1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8		T SEATTLE	
9 10	NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL		
11	ADVOCATES, an Oregon non-profit corporation,	NO.	
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT	
13	v.	Pursuant to Clean Water Act Section 505(a)(2), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(2)	
14 15	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,		
16	Defendant.		
17			
18 19	NATURE OF THE CASE		
20	This is a civil action brought by plaintiff Northwest Environmental Advocates		
21	("NWEA") challenging a failure by defendant United States Environmental Protection Agency		
22	("EPA") to discharge its nondiscretionary duty to review and either approve or disapprove a		
23	proposed Total Maximum Daily Load ("TMDL") submitted by the State of Washington for the		
24	Deschutes River, Percival Creek, and tributa	aries to Budd Inlet (herein, "Deschutes TMDL").	
25			
26			

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	ĺ
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	١

2. The Washington Department of Ecology ("Ecology") submitted the Deschutes TMDL to EPA in December of 2015, triggering EPA's nondiscretionary duty to either approve or disapprove the TMDL within 30 days under Section 303(d)(2) of the federal Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2). Because EPA has neglected to discharge this nondiscretionary duty for nearly two years, NWEA brings this lawsuit to compel EPA to act on Washington's submission under the CWA's citizen suit provision, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(2).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. § 1346 (federal defendant), and 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(2) (CWA citizen suit provision). An actual, justiciable controversy exists between NWEA and defendant EPA. The requested relief is proper under 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a).
- 4. As required by CWA section 505(b), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b), NWEA gave notice of the violations alleged in this complaint and NWEA's intent to sue under the CWA more than 60 days prior to commencement of this suit. A copy of NWEA's notice letter, dated August 23, 2017, is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 1. EPA has not remedied the violations alleged in NWEA's notice letter and is in continuing violation of the CWA.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a), and LCR 3(e) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in Seattle, Washington, where EPA's Region 10 administrative office is located.

PARTIES

6. The plaintiff in this action is NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES. Established in 1969, NWEA is a regional non-profit environmental organization

incorporated under the laws of Oregon in 1981 and organized under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. NWEA's principal place of business is Portland, Oregon. NWEA's mission is to work through advocacy and education to protect and restore water and air quality, wetlands, and wildlife habitat in the Pacific Northwest, including Washington. NWEA employs advocacy with administrative agencies, community organizing, strategic partnerships, public record requests, information sharing, lobbying, and litigation to ensure better implementation of the laws that protect and restore the natural environment. NWEA has participated in the development of CWA programs in the State of Washington for many years, including the state's TMDL program by, *inter alia*, having brought suit in 1991 against EPA for its failure to establish TMDLs for the State of Washington and serving on EPA's TMDL federal advisory committee from 1996 to 1998.

7. NWEA's members regularly use and enjoy the waters of the Deschutes River basin, Capitol Lake, and Budd Inlet. NWEA's members regularly use and enjoy these waters and adjacent lands and have definite future plans to continue using them for recreational, scientific, aesthetic, spiritual, conservation, educational, employment, and other purposes. Many of these interests revolve around viewing sensitive salmonid species and other aquatic species that are under threat by pollution in the covered waters. The use and enjoyment that NWEA's members derive from viewing these species, and otherwise recreating on or near and enjoying the waters of the Deschutes River basin, Capitol Lake, and Budd Inlet, is diminished by the effects of pollution in the covered waters, including pollution relating to temperature, human pathogens, dissolved oxygen, pH, nutrients, and fine sediment. NWEA's members would derive more benefits and

enjoyment from their use of these waters if these pollutants were not adversely affecting water quality and aquatic and aquatic-dependent wildlife in these waters.

