# ECONOMIC ANALYSES

December 17, 2010

(updated May 2014)

National Center for Environmental Economics
Office of Policy
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Acrony	ms and	ADDreviations	VIII
Glossa	ry		xi
1 Intro	duction.		1-1
		ound to the Guidelines for Performing Economic Analyses	
		ope of the <i>Guidelines</i>	
1.3	Econor	nic Framework and Definition of Terms	1-3
1.4	Organi	zation of the <i>Guidelines</i>	1-5
2 Statu	tory and	Executive Order Requirements for Conducting Economic Analyses	2-1
2.1	Executi	ve Orders	2-2
	2.1.1	Executive Order 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review"	2-2
	2.1.2	Executive Order 12898, "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations"	2-3
	2.1.3	Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks"	2-3
	2.1.4	Executive Order 13132, "Federalism"	2-3
	2.1.5	Executive Order 13175, "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments"	2-3
	2.1.6	Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use"	2-4
2.2	Statute	S	2-4
	2.2.1	The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA) as amended by The Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), (5 U.S.C. 601-612)	2-4
	2.2.2	The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (P.L. 104-4)	2-4
	2.2.3	The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501)	2-5
3 State	ment of	Need for Policy Action	3-1
3.1	Probler	n Definition	3-1
3.2	Reason	s for Market or Institutional Failure	3-1
3.3	Need fo	or Federal Action	3-2
4 Regu	latory a	nd Non-Regulatory Approaches to Pollution Control	4-1
4.1	Evaluat	ing Environmental Policy	4-1
	4.1.1	Efficiency	4-1
	4.1.2	Cost-Effectiveness	4-2
4.2	Traditio	onal Command-and-Control or Prescriptive Regulation	4-2
	4.2.1	Technology or Design Standards	4-3
	4.2.2	Performance Standards	4-4
4.3	Market	-Oriented Approaches	4-5
	4.3.1	Marketable Permit Systems	4-5

		4.3.2	Emission Taxes	4-9
		4.3.3	Environmental Subsidies	4-10
		4.3.4	Tax-Subsidy Combinations	4-11
	4.4	Other I	Market-Oriented or Hybrid Approaches	4-12
		4.4.1	Combining Standards and Pricing Approaches	4-12
		4.4.2	Information Disclosure	4-13
		4.4.3	Liability Rules	4-14
	4.5	Selectin	ng the Appropriate Market-Based Incentive or Hybrid Approach	4-15
		4.5.1	The Type of Market Failure	4-15
		4.5.2	The Nature of the Environmental Problem	4-15
		4.5.3	The Type of Pollutant Information that is Available and Observable	4-16
		4.5.4	Uncertainty in Abatement Costs or Damages	4-16
		4.5.5	Market Competitiveness	4-17
		4.5.6	Monitoring and Enforcement Issues	4-17
		4.5.7	Potential for Economy-Wide Distortions	4-17
		4.5.8	The Goals of the Policy Maker	4-18
	4.6	Non-Re	egulatory Approaches	4-18
		4.6.1	How Voluntary Approaches Work	4-19
		4.6.2	Economic Evaluation of Voluntary Approaches	4-19
	<b>4.</b> 7	Measur	ing the Effectiveness of Regulatory or Non-Regulatory Approaches	4-21
5 R	acal	lino		5-1
ט ט			e Definition	
			g Principles of Baseline Specification	
			es in Basic Variables	
	5.5	5.3.1	Demographic Change	
		5.3.2	Future Economic Activity	
		5.3.3	Changes in Consumer Behavior	
		5.3.4	Technological Change	
	54		iance Rates	
	7.1	5.4.1	Full Compliance	
		5.4.2	Under-Compliance	
		5.4.3	Over-Compliance	
	5 5		le Rules	
	ر.ر	5.5.1	Linked Rules	
		5.5.2	Unlinked Rules	
		5.5.3	Indirectly Related Policies and Programs	
	56		Benefits to a Threshold	

