herein.
- 14. Defendant ANDREW WHEELER is the Administrator of the EPA. In that role Administrator Wheeler has been charged by Congress with the duty to administer the Clean Air Act, including the mandatory duties at issue in this case. Administrator Wheeler is also charged with overseeing all EPA regional offices including EPA Region 9, which has authority over Arizona and is headquartered in San Francisco.

LEGAL BACKGROUND AND FACTS

- 15. Congress enacted the Clean Air Act to "speed up, expand, and intensify the war against air pollution in the United States with a view to assuring that the air we breathe throughout the Nation is wholesome once again." H.R.Rep. No. 1146, 91st Cong., 2d Sess. 1,1, 1970 U.S.Code Cong. & Admin. News 5356, 5356. To promote this, the Act requires EPA to set National Ambient Air Quality Standards for certain pollutants, including PM2.5. National Ambient Air Quality Standards establish maximum allowable concentrations in the air of these pollutants.
- 16. Each National Ambient Air Quality Standard is supposed to be stringent enough to protect public health and welfare. Effects on welfare include, but are not limited to, effects on soils, water, vegetation, manmade materials, wildlife, visibility (*i.e.*, haze), climate, damage to property, economic impacts and effects on personal comfort and wellbeing.
- 17. In 2006, EPA strengthened the 24-hour PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standard from 65 micrograms per cubic meter to 35 micrograms per cubic meter. 71 Fed.Reg. 61,144 (Oct. 17, 2006).

18.	After EPA promulgates a National Ambient Air Quality Standard, the Clean Air
Act re	quires that EPA designate each area of the country as either a clean air area for that
standa	ard, which is known as "attainment" in Clean Air Act jargon, or a dirty air area,
which	is known as "nonattainment" in Clean Air Act jargon. See 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d).

- 19. On March 7, 2011 EPA designated West Central Pinal, Arizona as a moderate nonattainment area. 40 C.F.R. § 81.303. Therefore, the area has an attainment date of no later than December 31, 2017. 81 Fed.Reg. 91,088. 91,091, fn. 7 (Dec. 16, 2016); 42 U.S.C. § 7513(c)(1). Therefore, EPA has a mandatory duty to determine if the West Central Pinal nonattainment area attained by its attainment date and publish notice of such a finding by no later than June 30, 2018. 42 U.S.C. §§ 7509(c)(1) & (2), 7513(b)(2). EPA has failed to perform this mandatory duty.
- 20. Under the Clean Air Act, each state is required to submit state implementation plans to ensure that each National Ambient Air Quality Standard will be achieved, maintained, and enforced. Without such plans, the public is not afforded full protection against the harmful impacts of air pollution.
- 21. If a state implementation plan submittal is inadequate or inconsistent with the Clean Air Act, EPA must disapprove it. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(3). If, after two years, the state has failed to correct the deficiencies in a disapproved state implementation plan submittal, EPA must promulgate a federal implementation plan. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1).
- 22. On November 2, 2015, EPA found that Arizona's state implementation plan submittal did not comply in part with the Clean Air Act. 80 Fed. Reg. 67,319 (Nov. 2, 2015). EPA issued a limited approval and limited disapproval, which was effective December 2, 2015. *Id.* Therefore, EPA has a mandatory duty to promulgate a federal implementation plan by December 2, 2017 for the parts of the Arizona submittal subject to EPA's limited disapproval. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1).
- 23. Arizona has failed to correct every deficiency in its state implementation plan. EPA did approve certain provisions into Arizona's state implementation plan to address some of the deficiencies which were the basis of the November 2, 2015 limited

disapproval. 83 Fed. Reg. 19,631 (May 4, 2018). However, EPA's May 4, 2018 action did not address "all of the outstanding limited disapproval issues" related to Arizona's state implementation plan. 82 Fed. Reg. 25,213 (June 1, 2017). As a result, many deficiencies persist.