- 8. Some of NWEA's members derive or used to derive recreational and aesthetic benefits by fishing in the Deschutes River. These members have curtailed their fishing in the Deschutes River, or no longer fish in the River, due in part to concerns regarding pollutants and their effect on fisheries, including concerns relating to high water temperatures, low dissolved oxygen, and high levels of fine sediment and human pathogens.
- 9. Successful completion of a TMDL to address these pollution problems is a critical step in fully implementing the goals of the CWA for these waters, fully protecting salmonids and other aquatic and aquatic-dependent species, and improving water quality in the covered and affected waters. EPA's failure to approve or disapprove the TMDL puts these species at risk and threatens or negatively affects the interests of NWEA's members.
- 10. The recreational, aesthetic, conservation, employment, scientific, educational, spiritual, and other interests of NWEA and its members have been, are being, and unless relief is granted, will continue to be adversely affected and irreparably injured by EPA's failure to comply with the CWA. NWEA's injury-in-fact is fairly traceable to EPA's conduct and would be redressed by the requested relief.
- 11. Defendant UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY is the federal agency charged with administration of the CWA, and specifically with approving or disapproving state TMDL submissions under Section 303(d)(2) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2).

///

23

24

25

26

LEGAL BACKGROUND

The Clean Water Act and Water Quality Standards

- 12. Congress adopted amendments to the CWA in 1972 in an effort "to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the Nation's waters." 33 U.S.C. § 1251(a). The primary goal of the CWA is to eliminate the discharge of pollutants into navigable waters entirely; also established is "an interim goal of water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife." *Id.* § 1251(a)(1–2).
- 13. To meet these statutory goals, the CWA requires states to develop water quality standards that establish, and then protect, the desired conditions of each waterway within the state's regulatory jurisdiction. 33 U.S.C. § 1313(a). Water quality standards must be sufficient to "protect the public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water, and serve the purposes of [the CWA]." *Id.* § 1313(c)(2)(a). Water quality standards establish the water quality goals for a waterbody. 40 C.F.R. §§ 131.2, 131.10(d). EPA is charged with approving or disapproving a state's water quality standards. *See* 33 U.S.C. § (c)(2)(a), (3).
- 14. Among other things, water quality standards serve as the regulatory basis for establishing water quality-based controls over point sources, as required by sections 301 and 306 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311 & 1316. A point source is a "discernable, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well . . . from which pollutants are or may be discharged." 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14). Point source discharges are regulated under National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permits, which require point sources to meet both technology-based effluent limitations and "any more stringent".

21

22

23

24

25

26

limitation . . . necessary to meet water quality standards." 33 U.S.C. § 1311(b)(1)(C). Water quality standards are thus integral to the regulation of point source pollution.

15. Water quality standards also are used to establish measures to control nonpoint sources pollution. Unlike point source pollution, nonpoint source pollution is generally considered to be any pollution that cannot be traced to a single discrete conveyance. Examples include runoff from agricultural or forestry lands and increased solar radiation caused by the loss of riparian vegetation. Congress did not establish a federal permitting scheme for nonpoint sources of pollution, such as pollution from timber harvesting and agriculture. Instead, Congress assigned states the task of implementing water quality standards for nonpoint sources, with oversight, guidance, and funding from EPA. See, e.g., 33 U.S.C. §§ 1288, 1313, 1329. Even so, water quality standards apply to all pollution sources, point and nonpoint alike. "[S]tates are required to set water quality standards for all waters within their boundaries regardless of the sources of the pollution entering waters." Pronsolino v. Nastri, 291 F.3d 1123, 1127 (9th Cir. 2002) (emphasis in original).

Total Maximum Daily Loads

16. In addition to serving as the regulatory basis for NPDES permits and non-point source controls, water quality standards are the benchmarks by which the quality of a waterbody is measured. In particular, water bodies that do not meet applicable water quality standards, or cannot meet applicable standards after the imposition of technology-based effluent limitations on point sources, are deemed to be "water quality limited" or "impaired" and placed on a list of such waters compiled under Section 303(d)(1)(a) of the CWA (known colloquially as the "303(d) list"). See 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(1)(A); 40 C.F.R. § 130.2(j). States must then develop TMDLs for

all 303(d)-listed waters in order to establish the scientific basis for cleaning up water pollution that exceeds water quality standards.