5.7	Behav	ioral Responses	5-14
	5.7.1	Potential for Cost Savings	5-14
	5.7.2	Voluntary Actions	5-15
5.8	Concl	lusion	5-16
6 Disco	ounting	Future Benefits and Costs	6-1
		lechanics of Summarizing Present and Future Costs and Benefits	
	6.1.1	Net Present Value	
	6.1.2	Annualized Values	6-3
	6.1.3	Net Future Value	6-3
	6.1.4	Comparing the Methods	6-4
	6.1.5	Sensitivity of Present Value Estimates to the Discount Rate	6-4
	6.1.6	Some Issues in Application	6-4
6.2	Backg	round and Rationales for Social Discounting	6-6
	6.2.1	Consumption Rates of Interest and Private Rates of Return	6-6
	6.2.2	Social Rate of Time Preference	6-7
	6.2.3	Social Opportunity Cost of Capital	6-8
	6.2.4	Shadow Price of Capital Approach	6-8
	6.2.5	Evaluating the Alternatives	6-10
6.3	Interg	enerational Social Discounting	6-11
	6.3.1	The Ramsey Framework	6-12
	6.3.2	Key Considerations	6-14
	6.3.3	Evaluating Alternatives	6-16
6.4	Recon	nmendations and Guidance	6-18
7 Analy	yzing B	enefits	7-1
7.1	The B	enefits Analysis Process	7-1
7.2	Econo	omic value and types of benefits	7-6
	7.2.1	Human Health Improvements	7-8
	7.2.2	Ecological Benefits	7-15
	7.2.3	Other Benefits	7-20
7.3	Econo	omic Valuation Methods for Benefits Analysis	7-21
	7.3.1	Revealed Preference Methods	7-21
	7.3.2	Stated Preference	7-35
	7.3.3	Combining Revealed and Stated Preference Data	7-44
7.4	Benefi	t Transfer	7-44
7.5	Accon	nmodating Non-monetized Benefits	7-49
	7.5.1	Qualitative Discussions	7-49
	752	Alternative Analyses	7.50

8 Analyzing Costs				
8.	.1 The Ec	onomics of Social Cost	8-1	
	8.1.1	Partial Equilibrium Analysis	8-2	
	8.1.2	General Equilibrium Analysis	8-4	
	8.1.3	Dynamics	8-6	
	8.1.4	Social Cost and Employment Effects	8-6	
8.	2 A Typo	ology of Costs	8-7	
	8.2.1	Alternative Concepts of Cost	8-7	
	8.2.2	Additional Cost Terminology	8-8	
	8.2.3	Transitional and Distributional Costs	8-9	
8.	.3 Measur	rement Issues in Estimating Social Cost	8-9	
	8.3.1	Evaluating Costs Over Time	8-9	
	8.3.2	Difficulties in Valuing Social Cost	8-12	
	8.3.3	Use of Externally-Produced Cost Estimates	8-13	
8.	4 Models	s Used in Estimating the Costs of Environmental Regulation		
	8.4.1	Compliance Cost Models	8-14	
	8.4.2	Partial Equilibrium Models	8-15	
	8.4.3	Linear Programming Models	8-16	
	8.4.4	Input-Output Models	8-17	
	8.4.5	Input-Output Econometric Models	8-18	
	8.4.6	Computable General Equilibrium Models	8-19	
9 Eco	nomic Im	pact Analyses	9-1	
9.	.1 Statute	s and Policies	9-1	
9.	.2 Condu	cting an Economic Impact Analysis	9-2	
	9.2.1	Screening for Potentially Significant Impacts		
	9.2.2	Profile of Affected Entities	9-3	
	9.2.3	Detailing Impacts on Industry	9-5	
	9.2.4	Detailing Impacts on Governments and Not-for-Profit Organizations	9-11	
	9.2.5	Detailing Impacts on Small Entities	9-14	
9.	.3 Approa	aches to Modeling in an Economic Impact Analysis	9-15	
	9.3.1	Direct Compliance Costs	9-15	
	9.3.2	Partial Equilibrium Models	9-17	
	9.3.3	Computable General Equilibrium Models	9-18	
10 En	vironmen	ntal Justice, Children's Environmental Health		
		Distributional Considerations	10-1	
10	0.1 Execut	tive Orders, Directives, and Policies	10-1	
10	0.2 Enviro	onmental Justice	10-4	
	10.2.1	Background Literature	10-4	
	10.2.2	Analyzing Distributional Impacts in the Context of Regulatory Analysis	10-6	
	10.2.3	Relevant Populations	10-9	