24. EPA's Technical Support Document to the May 4, 2018 action "provides a summary of the remaining limited disapproval issues." *Id.* The Technical Support Document, EPA's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Air Plan Approval; Arizona; Stationary Source; New Source Review, May 2017, EPA-R09-OAR-2017-0255-0005, Document C-1 at 22-23, provides the list of items that Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") failed to correct from the November 2, 2015 limited disapproval. EPA must promulgate a federal implementation plan that addresses each of these disapprovals. This list includes:

TABLE 1

Federal Regulation	Arizona's Rule			
40 CFR 51.160(a) and (b)	ADEQ rules do not ensure review of NAAQS in neighboring areas outside ADEQ permitting jurisdiction			
40 CFR 51.160(a) and (b)	Add reference to "or maintenance" of a standard			
40 CFR 51.160(b)(1)	Rule missing requirement to ensure sources must comply with all applicable portions of control strategy, similar to language in other portion of rules, e.g. R18-2-306(A)(2).			
40 CFR 51.160(c)	R18-2-302.01 does not fully meet requirement to submit necessary information for ADEQ to review source. In requiring sources to provide potential emissions the rules reference procedures for determining "actual" emissions and the referenced rule is not in the SIP and has not been submitted for SIP-approval.			
40 CFR 51.160(c)(1)	Rules allow some emissions units to be exempt from being included in applications for NSR purposes			
40 CFR 51.160(d)	Registration rule missing provision that approval does not affect responsibility of owner/operator to comply with other requirements			
40 CFR 51.160(f)(1)	Sources subject to registration program missing requirement to use Appendix W, when applicable			
40 CFR 51.160(e)	Submittal did not include a sufficient basis for the program's minor NSR thresholds in nonattainment areas, must provide additional analysis or revise thresholds, as needed.			

1	40 CFR 51.160(e)	Submittal did not include basis for exemptions of certain
2		agricultural sources and fuel burning equipment. See our TSD for the 2015 NSR action on page 27 for four specific
3		issues to be addressed.
4	40 CFR 51.160(e)	Submittal did not include basis for PM2.5 permitting exemption threshold
5	40 CFR 51.161(a)	Submittal did not require public notice in all instances for
6		permitting program. Federal rules do not allow for exemptions - including for disapprovals. Public notice is
7		required for all sources defined under 40 CFR 51.160(e); clarification of the public notice procedures in R18-2-330
8		that apply to registrations is necessary; and program must
9		require public notice for permit disapproval actions
	40 CFR 51.161(a)	Elective limits for registrations need additional
10		requirements to ensure enforceability, including
11		technically accurate limit and the portion of the source subject to the limit, the time period over which the limit
12		applies, and compilation of daily records if limit is not on a daily basis
13 14	40 CFR 51.161(d)	The registration portion of ADEQ's program needs to require notices to specific parties
14	40 CFR 51.163	Submittal contained references to administrative
15		procedures not included in SIP submittal (or existing SIP)
16		lated to the PSD program. ADEQ corrected this issue in the
		2017 NSR submittal. However, there remain [] references
17	that need to be submitted for r	ules R18-2-319 and 320.

25. Accordingly, EPA has a mandatory duty to promulgate a federal implementation plan for Arizona. 42 U.S.C. §§ 7410(c)(1).

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

CLAIM ONE

(Failure to bump up the West Central Pinal 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS nonattainment area)

- 26. Plaintiffs incorporates by reference all paragraphs listed above.
- 27. Moderate 2006 PM2.5 nonattainment areas have an attainment date of December
- 31, 2017. Therefore, EPA has a mandatory duty to by no later than June 30, 2018. 42
- U.S.C. §§ 7509(c)(2), 7513(b)(2).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