- 17. A TMDL is the total daily loading of pollutants for a particular waterbody or segment. See 40 C.F.R. §130.2(i). A TMDL "shall be established at a level necessary to implement the applicable water quality standards with seasonal variation and a margin of safety which takes into account any lack of knowledge concerning the relationship between effluent limitations and water quality." 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(1)(C). The total amount of pollutants that may enter a waterbody while still meeting water quality standards is called "loading capacity." 40 C.F.R. § 130.2(f). TMDLs for individual water bodies or segments are often bundled together by basin, subbasin, or watershed in the same analytical document.
- 18. After calculating a waterbody's loading capacity, a TMDL then distributes portions of the total loading capacity to individual sources of pollution or sectors of pollution sources. These allocations include both "load allocations" and "wasteload allocations," for point and nonpoint sources of pollution respectively. 40 C.F.R. § 130.2(i). A wasteload allocation is "[t]he portion of a receiving water's loading capacity that is allocated to one of its existing or future point sources of pollution." *Id.* at § 130.20(h). A load allocation is "[t]he portion of a receiving water's loading capacity that is attributed either to one of its existing or future nonpoint sources of pollution or to natural background sources." *Id.* at § 130.20(f). In essence, the purpose of load and wasteload allocations is to allocate the total amount of pollution that may enter a waterbody between all the sources of pollution, including both point and nonpoint sources of pollution, thereby restricting pollution inputs sufficiently to attain and maintain water quality standards.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 19. As with water quality standards, states must submit TMDLs to EPA for approval or disapproval under section 303(d) of the CWA. *See* 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2). Section 303(d) requires that within 30 days after submission EPA either approve the TMDLs or disapprove them. *Id*. EPA's duty to either approve or disapprove the TMDLs within 30 days of submission is a non-discretionary duty under the CWA.
- 20. If EPA disapproves a state-submitted TMDL, it must then establish a replacement TMDL within 30 days. *Id*.
- 21. Upon EPA approval or promulgation of a TMDL, all future NPDES permits must be consistent with the TMDL's wasteload allocations for point sources. 40 C.F.R. § 130.2. The approved load allocations serve as the basis for state and local programs for controlling nonpoint source pollution, including state programs that receive federal funds under section 319 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1329. Once EPA approves a TMDL, the state must also incorporate the TMDL into its "continuing planning process" under section 303(e) of the CWA. 33 U.S.C. § 1313(e)(3)(C).

The CWA Citizen Suit Provision

22. Section 505 of the CWA provides a private cause of action for citizens to enforce the procedural and substantive mandates and prohibitions of the CWA. *See* 33 U.S.C. § 1365. Among other things, this provision provides that "any citizen may commence a civil action on his own behalf . . . against the Administrator [of EPA] where there is alleged a failure of the Administrator to perform any act or duty under [the CWA] which is nondiscretionary with the administrator." 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(2). In such an action, "[t]he district courts shall have jurisdiction . . . to order the Administrator to perform such act or duty." 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a).

23. Under Section 303(d)(2) of the CWA, EPA has a nondiscretionary duty to approve or disapprove a proposed TMDL within 30 day of submission. *See* 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2). *See also Ohio Valley Envtl. Coal.*, *Inc. v. McCarthy*, 2017 WL 600102 *18 (S.D. W.Va. Feb. 14, 2017) ("EPA has a nondiscretionary duty to approve or disapprove within thirty days a TMDL submission").

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Deschutes TMDL

- 24. Washington's Deschutes River begins in the Bald Hills of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest (west of Mt. Rainier), travels down through foothills and the cities of Tumwater and Olympia, passes a dam that converted the former estuary into Capitol Lake, and ultimately discharges to the marine waters of Budd Inlet and the Puget Sound. The Deschutes River and other tributaries to Budd Inlet are protected, *inter alia*, by Washington water quality standards for temperature, bacteria, dissolved oxygen, pH, and fine sediment. Some of these water quality standards are intended to protect human use of the covered waters (*e.g.*, bacteria). Others are intended to protect sensitive aquatic life uses such as rearing, migration, and spawning of salmon, steelhead, trout, and other aquatic life uses (*e.g.*, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, and fine sediment).
- 25. Exceedances of some of these water quality standards can be harmful to human health—for example, excess fecal coliform can indicate the presence of water-borne human illnesses and pathogens (*e.g.*, hepatitis) associated with human waste and waste from other warm-blooded animals. Exceedances of other water quality parameters can harm important fish and shellfish populations that depend on the Deschutes River watershed for survival. Such