	10.2.4	Data Sources	10-12
	10.2.5	Scope and Geographic Considerations	10-12
	10.2.6	Defining the Baseline	10-14
	10.2.7	Comparison groups	10-14
	10.2.8	Measuring and estimating impacts	10-15
	10.3 Childr	en's Environmental Health	10-20
	10.3.1	Childhood as a Lifestage	10-20
	10.3.2	Analytical Considerations	10-21
	10.3.3	Intersection Between Environmental Justice and Children's Health	10-22
	10.4 Other	Distributional Considerations	10-22
	10.4.1	Elderly	10-22
	10.4.2	Intergenerational Impacts	10-22
	10.5 Conclu	ision	10-23
11 F	Presentatio	n of Analysis and Results	11-1
		ting Results of Economic Analyses	
	11.1.1	Presenting the Results of Benefit-Cost Analyses	
	11.1.2	Presenting the Results of Cost-Effectiveness Analyses	
	11.1.3	Presenting the Results of Economic Impact Analyses and Distributional Analyses	
	11.1.4	Reporting the Effects of Uncertainty on Results of Economic Analyses	
		nunicating Data, Model Choices and Assumptions, and Related Uncertainty	
	11.2.1	Data	
	11.2.2	Model Choices and Assumptions	
	11.2.3	_	
	11.3 Use of	Economic Analyses	
Δnn	endiv Δ Fcc	onomic Theory	
		Economy	A - 1
		s for Market or Institutional Failure	
		-Cost Analysis	
		ing Economic Impacts	
	A.4.1	Elasticities	
	A.4.2	Measuring the Welfare Effect of a Change in Environmental Goods	
	A.4.3	Single Market, Multi-Market, and General Equilibrium Analysis	
		al Level of Regulation	
	A 6 Conclu	· ·	Δ.16

Appendix	B Mortality Risk Valuation Estimates	B-1
В.1 Се	entral Estimate of Value of Statistical Life	B-1
B.2 Ot	her Value of Statistical Life Information	B-3
B.3 Be	nefit Transfer Considerations	B-3
B.4 Ac	ljusted Associated with Risk Characteristics	B-3
	ects on Willingness to Pay Associated with Demographic Characteristics	
B.6 Co	onclusion	B-6
Appendix	C Accounting for Unemployed Labor in Benefit-Cost Analysis	C-1
Reference	s	D-1
Author Ind	ex	E-1
Subject In	dex	F-1
List of Fig	ures	
Figure 6.1	Distribution of Net Benefits over Time	6-3
Figure 7.1	Benefits of an Environmental Improvement	7-7
Figure 8.1	Competitive Market Before Regulation	8-3
Figure 8.2	Competitive Market After Regulation	8-3
Figure 8.3	Labor Market with Pre-Existing Distortions	8-5
Figure 9.1	Pollution Abatement Costs as a Percentage of Total Revenues for Industries with Highest Pollution Abatement Costs in 2005	9-7
Figure 9.2	Pollution Abatement Costs are a very Small Percentage of Total Manufacturing Costs	
Figure A.1	Marginal and Total Willingness to Pay	
Figure A.2	,	
Figure A.3	Market Equilibrium	A-3
	Utility Possibility Frontier	
Figure A.5	Negative Externality	A-5
Figure A.6	Demand Curve for Tuna	A-8
Figure A.7	Indifference Curve	A-11
Figure A.8	Change in Optimal Consumption Bundle	A-11
Figure A.9	Benefits and Costs of Abatement	A-13
Figure A.10	) Maximized Net Benefits	A-14
Figure A 1	1 Efficient Level of Pollution	A-15