1		28.	West Central Pinal is a moderate nonattainment area for the 2006 PM2.5
2		NAAQS.	
3		29.	It is after June 30, 2018.
4		30.	EPA has not determined if the West Central Pinal moderate 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS
5		nonattain	ment area has attained by its attainment date and EPA has not published notice of
6		such a de	etermination.
7		31.	Therefore, EPA is in violation of its mandatory duty 42 U.S.C. §§ 7509(c)(2) and
8		7513(b)(2) with regard to the West Central Pinal moderate 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS
9		nonattair	ament area.
10			CLAIM TWO
11		(Fai	lure to promulgate a FIP for Arizona's New Source Review program)
12		32.	Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all paragraphs listed above.
13		33.	EPA has a mandatory duty to promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) no
14		later than	two years after disapproving a SIP submittal. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1).
15		34.	EPA issued a limited approval and limited disapproval of rules for the issuance of
16		New Sou	arce Review permits for stationary sources of air pollution in Arizona. 80 Fed. Reg.
17		67,319 (1	Nov. 2, 2015). This rule was effective December 2, 2015. <i>Id</i> .
18		35.	Therefore, EPA's FIP was due no later than December 2, 2017.
19		36.	EPA has not promulgated a FIP for the items listed in Table 1. Nor has EPA
20		approved	a SIP addressing the items listed in Table 1. Thus is in violation of its mandatory
21		duty.	
22			
23			REQUEST FOR RELIEF
24		WHE	REFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court:
25	A.	Declar	re that the Administrator is in violation of the Clean Air Act with regard to his
26		failure	e to perform each mandatory duty listed above;
27	B.	Issue a	a mandatory injunction requiring the Administrator to perform his mandatory duties
28		by cer	tain dates;

Case 4:19-cv-01544-KAW Document 1 Filed 03/26/19 Page 10 of 11

1	C.	Retain jurisdiction of this matter for	purposes of enforcing and effectuating the C	ourt's
2		order;		
3	D.	Grant Plaintiffs their reasonable cos	ts of litigation, including attorneys' and expen	rt fees
4		and		
5	E.	Grant such further relief as the Coun	t deems just and proper.	
6				
7			Respectfully submitted,	
8				
9	Dated:	March 26, 2019	/s/Lauren Packard	
10 11			Lauren Packard (Cal. Bar. #317774) CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY	Y
			1212 Broadway Suite 800	
12			Oakland, CA 94612	
13			Phone: 510-844-7100 x303 Fax: 510-844-7150	
14			email: lpackard@biologicaldiversity.org	
15			Address of few Plaintiffs Contact for Pinlania	1
16			Attorney for Plaintiffs Center for Biological Diversity and Center for Environmental He	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	Compl	aint for Declaratory and Injunctive R	delief 1	0

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that I served copies of the foregoing Complaint and Summons on the 3 following parties by certified mail pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 4 4 (i)(1)(A)(ii) and (i)(2): 5 6 Andrew Wheeler, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency HQ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency HQ 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 7 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460 Mail Code 1101A 8 Washington, DC 20460 9 10 William Barr Civil Process Clerk United States Attorney General United States Attorney 11 U.S. Department of Justice Northern District of California 12 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Federal Courthouse Washington, D.C. 20530-0001 450 Golden Gate 13 San Francisco, CA 94102 14 15 16 17 Dated: March 26, 2019 /s/ Andrea Weber 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Filed 03/26/19 Page 1 of 2 Case 4:19-cv-01544-KA JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 06/17)

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

DEFENDANTS

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

U.S. Government Plaintiff

Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)

U.S. Government Defendant

Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCI	PAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)			
	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	1	1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Principal Place of Business In This State	4	4
Citizen of Another State	2	2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	5
Citizen or Subject of a	3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6

TV NATURE OF SUIT (Place on "V" in One Per Only)

	JII (Place an "X" in One Box O	**	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	D I MIZDY IDEOX	OWNER OF LEVIER	
0011111111	CONTRACT TORTS			BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury – Product Liability	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881 690 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC § 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	367 Health Care/	LABOR	PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment	
150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION	820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent—Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions	
190 Other Contract	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	462 Naturalization Application	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities— Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities—Other 448 Education	HABEAS CORPUS 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty OTHER 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee— Conditions of Confinement	Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC § 7609	893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	

Foreign Country

ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Original 2 Proceeding State Court

Removed from Remanded from Appellate Court Reinstated or Reopened

5 Transferred from Another District (specify) Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation-Direct File

CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

Brief description of cause:

REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P.

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND:

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only) SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND **SAN JOSE**

EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I. a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)."
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) United States defendant. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - (3) <u>Federal question</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) <u>Diversity of citizenship</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - (1) <u>Original Proceedings</u>. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) <u>Transferred from Another District</u>. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
 - <u>Please note that there is no Origin Code 7</u>. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. <u>Brief Description</u>: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
 - Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 - Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- **IX. Divisional Assignment.** If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated."
- Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.