26

1 exceedances result in a failure to attain the Clean Water Act's goal of achieving water quality that 2 provides for protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on 3 the water. 4 26. For example, excess temperature can lead to depressed survival rates among 5 salmonids due to adverse physiological and behavioral changes such as increased metabolic rates, 6 reduced swimming performance, impairment of predator avoidance, and increased incidence of 7 disease. Temperature often has a synergistic or additive effect by increasing the toxicity of other 8 9 pollutants. Temperature also contributes to lower levels of dissolved oxygen in streams. Low 10 dissolved oxygen, in turn, can have a number of deleterious effects on salmonids and other 11 aquatic organisms, including decreased growth rates, decreased swimming ability, increased 12 susceptibility to disease, and increased sensitivity to other environmental stressors and pollutants. 13 Adverse changes to the pH of a waterbody can increase the harmful effects of water-borne toxics, 14 particularly metals common in discharges of stormwater runoff. And too much fine sediment can 15 16 lead to depressed fish stocks by, inter alia, smothering fish redds and lowering intergravel 17 dissolved oxygen levels. For all of these reasons, achieving Washington's water quality standards 18 for these parameters is a critical component of the CWA's goal of achieving water quality that 19 allows for human recreation and provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and 20 wildlife. See 33 U.S.C. § 1251. 21 /// 22 23 /// 24 25

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27. Since at least the late 1980s, pollution in the Deschutes River basin and Budd Inlet has attracted the attention of federal, state, and local governments. Many of the waters at issue in this lawsuit, including the Deschutes River, were added to Washington's 303(d) list of impaired waters as early as 1996 for impairments relating to excess temperature, fecal coliform, dissolved oxygen, and pH, and on later lists for fine sediment. By at least 2002, Ecology began work on a TMDL to address these impairments, as well as related impairments in Capitol Lake and the marine waters of Budd Inlet. Over the next several years, Ecology published detailed studies on the sources and severity of the impairments and the sources of the pollutants, and plans to remedy them through the TMDL process. These studies confirmed that the impairments are caused, in large part, by anthropogenic impacts throughout the basin, including municipal discharges of treated wastewater; decreased riparian vegetation due to logging and development; deteriorating

¹ See U.S. Envtl. Prot. Agency, Budd Inlet Bay Action Program: 1991 Action Plan (July 1991) (reporting, inter alia, that EPA had identified eutrophication in southern Budd Inlet as a high priority as early as 1988).

² See, e.g., Washington Dept. of Ecology, Quality Assurance Project Plan – Deschutes River, Capitol Lake, and Budd Inlet Temperature, Fecal Coliform Bacteria, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, and Fine Sediment Total Maximum Daily Load Study (Feb. 2004, Pub. No. 04-03-103); Ecology, Lower Deschutes and Budd Inlet tributaries Wet Weather Monitoring Plan (April 2004); Ecology, Assessment of Surface Water / Groundwater Interactions and Associated Nutrient Fluxes in the Deschutes and Percival Creek Watersheds, Thurston County (Jan. 2007, Pub. No. 07-03-002); Ecology, Interim Results from the Budd Inlet, Capitol Lake, and Deschutes River Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrient Study (April 2007); Ecology, Final Reconnaissance Study Plan for Deschutes River / Capitol Lake / Budd Inlet Total Maximum Daily Loads (July 2003); Ecology, Deschutes River, Capitol Lake, and Budd Inlet Temperature, Fecal Coliform Bacteria, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, and Fine Sediment Total Maximum Daily Load Technical Report: Water Quality Study Findings (June 2012, Pub No. 12-03-008); Ecology, Deschutes River, Capitol Lake, and Budd Inlet Temperature, Fecal Coliform Bacteria, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, and Fine Sediment Total Maximum Daily Load Technical Report: Water Quality Study Findings (June 2012, Pub No. 12-03-008).

sewer infrastructure; improperly maintained, poorly located, or failing on-site septic systems; domestic animals; fertilizers and manure; stormwater runoff; and road building.