# **List of Tables**

	Types of Benefits Associated With Environmental Policies: Categories, Examples, and Commonly Used Valuation Methods	7-9
	Major Attributes of Models Used in the Estimation of Costs	
	Input-Output Table for the United States	
	Potentially Relevant Dimensions to Economic Impact Analyses	
	Commonly Used Profile Sources for Quantitative Data	
Table 9.3	Indicators of Economic and Financial Well-Being of Government Entities	9-13
	Template for Regulatory Benefits Checklist	
Table 11.2	Template for Quantified Regulatory Benefits	11-5
Table 11.3	Template for Dollar-Valued Regulatory Benefits	11-6
	Template for Summary of Benefits and Costs	
Table B.1	Value of Statistical Life Estimates	B-2
List of Text E	Boxes	
Text Box 4.1	Coase Solution	4-4
Text Box 4.2	U.S. Acid Rain Trading Program for Sulfur Dioxide	4-6
Text Box 4.3	Water Quality Trading of Nonpoint Sources	4-20
Text Box 5.1	Technological Change, Induced Innovation, and the Porter Hypothesis	5-8
Text Box 5.2	Sequencing Unlinked Rules	5-13
Text Box 6.1	Potential Effects of Discounting	6-5
Text Box 6.2	Social Rate and Consumption Rates of Interest	6-6
Text Box 6.3	Estimating and Applying the Shadow Price of Capital	6-9
Text Box 6.4	Alternative Social Discounting Perspectives	6-11
Text Box 6.5	Applying these Approaches to the Ramsey Equation	6-14
Text Box 6.6	What's the Big Deal with The Stern Review?	6-18
Text Box 7.1	Estimating Benefits From Reducing Carbon Dioxide Emissions: The Social Cost of Carbon	7-2
Text Box 7.2	Integrating Economics and Risk Assessment	7-5
Text Box 7.3	Non-Willingness to Pay Measures	7-13
Text Box 7.4	Spatial Correlation	7-31
Text Box 7.5	Value of Time	7-35
Text Box 7.6	The Benefits and Costs of the Clean Air Act 1990 to 2010:  Reduced Acidification in Freshwater Adirondack Lakes	7-47
Text Box 7.7	Benefits Transfer: Water Quality Benefits in the Combined Animal Feeding Operations Rule	7-48
Text Box 7.8	Structural Benefit Transfer with an Application to Visibility	7-49
Text Box 8.1	The Sulfur Dioxide Cap-and-Trade Program — A Case Study	
Text Box 8.2	The Pollution Abatement Costs and Expenditures Survey	
Text Box 10.1	Social Welfare Functions and Inequality Indices	10-16

# **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

ABC Air Benefit and Cost (Group)

AC annualized costs
ACN AirControlNET

ADP Action Development Process
BAT best available technology
BCA benefit-cost analysis
BLS Bureau of Labor Statistics
BMP Best Management Practice
BPT best practicable technology

CA conjoint analysis
CAA Clean Air Act

CAFO Combined Animal Feeding Operations

CAAA Clean Air Act Amendments
CAIR Clean Air Interstate Rule
CAMR Clean Air Mercury Rule
CBO Congressional Budget Office

CE certainty equivalent
CEA cost-effectiveness analysis

CEM continual emissions monitoring
CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

CFC chlorofluorocarbons

CFOI Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
CGE computable general equilibrium

CO carbon monoxide
CO<sub>2</sub> carbon dioxide
COI cost of illness

CPI Consumer Price Index
CR contingent ranking
CS compensating surplus
CV contingent valuation
CV compensating variation
DALY disability-adjusted life year

DICE Dynamic Integrated model of Climate and the Economy

DOE Department of Energy

DOT Department of Transportation

DWL deadweight loss EA economic analysis

EBIT earnings before interest and taxes

EEAC Environmental Economics Advisory Committee

EIA economic impact analysis
ELG Effluent Limitation Guidelines

#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

EO Executive Order

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ES equivalent surplus EV equivalent variation

EVRI Environmental Valuation Reference Inventory

FINDS Facility Index Data System

FR Federal Register

FTE full-time equivalent employment

GDP gross domestic product GHG greenhouse gases

GIS Geographic Information System

HCFC hydrochlorofluorocarbon

Hg mercury

IAM integrated assessment model
ICR Information Collection Request
IEc Industrial Economics, Inc.
IMPLAN Impact Analysis for Planning

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

IPM Integrated Planning Model

LP linear programming

MAC marginal abatement cost curve MD marginal external damage curve

MR marginal revenue
MPC marginal private costs
MSC marginal social costs
MSD marginal social damages

NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NAICS North American Industrial Classification System

NB net benefits

NEI National Emissions Inventory
NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NESHAP National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutant

NFV net future value NH, ammonia

NIOSH National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NO<sub>x</sub> nitrogen oxide

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NPV net present value

NWPCAM National Water Pollution Control Assessment Model

OAQPS Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

OCC opportunity cost of capital

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

OGC Office of General Counsel

OIRA Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs

OLS ordinary least squares

#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

OMB Office of Management and Budget

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

OTEA Office of Trade and Economic Analysis

PACE Pollution Abatement Costs and Expenditures

PAOC pollution abatement operating cost

PM<sub>2.5</sub> particulate matter, 2.5 microns in diameter or less
PM<sub>10</sub> particulate matter, 10 microns in diameter or less
POTW publicly-owned (wastewater) treatment work

PRA Paperwork Reduction Act
PVC present value of costs
QA quality assurance
QALY quality-adjusted life year

R&D quality-adjusted life year research and development

RAPIDS Rule and Policy Information Development System

RACT Reasonably Available Control Technology RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

RDD random digit dialing

REMI Regional Economic Models, Inc.

RFA Regulatory Flexibility Act
RIA regulatory impact analysis
RUM random utility maximization

S&P Standard & Poor's
SAB Science Advisory Board
SAM social accounting matrix

SBA Small Business Administration

SBREFA Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

SCC social cost of carbon

SIC Standard Industrial Classification

SISNOSE significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities

SO, sulfur dioxide

SWC Survey on Working Conditions
TAMM Timber Assessment Market Model
TMDL Total Maximum Daily Loadings

TRI Toxics Release Inventory
TSLS two-stage least squares

UMRA Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

UPF utility possibility frontier USC United States Code

VOC volatile organic compounds

VSL value of statistical life

VSLY value of a statistical life-year

WTA willingness to accept
WTP willingness to pay

# **Glossary**

#### **Annualized value**

An annualized value is a constant stream of benefits or costs. The annualized cost is the amount that a party would have to pay at the end of each period t to add up to the same cost in present value terms as the stream of costs being annualized. Similarly, the annualized benefit is the amount that a party would accrue at the end of each period t to add up to the same benefit in present value terms as the stream of benefits being annualized.

#### **Baseline**

A baseline describes an initial, status quo scenario that is used for comparison with one or more alternative scenarios. In typical economic analyses the baseline is defined as the best assessment of the world absent the proposed regulation or policy action.

## Benefit-cost analysis (BCA)

A BCA evaluates the favorable effects of policy actions and the associated opportunity costs of those actions. It answers the question of whether the benefits are sufficient for the gainers to potentially compensate the losers, leaving everyone at least as well off as before the policy. The calculation of net benefits helps ascertain the economic efficiency of a regulation.

#### **Benefits**

Benefits are the favorable effects society gains due to a policy or action. Economists define benefits by focusing on changes in individual well-being, referred to as welfare or utility. Willingness to pay (WTP) is the preferred measure of these changes as it theoretically provides a full accounting of individual preferences across trade-offs between income and the favorable effects.

#### **Benefit-cost ratio**

A benefit-cost ratio is the ratio of the net present value (NPV) of benefits associated with a project or proposal, relative to the NPV of the costs of the project or proposal. The ratio indicates the benefits expected for each dollar of costs. Note that this ratio is not an indicator of the magnitude of net benefits. Two projects with the same benefit-cost ratio can have vastly different estimates of benefits and costs.

#### **Cessation lag**

Cessation lag is the time interval between the cessation of exposure and the reduction in risk. See *latency* for a definition of a related but distinct concept.

## **Command-and-control regulation**

Command-and-control regulation requires polluters to meet specific emission-reduction targets defining acceptable levels of pollution. This type of regulation often requires the installation and use of specific types of equipment to reduce emissions. Command-and-control regulations usually impose the same requirements on all sources, although new and existing sources, taken as groups, are frequently subject to different standards.

#### Compliance cost

A compliance cost is the expenditure of time or money needed to conform to government requirements such as legislation or regulation. In the case of environmental regulation, these direct costs are associated with: (1) purchasing, installing, and operating new pollution control equipment; (2) changing a production process by using different inputs or different mixtures of inputs; and (3) capturing waste products and selling or reusing them.

#### **Consumption rate of interest**

Consumption rate of interest is the rate at which individuals are willing to exchange consumption over time. Simplifying assumptions, such as the absence of taxes on investment returns, imply that the consumption rate of interest equals the market interest rate, which also equals the rate of return on private sector investments.

# Cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA)

CEA examines the costs associated with obtaining an additional unit of an environmental outcome. It is designed to identify the least expensive way of achieving a given environmental quality target, or the way of achieving the greatest improvement in some environmental target for a given expenditure of resources.

#### Costs

Costs are the dollar values of resources needed to produce a good or service; once allocated, these resources are not available for use elsewhere. *Private costs* are the costs that the buyer of a good or service pays the seller. *Social costs*, also called *externalities*, are the costs that people other than the buyers are forced to pay, often through non-pecuniary means, as a result of a transaction. The bearers of social costs can be either particular individuals or society at large.

# **Distributional analysis**

Distributional analysis assesses changes in social welfare by examining the effects of a regulation across different subpopulations and entities. Two types of distributional analyses are the economic impact analysis (EIA) and the equity assessment.

# **Economic efficiency**

Economic efficiency refers to the optimal production and consumption of goods and services. This generally occurs when prices of products and services reflect their marginal costs, or when marginal benefits equal marginal costs.

# **Economic impact analysis (EIA)**

An EIA examines the distribution of monetized effects of a policy, such as changes in industry profitability or in government revenues, as well as non-monetized effects, such as increases in unemployment rates or numbers of plant closures.

#### **Elasticity of demand**

Elasticity of demand measures the relationship between changes in quantity demanded of a good and changes in its price. It is calculated as the percentage change in quantity demanded that occurs in response to a percentage change in price. As the price of a good rises, consumers will usually demand a lower quantity of that good. The greater the extent to which quantity demanded falls as price rises, the greater is the price elasticity of demand. Some goods for which consumers cannot easily find substitutes, such as gasoline, are considered price inelastic. Note that elasticity can differ between the short term and the long term. For example, if the price of gasoline rises, consumers will eventually find ways to conserve their use of the resource. Some of these ways, like finding a more fuel-efficient car, take time. Hence gasoline

would be price inelastic in the short term and more price elastic in the long term.

# **Elasticity of supply**

Elasticity of supply measures the relationship between changes in quantity supplied of a good and changes in its price. It is measured as the percentage change in quantity supplied that occurs in response to a percentage change in price. For many goods the quantity supplied can be increased over time by locating alternative sources, investing in an expansion of production capacity, or developing competitive products that can substitute. One might therefore expect that the price elasticity of supply will be greater in the long term than the short term for such a good, that is, that supply can adjust to price changes to a greater degree over a longer period of time.

#### **Emissions tax**

An emissions tax is a charge levied on each unit of pollution emitted.

#### **Environmental justice**

Environmental justice is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. Fair treatment means that no group of people, including racial, ethnic, or socioeconomic groups should bear a disproportionate share of the negative environmental consequences resulting from industrial, municipal, and commercial operations or the execution of federal, state, local, and tribal programs and policies. Meaningful involvement means that: (1) people have an opportunity to participate in decisions about activities that can affect their environment and/or health; (2) the public's contribution can influence the regulatory agency's decision; (3) their concerns will be considered in the decision-making process; and (4) the decision makers seek out and facilitate the involvement of those potentially affected.1

<sup>1</sup> Definition taken from http://www.epa.gov/compliance/environmentaljustice/ index.html (accessed December 22, 2010).

# **Equity assessment**

An equity assessment examines the distribution of benefits and costs associated with a regulation across specific sub-populations. Disadvantaged or vulnerable sub-populations, for example low-income households, may be of particular concern.

# **Expert elicitation**

Expert elicitation is a formal, highly-structured and well-documented process for obtaining the judgments of multiple experts. Typically, an elicitation is conducted to evaluate uncertainty. This uncertainty could be associated with: the value of a parameter to be used in a model; the likelihood and frequency of various future events; or the relative merits of alternative models.

#### **Externalities**

An externality is a cost or benefit resulting from an action that is borne or received by parties not directly participating in the action.

## Flow pollutant

A flow pollutant is a pollutant for which the environment has some absorptive capacity. It does not accumulate in the environment as long as its emission rate does not exceed the absorptive capacity of the environment. Animal and human wastes are examples of flow pollutants.

#### **Hotspot**

A hotspot is a geographic area with a high level of pollution/contamination within a larger geographic area of low or "normal" environmental quality.

## **Kaldor-Hicks criterion**

The Kaldor-Hicks criterion is really a combination of two criteria: the Kaldor criterion and the Hicks criterion. The Kaldor criterion states that an activity will contribute to Pareto optimality if the maximum amount the gainers are prepared to pay is greater than the minimum amount that the losers are prepared to accept. Under the Hicks criterion, an activity will contribute to Pareto optimality if the maximum amount the losers are prepared to offer to the gainers in order to prevent the change is less than the minimum amount the gainers are prepared to accept as a bribe to forgo the change. In other words, the Hicks compensation test is conducted from the

losers' point of view, while the Kaldor compensation test is conducted from the gainers' point of view. The Kaldor-Hicks criterion is widely applied in welfare economics and managerial economics. It forms an underlying rationale for BCA.

## Latency

Latency is the time interval from the first exposure of a pollutant until the increase in health risk. See *cessation lag* for a definition of a related but distinct concept.

## Leakages

A leakage is the displacement of pollution from one location to another as a result of the imposition of tighter pollution controls. Under tradable permit systems, leakages occur when pollution is displaced to an area not affected by a cap on allowed emissions.

## **Marginal benefit**

The marginal benefit is the benefit received from an incremental increase in the consumption of a good or service. It is calculated as the increase in total benefit divided by the increase in consumption.

#### Marginal cost

The marginal cost is the change in total cost that results from a unit increase in output. It is calculated as the increase in total cost divided by the increase in output.

# Marginal social benefit

The marginal social benefit is the marginal benefit received by the consumer of a good (marginal private benefit) plus the marginal benefit received by other members of society (external benefit).

#### Marginal social cost

The marginal social cost is the marginal cost incurred by the producer of a good (marginal private cost) plus the marginal cost imposed on other members of society (external cost).

#### Market failure

Market failure is a condition where the allocation of goods and services by a market is not efficient. Causes of market failure include: externalities, concentration of market power, information asymmetry, transactions costs, and the nature of the good (e.g.,

public goods). For environmental conditions, externalities are the most likely causes of the failure of private and public sector institutions to correct pollution damages.

# **Market permit systems**

A market permit system is a system under which emissions sources are required to have emissions permits matching their actual emissions. Each permit specifies how much the source is allowed to emit and is transferable among firms.

#### **Market-based incentives**

Market-based incentives include a wide variety of methods for environmental protection. Instruments such as taxes, fees, charges, and subsidies generally "price" pollution and leave decisions about the level of emissions to each source. Another example is the market permit system, which sets the total quantity of emissions and then allows trading of permits among firms.

## **Meta-analysis**

Meta-analysis is a statistical method of pooling data and/or results from a set of comparable studies of a problem. Pooling in this way provides a larger sample size for evaluation and allows for a stronger conclusion than can be provided by any single study. Meta-analysis yields a quantitative summary of the combined results.

#### **Net benefits**

Net benefits are calculated by subtracting total costs from total benefits.

#### Net future value

Net future value is similar to NPV, however, instead of discounting all future values back to the present, values are accumulated forward to some future time period — for example, to the end of the last year of a policy's effects.

#### Net present value (NPV)

The NPV is calculated as the present value of a stream of current and future benefits minus the present value of a stream of current and future costs.

# Non-use value

Non-use value is the value that an individual may derive from a good or resource without consuming it, as opposed to the value obtained from use of the resource. Non-use values can include *bequest value*, where an individual places a value on the availability of a resource to future generations; *existence value*, where an individual values the mere knowledge of the existence of a good or resource; and *paternalistic altruism*, where an individual places a value on others' enjoyment of the resource.

# **Opportunity cost**

Opportunity cost is the value of the next best alternative to a particular activity or resource. Opportunity cost need not be assessed in monetary terms. It can be assessed in terms of anything that is of value to the person or persons doing the assessing. For example a grove of trees used to produce paper may have a next-best-alternative use as habitat for spotted owls. Assessing opportunity costs is fundamental to assessing the true cost of any course of action. In the case where there is no explicit accounting or monetary cost (price) attached to a course of action, ignoring opportunity costs could produce the illusion that the action's benefits cost nothing at all. The unseen opportunity costs then become the implicit hidden costs of that course of action.

## **Quality-adjusted life year (QALY)**

QALY is a composite measure used to convert different types of health effects into a common, integrated unit, incorporating both the quality and quantity of life lived in different health states. This metric is commonly used in medical arenas to make decisions about medical interventions.

## **Shadow price of capital**

The shadow price of capital takes into account the social value of displacing private capital investments. For example, when a public project displaces private sector investments, the correct method for measuring the social costs and benefits requires an adjustment of the estimated costs (and perhaps benefits as well) prior to discounting using the consumption rate of interest. This adjustment factor is referred to as the "shadow price of capital."

#### Social cost

From a regulatory standpoint, social cost represents the total burden a regulation will impose on the economy. It can be defined as the sum of all opportunity

costs incurred as a result of the regulation. These opportunity costs consist of the value lost to society of all the goods and services that will not be produced and consumed if firms comply with the regulation and reallocate resources away from production activities and towards pollution abatement. To be complete, an estimate of social cost should include both the opportunity costs of current consumption that will be foregone as a result of the regulation, and also the losses that may result if the regulation reduces capital investment and thus future consumption.

#### Social welfare function

A social welfare function establishes criteria under which efficiency and equity outcomes are transformed into a single metric, making them directly comparable. A potential output of such a function is a ranking of policy outcomes that have different aggregate levels and distributions of net benefits. A social welfare function can provide empirical evidence that a policy alternative yielding higher net benefits, but a less equitable distribution of wealth, ranks better or worse than a less efficient alternative with more egalitarian distributional consequences.

#### Stock pollutants

A stock pollutant is a pollutant for which the environment has little or no absorptive capacity, such as non-biodegradable plastic, heavy metals such as mercury, and radioactive waste. A stock pollutant accumulates through time.

#### **Subsidies**

A subsidy is a kind of financial assistance, such as a grant, tax break, or trade barrier, that is implemented in order to encourage certain behavior. For example, the government may directly pay polluters to reduce their pollution emissions.

# Tax-subsidy

A tax-subsidy is any form of subsidy where the recipients receive the benefit through the tax system, usually through the income tax, profit tax, or consumption tax systems. Examples include tax deductions for workers in certain industries, accelerated depreciation for certain industries or types of equipment, or exemption from consumption tax (sales tax or value added tax).

#### **Total cost**

Total cost is defined as the sum of all costs associated with a given activity.

#### Use value

Use value is an economic value based on the tangible human use of some environmental or natural resource.

# Value of statistical life (VSL)

VSL is a summary measure for the dollar value of small changes in mortality risk experienced by a large number of people. VSL estimates are derived from aggregated estimates of individual values for small changes in mortality risks. For example, if 10,000 individuals are each willing to pay \$500 for a reduction in risk of 1/10,000, then the value of saving one statistical life equals \$500 times 10,000 — or \$5 million. Note that this does not mean that any single identifiable life is valued at this amount. Rather, the aggregate value of reducing a collection of small individual risks is, in this case, worth \$5 million.

# Value of statistical life year (VSLY)

The VSLY is the estimated dollar value for a year of statistical life. In practice this metric is often derived by dividing the VSL by remaining life expectancy. This approach is controversial in that it assumes that each year of life over the life cycle has the same value, and it assumes that the value of a statistical life equals the present discounted value of these annual amounts.

# Willingness to accept (WTA)

WTA is the amount of compensation an individual is willing to take in exchange for giving up some good or service. In the case of an environmental policy, WTA is the least amount of money that an individual would accept to forego an environmental improvement (or endure an environmental decrement).

# Willingness to pay (WTP)

WTP is the largest amount of money that an individual or group would pay to receive the benefits (or avoid the damages) resulting from a policy change, without being made worse off. In the case of an environmental policy, WTP is the maximum amount of money an individual would pay to obtain an improvement (or avoid a decrement) in an environmental effect of concern.