- 28. Finally, 13 years after it started, in September 2015, Ecology had completed a draft TMDL, one that covered the fresh and marine waters of the basin including Budd Inlet. *See* Ecology, *Deschutes River*, *Capitol Lake*, *and Budd Inlet Total Maximum Daily Load Study Supplemental Modeling Scenarios* (Sept. 2015). Rather than submit the TMDL to EPA, however, by December of that year, Ecology decided to split the Deschutes basin from Capitol Lake and Budd Inlet, claiming that it would prepare a TMDL for the downstream portion of the watershed later.
- 29. In December of 2015, after removing Budd Inlet and Capitol Lake, Ecology submitted the Final Deschutes TMDL to EPA for review under Section 303(d)(2) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2). See Washington Department of Ecology, Deschutes River, Percival Creek, and Budd Inlet Tributaries Temperature, Fecal Coliform Bacteria, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, and Fine Sediment Total Maximum Daily Load: Water Quality Improvement Report and Implementation Plan FINAL (Dec. 2015, Pub. No. 15-10-012). The TMDL was issued after extensive public input and is intended to remedy water quality impairments in the Deschutes River and other freshwater tributaries to Budd Inlet.
- 30. To date, however, EPA has failed to take action on the Deschutes TMDL, which has been awaiting EPA approval or disapproval for over 22 months
- 31. Now, over two decades since the waters were first listed as impaired and 15 years since Ecology began developing the TMDL, the Deschutes River, its tributaries, and other Budd Inlet tributaries continue to violate water quality standards, continue to contribute to downstream

1	pollution in Budd Inlet and the Puget Sound, and lack the critical protections that the TMDL aims		
2	to put in place to achieve Washington's water quality standards and protect its designated uses.		
3	32. In short, EPA has failed to either approve or disapprove the Deschutes TMDL		
5	within 30 days as required Section 303(d)(2), 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2).		
6	33. To NWEA's knowledge, EPA has no plans to approve or disapprove the TMDL		
7	any time in the foreseeable future.		
8	CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
9	Failure to Act on the Deschutes TMDL, 33 U.S.C. §1365(a)(2)		
10	34. Plaintiff NWEA realleges all preceding paragraphs.		
11	35. Section 303(d)(2) of the CWA requires EPA to either approve or disapprove		
12 13	TMDLs within thirty days after submission by a state. See 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2). EPA's duty to		
14	act on TMDLs within thirty days of submission is a nondiscretionary duty within the meaning of		
15	section 505 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(2), the Act's citizen suit provision.		
16	36. Nearly two years have elapsed since the Washington Department of Ecology		
17	submitted the Deschutes TMDL for EPA's review. To date, EPA has neither approved nor		
18	disapproved the Deschutes TMDL under section 303(d) of the CWA.		
19 20	37. In failing to either approve or disapprove the Deschutes TMDL under section		
21	303(d) of the CWA, EPA failed to perform a nondiscretionary duty within the meaning of the		
22	CWA citizen suit provision, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(2).		
23			
24			
25			
26			

COMPLAINT - 13

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
2	WHEREFORE, plaintiff Northwest Environmental Advocates respectfully requests that				
3	this Court:				
4 5	A. Declare that EPA has violated its nondiscretionary duty under 33 U.S.C.				
6	§1313(d)(2) to approve or disapprove the Deschutes TMDL within 30 days of submission;				
7	B. Enter an order directing EPA to approve or disapprove the TMDL within 30 days				
8	of the Court's decision;				
9	C. Award NWEA its reasonable costs and attorneys' fees under 33 U.S.C. §1365(d);				
10	and				
11	D. Grant such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.				
12					
13	DATED this 6th day of November, 2017.				
14	Respectfully submitted,				
15	BRICKLIN & NEWMAN, LLP				
16					
17	By: s/ Bryan Telegin				
18	Bryan Telegin, WSBA No. 46686 1424 Fourth Avenue, Suite 500				
19	Seattle, WA 98101				
20	Telephone: (206) 264-8600 Fax: (206) 264-9300				
21	E-mail: telegin@bnd-law.com				
22					
23	EARTHRISE LAW CENTER				
24	By: s/ Lia Comerford				
25					
26	Lia Comerford, <i>pro hac vice</i> application forthcoming Lewis & Clark Law School				

Earthrise Law Center Lewis & Clark Law School 10015 S.W. Terwilliger Blvd. Portland, OR 97219 Tel: (503) 768-6894 Fax: (503) 768-6642 Bricklin & Newman, LLP

Attorneys at Law 1424 Fourth Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle WA 98101 Tel. (206) 264-8600 Fax. (206) 264-9300

Case 2:17-cv-01664 Document 1 Filed 11/06/17 Page 15 of 15

1 2	10015 S.W. Terwilliger Blvd. Portland, OR 97219 Telephone: (503) 768-6823
3	Fax: (503) 768-6642 E-mail: comerfordl@lclark.edu
4	Counsel for Plaintiff Northwest Environmental
5	Advocates
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
_	

EXHIBIT 1

lawyers working for the environment

Reply to: Seattle Office

August 23, 2017

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Scott Pruitt, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

Jefferson Beauregard Sessions III, Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Re: Notice of Intent to Sue for Failure to Perform Mandatory Duties Pursuant to the Clean Water Act Relating to Washington's Proposed Deschutes River, Percival Creek, and Budd Inlet Tributaries TMDL

Dear Mr. Pruitt and Mr. Sessions:

This letter provides notice that Northwest Environmental Advocates ("NWEA") intends to sue the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") under Section 505(a)(2) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA") for failure to approve or disapprove Washington's Deschutes River, Percival Creek, and Budd Inlet Tributaries Temperature, Fecal Coliform, Bacteria, Dissolved Oxygen, pH, and Fine Sediment Total Maximum Daily Load (Washington Department of Ecology Publication No. 15-10-012, available at https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/documents/1510012.pdf). We refer to this document as the "Deschutes River TMDL."

Washington submitted the Deschutes River TMDL to EPA in December of 2015. More than thirty days have elapsed since that time, but EPA has yet to either approve or disapprove the proposed TMDL as required by Section 303(d)(2) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2). That section provides, in part, that "[t]he Administrator shall either approve or disapprove [a TMDL] not later than thirty days after the date of submission."

Case 2:17-cv-01664 Document 1-1 Filed 11/06/17 Page 3 of 7

Scott Pruitt, EPA Administrator Jefferson Beauregard Sessions III, Attorney General August 23, 2017 Page 2

Section 505 of the CWA provides that any citizen may sue EPA in federal court "where there is alleged a failure of the Administrator to perform any act or duty under [the CWA] which is nondiscretionary with the Administrator." 33 U.S.C. § 1313(a)(2). In such a case, the District Court has jurisdiction to order the EPA Administrator "to perform such act or duty." *Id*.

Because the EPA has failed to either approve or disapprove Washington's Deschutes River TMDL within 30 days of the state's submission, NWEA intends to sue the EPA in federal court to enforce the requirements of Section 303(d)(2) of the CWA, and to require EPA to act in a timely fashion.

As required by 40 C.F.R. § 135.3(b), the following are the name, address, and telephone number of the party providing this notice:

Northwest Environmental Advocates P.O. Box 12187 Portland, OR 97212-0187 (503) 295-0490

As required by 40 C.F.R. § 135.3(c), the following are the name, address, and telephone number of NWEA's legal counsel in this matter:

Bricklin & Newman, LLP Bryan Telegin, WSBA No. 46686 1424 Fourth Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98101

Tel: (206) 264-8600

Email: telegin@bnd-law.com

Earthrise Law Center Allison LaPlante, OSB No. 023614 Lia Comerford, OSB No. 141513 10015 Terwilliger Blvd. Portland, OR 97210

Tel: (503) 768-6894

Email: laplante@lclark.edu; comerfordl@lclark.edu

/// /// /// ///

Case 2:17-cv-01664 Document 1-1 Filed 11/06/17 Page 4 of 7

Scott Pruitt, EPA Administrator Jefferson Beauregard Sessions III, Attorney General August 23, 2017 Page 3

NWEA is open to engaging in a constructive dialogue to obtain a workable solution in this matter. If EPA has a similar interest it should immediately contact me as NWEA's counsel. Please expect NWEA to file suit upon the expiration of 60 days from the date of this notice.

Very truly yours,

BRICKLIN & NEWMAN, LLP

Bryan Telegin

cc: Client

Michelle Pirzadeh, Acting Regional Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 6th Avenue Seattle, WA 98101

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: Scott Pruitt, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460	A. Signature X
9590 9401 0164 5234 4197 16 2. Article Number (<i>Transfer from service label</i>) 7016 0910 0001 6892 31	3. Service Type □ Adult Signature □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Cillect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ ail Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery □ Delivery □ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery □ Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	A. Signature X B. Received by (Printed Name) AUG 3 0 2 017 Augent Addressee	
Jefferson Beauregard Sessions III Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530-0001	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No	
9590 9401 0164 5234 4197 30 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7016 0910 0001 6692 3171	3. Service Type □ Adult Signature □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation □ Signature Confirmation □ Restricted Delivery □ Registered Mail Restricted □ Delivery □ Signature Confirmation □ Restricted Delivery □ Registered Mail Restricted □ Delivery □ Signature Confirmation □ Restricted Delivery	
PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Michelle Pirzadeh Acting Regional Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 1200 6th Avenue Seattle, WA 98101 	A. Signature X
2.	3. Service Type □ Adult Signature □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Iterchandise □ Iterchand
PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt

Case 2:17-cv-01664 Decument 12 SHIEL 11/06/17 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Northwest Environmenta	l Advocates		DEFENDANTS United States Envi	DEFENDANTS United States Environmental Protection Agency		
(b) County of Residence of (E.	f First Listed Plaintiff N.CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Multnomah County, OF	NOTE: IN LAND CO	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) See attached	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in G	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Prior of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
W MATURE OF CHIE	Γ		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other LABOR	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts ★ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i.	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	□ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	465 Other Immigration Actions			
□ 1 Original □ 2 Re		Remanded from 4 Appellate Court	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfer Anothe (specify)	er District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	nuse:	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state / duty to act on state-sub		J.S.C. 1313(d)	
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 0.00 JURY DEMAND: Yes No						
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 11/06/2017		signature of attor s/ Bryan Telegin	NEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ATTACHMENT

Plaintiff's Attorneys

Bryan Telegin, WSBA No. 46686 Bricklin & Newman, LLP 1424 Fourth Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 264-8600 Email: telegin@bnd-law.com

Lia Comerford, *pro hac vice* application pending Earthrise Law Center Lewis & Clark Law School 10015 SW Terwilliger Blvd. Portland, OR 97219

Telephone: (503) 768-6823 Email: comerfordl@lclark.edu

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western 1	District of Washington		
Northwest Environmental Advocates)		
Plaintiff(s) V. United States Environmental Protection Agency)) () () () () () () () () () () () ()		
Defendant(s))))		
SUMMO	NS IN A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address)			
United States Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:			
Bryan Telegin Bricklin & Newman, 1424 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default You also must file your answer or motion with the control of the co	will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.		
	CLERK OF COURT		
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

		ne of individual and title, if ar	ny)	
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·	
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	lividual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons			
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or			
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sumn	; or		
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.			
Date:		_		
			Server's signature	
		<u>-</u>	Printed name and title	
		_	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: