	Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/1	.6 Page 1 of 13						
1	1 Jonathan Evans (Cal. Bar #247376) CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY							
2	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 1212 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, CA 94612 Phone: 510-844-7100 x318 Fax: 510-844-7150 email: jevans@biologicaldiversity.org							
3								
4								
5	Center for Environmental Health							
6	6							
7	7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA							
8	8							
9	9							
10	0 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY and,) CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)							
11		No.						
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF						
13		Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 et. seq.)						
14								
15	5 GINA McCARTHY, () in her official capacity as Administrator of the ()							
16								
17	7 Defendant.							
18	8							
19	9							
20	0 I. INTRODUCTION							
21	1. Plaintiffs CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY and CENTER FOR							
22	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH (Plaintiffs) challenge the failure of Defendant GINA							
23	3 MCCARTHY, in her official capacity as Administrator of th	e United States Environmental						
28	8 Complaint							

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 2 of 13

1	Protection Agency, to perform mandatory duties required by the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§						
2	7401-7671q. Specifically, the Clean Air Act establishes mandatory deadlines for Defendant to						
3	complete a thorough review of the air quality criteria for Sulfur Oxides (SO _x) and the National						
4	Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) and Sulfur Dioxide						
5	(SO ₂), to make such revisions to these air quality criteria and NAAQS as may be appropriate, to						
6	promulgate such new NAAQS as may be appropriate, and to publish notice of such actions in the						
7	Federal Register. Administrator McCarthy has failed to meet these deadlines. Plaintiffs thus						
8	bring this action to ensure that they and their members and others who breathe harmful air						
9	pollution in communities around the nation and appreciate ecosystems damaged by harmful air						
10	pollution will enjoy the up-to-date scientific analysis and air quality standards that Congress						
11	intended them to have. Accordingly, Plaintiffs THE CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL						
12	DIVERSITY and THE CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH bring this action against						
13	Defendant GINA McCARTHY, in her official capacity as EPA Administrator, [hereinafter						
14	"EPA"] to compel her to perform these mandatory duties.						
15							
16	II. JURISDICTION						
17	2. This case is a Clean Air Act citizen suit. Therefore, the Court has jurisdiction over this						
18	action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)						
19	(jurisdiction for citizen suits for failure to perform a non-discretionary duty required by the						
20	Clean Air Act).						
21	3. An actual controversy exists between the parties. This case does not concern federal						
22	taxes, is not a proceeding under 11 U.S.C. §§ 505 or 1146, and does not involve the Tariff Act of						
23	1930. Thus, this Court has authority to order the declaratory relief requested under 28 U.S.C. §						
	COMDIAINT 2						

COMPLAINT - 2

2201. If the Court orders declaratory relief, 28 U.S.C. § 2202 authorizes this Court to issue
 injunctive relief.

3						
4	III. NOTICE					
5	4. On April 27, 2016, Plaintiffs mailed to EPA by certified mail, return receipt requested,					
6	written notice of intent to sue regarding the violations alleged in this Complaint. EPA received					
7	this notice of intent to sue letter no later than May 2, 2016. More than sixty days have passed					
8	since EPA received this "notice of intent to sue" letter. EPA has not remedied the violations					
9	alleged in this Complaint. Therefore, a present and actual controversy exists.					
10						
11	IV. VENUE					
12	5. Defendant EPA resides in this judicial district. EPA Region 9 is headquartered in San					
13	Francisco. This civil action is brought against an officer of the United States acting in her					
14	official capacity. A substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this					
15	case occurred in the Northern District of California. Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health					
16	resides in this judicial district. Therefore, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §					
17	1391(e).					
18						
19	V. INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT					
20	6. A substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims in this case					
21	occurred in the County of San Francisco. EPA Region 9 is headquartered in San Francisco.					
22	Accordingly, assignment to the San Francisco Division or the Oakland Division is proper					
23	pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (d).					

COMPLAINT - 3

~

1	VI. PARTIES							
2	7. Plaintiff the CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY is a non-profit 501(c)(3)							
3	corporation incorporated in California. The Center for Biological Diversity has approximately							
4	48,000 members throughout the United States and the world. The Center for Biological							
5	Diversity's mission is to ensure the preservation, protection, and restoration of biodiversity,							
6	native species, ecosystems, public lands and waters, and public health through science, policy,							
7	and environmental law. Based on the understanding that the health and vigor of human societies							
8	and the integrity and wildness of the natural environment are closely linked, the Center for							
9	Biological Diversity is working to secure a future for animals and plants hovering on the brink of							
10	extinction, for the ecosystems they need to survive, and for a healthy, livable future for all of us.							
11	8. The Center for Biological Diversity and its members include individuals with varying							
12	interests in public health, wildlife species and their habitat ranging from scientific, professional,							
13	and educational to recreational, aesthetic, moral, and spiritual. Further, the Center for Biological							
14	Diversity's members enjoy, on an ongoing basis, the biological, scientific, research, educational,							
15	conservation, recreational, and aesthetic values of the regions inhabited by these species,							
16	including the regions at issue in this action. The Center for Biological Diversity's members							
17	observe and study native species and their habitat, and derive professional, scientific,							
18	educational, recreational, aesthetic, inspirational, and other benefits from these activities and							
19	have an interest in preserving the possibility of such activities in the future. The Center for							
20	Biological Diversity and its members have participated in efforts to protect and preserve public							
21	health and natural areas, including the habitat essential to the continued survival of native							
22	species, and to address threats to the continued existence of these species, including the threats							
23	posed by air pollution and other contaminants.							

COMPLAINT - 4

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 5 of 13

9. Plaintiff the CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH is an Oakland, California
 based nonprofit organization that helps protect the public from toxic chemicals and promotes
 business products and practices that are safe for public health and the environment. The Center
 for Environmental Health works in pursuit of a world in which all people live, work, learn, and
 play in healthy environments.

- Plaintiffs' members live, work, recreate, travel and engage in other activities throughout
 the areas at issue in this complaint and will continue to do so on a regular basis. Pollution in the
 affected areas threatens and damages, and will continue to threaten and damage, the health and
 welfare of Plaintiffs' members as well as their ability to engage in and enjoy their other
 activities. Pollution diminishes Plaintiff's members' ability to enjoy the aesthetic qualities and
 recreational opportunities of the affected area.
- 11. EPA's failure to timely perform the mandatory duties described herein also adversely
 affects Plaintiffs, as well as their members, by depriving them of procedural protection and
 opportunities, as well as information that they are entitled to under the Clean Air Act. The
 failure of EPA to perform the mandatory duties also creates uncertainty for Plaintiffs' members
 as to whether they are exposed to excess air pollution.
- 17 12. The above injuries will continue until the Court grants the relief requested herein.
- 18 13. Defendant GINA McCARTHY is the Administrator of the EPA. In that role
- 19 Administrator McCarthy has been charged by Congress with the duty to administer the Clean Air
- 20 Act, including the mandatory duties at issue in this case. Administrator McCarthy is also
- 21 charged with overseeing all EPA regional offices including EPA Region 9, which is
- 22 headquartered in San Francisco.
- 23

COMPLAINT - 5

1

VII. LEGAL BACKGROUND

14. Congress enacted the Clean Air Act to "speed up, expand, and intensify the war against 2 air pollution in the United States with a view to assuring that the air we breathe throughout the 3 Nation is wholesome once again." H.R. Rep. No. 1146, 91st Cong., 2d Sess. 1,1, 1970 U.S. 4 5 Code Cong. & Admin. News 5356, 5356. To promote this, the Act requires EPA to set National 6 Ambient Air Quality Standards for certain pollutants. 42 U.S.C. § 7409(a). National Ambient Air Quality Standards establish maximum allowable concentrations in the air of such pollutants. 7 15. Specifically, Section 108 of the CAA requires EPA to identify pollutants that "may 8 9 reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health and welfare" and to issue air quality criteria 10 for those pollutants. 42 U.S.C. § 7408. Section 109 requires EPA to promulgate primary NAAQS for the pollutants identified under section 108. 42 U.S.C. § 7409. Primary standards 11 12 must be sufficient to protect the public health, while secondary standards must safeguard the public welfare. 42 U.S.C. § 7409(b). 13 16. Section 109(d)(1) further requires that "at five year intervals" EPA "shall complete a 14 thorough review of the criteria published under [section 108] and the national ambient air quality 15 standards promulgated under this section and shall make such revisions in such criteria and

standards and promulgate such new standards as may be appropriate." 42 U.S.C. § 7409(d)(1). 17

Each time it goes through this review process, EPA must publish in the Federal Register its 18

revision decision concerning the air quality criteria and NAAOS for the pollutant at issue 19

20 (including any new or revised NAAOS resulting from that review), as well as notice of the

issuance of any revised air quality criteria for that pollutant. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 7408(d), 7607(d). 21

17. Courts have held that the duties prescribed by \$ 109(d)(1) are nondiscretionary. For 22

23 example, the Second Circuit rejected an argument that \$ 109(d)(1) merely imposed a duty to

COMPLAINT - 6

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 7 of 13

1	avoid unreasonable delay, finding that the provision instead established a nondiscretionary duty:						
2	"when, as here, a statute sets forth a bright-line rule for agency action, there is no room for						
3	debate Congress has prescribed a categorical mandate that <u>deprives EPA of all discretion over</u>						
4	the timing of its work." American Lung Association v. Reilly, 962 F.2d 258, 263 (2d Cir. 1992)						
5	(emphasis added). The D.C. Circuit subsequently "agree[d]" with this Second Circuit ruling.						
6	American Trucking Assns. v. United States EPA, 175 F.3d 1027, 1047 (D.C. Cir. 1999),						
7	rehearing granted in part on other grounds, denied in part, 195 F.3d 4 (D.C. Cir. 1999), rev'd in						
8	part on other grounds, aff'd in part sub nom. Whitman v. American Trucking Assns., 531 U.S.						
9	457 (2001).						
10	18. Moreover, EPA's own interpretation of § 109(d)(1) acknowledges the nondiscretionary						
11	nature of the deadline. For example, with respect to the NAAQS for NO ₂ , EPA long ago						
12	recognized that section 109(d)(1) "requires EPA to review the scientific basis of existing						
13	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) every 5 years." 45 Fed. Reg. 77,768 (Nov.						
14	24, 1980). More recently, EPA reaffirmed this straightforward reading with respect to the						
15	NAAQS for ozone: "Under section 109(d)(1) of the Act, EPA is required to perform a review of						
16	the ozone NAAQS every five years." 61 Fed. Reg. 19,195 (May 1, 1996). Thus, EPA has						
17	interpreted 42 U.S.C. § 7409(d)(1) to impose a mandatory duty.						
18							
19							
20	VIII. FACTS						
21	A. NITROGEN OXIDES						
22	19. Nitrogen oxides (NO_x) such as nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) are highly reactive gases emitted						
23	primarily through the combustion of fossil fuels in mobile and stationary sources.						
	COMPLAINT – 7						

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 8 of 13

1	20. NO_x emissions contribute to a variety of public health problems. NO_x emissions are a					
2	precursor of ground-level ozone and particulate matter pollution. NO _x emissions also play a ro					
3	in the accumulation of excess nitrates in drinking water, the eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems					
4	and nitrification of soils, global climate change, increases in toxic pollutant levels, and the					
5	depletion of the ozone layer. 70 Fed. Reg. 8888-89 (Feb. 23, 2005).					
6	21. EPA claims that NO_2 accounts for the vast majority of NO_x in the atmosphere, and has					
7	used this claim as a justification to use NO_2 as a surrogate for NO_x since first promulgating the					
8	NAAQS for NO ₂ in 1971. <u>See</u> 36 Fed. Reg. 8186.					
9	22. EPA last reviewed and revised the primary NOx NAAQS no later than February 9, 2010.					
10	75 Fed. Reg. 6,474 (Feb. 9, 2010). EPA last reviewed the air quality criteria document, which					
11	EPA now calls an integrated science assessment (ISA), for NOx no later than January 28, 2016.					
12	81 Fed. Reg. 4,910 (Jan. 28, 2016).					
13	23. The 2016 ISA demonstrates that the health impacts from NO_2 are worse than was known					
14	when EPA set the 2010 NAAQS.					
15	24. For example, for setting the 2010 NAAQS, the science was sufficient to infer a likely					
16	causal relationship between short-term exposure NO ₂ and respiratory effects. Integrated Science					
17	Assessment for Oxides of Nitrogen – Health Criteria, January 2016 (2016 ISA) at lxxxii.					
18	However, the 2016 ISA solidifies this finding such that EPA can definitively say there is a causal					
19	relationship between short term NO ₂ exposure and respiratory effects. There are now controlled					
20	human exposure studies showing NO ₂ can trigger asthma attacks. 2016 ISA at lxxxiii. There is					
21	also now consistent evidence showing long-term exposure can cause the development of asthma.					
22	2016 ISA at lxxxiv.					
23						

COMPLAINT - 8

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 9 of 13

1	25. Moreover, for the setting of the 2010 NAAQS, the science was inadequate to infer a							
2	relationship between short-term NO ₂ exposure and cardiovascular effects. In the 2016 ISA,							
3	however, the science now suggests that there is a causal relationship between NO ₂ and							
4	cardiovascular effects. Integrated Science Assessment for Oxides of Nitrogen - Health Criteria,							
5	January 2016 (2016 ISA) at lxxxii. The same is true for long-term exposure and cardiovascular							
6	effects, diabetes, birth outcomes, total mortality and cancer. Id. These suggestions of a causal							
7	relationship are very important. NAAQS setting is not like a tort case where EPA must prove							
8	causation by a preponderance of the evidence. Rather, Congress' directive that EPA provide an							
9	adequate margin of safety is meant to address uncertainties associated with inconclusive							
10	scientific and technical information. 2016 ISA at lxxi. This new science, however, provides no							
11	protection to the American public until EPA uses the science to revise the NAAQS.							
12	26. More than five years has passed since EPA completed its last review and revision of the							
13	NOx NAAQS but EPA has not fulfilled its mandatory duty to review thoroughly and update as							
14	necessary this NAAQS. According to the clear statutory deadlines, such a review should have							
15	been completed by no later than February 9, 2015. Thus, EPA's ongoing failure to complete this							
16	review and to make the necessary revisions to the NAAQS is contrary to Section 109(d)(1) of the							
17	Clean Air Act. See 42 U.S.C. § 7409(d)(1).							
10								

18

B. SULFUR DIOXIDE

27. Sulfur Oxides (SO_x) such as sulfur dioxide (SO₂) are a group of gases formed primarily
 from the combustion of fuel containing sulfur, such as coal. SO_x are also released during the
 manufacture of metals and in some oil refining processes.

23

COMPLAINT - 9

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 10 of 13

1	28. SO_x emissions have a variety of negative effects on human health. SO_x pollution							
2	contributes to respiratory problems, particularly for children and the elderly, and aggravates							
3	existing heart and lung diseases. High levels of SO _x emitted over a short period can be harmful							
4	to asthmatics. SO_x also contribute to the formation of acid rain, which damages trees, crops,							
5	historic buildings, and monuments and alters the acidity of both soils and water bodies. In							
6	addition, because SO _x emissions may be transmitted long distances, they contribute to visibility							
7	impairment problems in many national parks. See EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and							
8	Standards, "SO ₂ – How Sulfur Dioxide Affects the Way We Live & Breathe" (Nov. 2000),							
9	available at http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/so2/index.html.							
10	29. SO_2 is the sulfur oxide that EPA has used as a surrogate parameter for regulation of all							
11	SO_x emissions since first promulgating NAAQS for SO_2 in 1971. See 36 Fed. Reg. 8186.							
12	30. The current primary NAAQS for SO_2 is 75 parts per billion based on a 1-hour average							
13	time and a form of the three year average of the 99 th percentile of each year's 1-hour daily							
14	maximum concentration.							
15	31. Despite the clear statutory language requiring EPA to review and update the air quality							
16	criteria and NAAQS for all regulated pollutants every five years, it has been nearly six years							
17	since EPA last completed such a review to update the air quality criteria for SO_x and NAAQS for							
18	SO ₂ . During this time, no review of the SO _x criteria or SO ₂ NAAQS has been completed.							
19	32. EPA's last review of the air quality criteria document, which EPA now calls an integrated							
20	science assessment, for SOx was completed no later than September 12, 2008. 73 Fed. Reg. 53,002							
21	(Sept. 12, 2008). Following the issuance of the SO _x air quality criteria document, EPA revised the							
22	primary SOx NAAQS no later than June 22, 2010. 75 Fed. Reg. 35,520 (June 22, 2010). More than							
23	five years have passed since EPA completed its last review and revision of the SOx criteria document							

COMPLAINT - 10

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 11 of 13

1	and NAAQS but EPA has not fulfilled its mandatory duty to review thoroughly and update as							
2	necessary this air quality criteria and NAAQS. According to the clear statutory deadlines, such a							
3	review should have been completed by no later than June 22, 2015.							
4	33. According to EPA's external review draft of the Integrated Science Assessment for Sulfur							
5	Oxides – Health Criteria, which EPA released in November 2015 (SOx ISA ERD), the science							
6	behind the adverse health impacts of SOx has become more certain since EPA's last review. For the							
7	adverse public health impacts of Respiratory effects- Long-term exposure, Cardiovascular effects-							
8	Short-term exposure, Reproductive and developmental effects, Total mortality– Long-term exposure,							
9	and Cancer- Long-term exposure, EPA has changed the rating from "Inadequate to infer the							
10	presence or absence of a causal relationship" to "Suggestive but not sufficient to infer a causal							
11	relationship." SOx ISA ERD at xliv.							
12								
12								
	IX. CLAIM FOR RELIEF							
14	<u>CLAIM ONE</u>							
15	(CAA Sections 304(a)(2); 109(d)(1); & 307(d) for NOx)							
16								
17	34. Each allegation set forth in the complaint is incorporated herein by reference.							
18	35. The deadline under § 109(d)(1) for Defendant to complete another cycle of review,							
19	revision, and promulgation actions with respect to NO_2 and NO_x expired a year and a half ago.							
20	Nonetheless, Defendant has failed to perform those actions.							
21	36. Specifically, EPA last reviewed and revised the primary NOx NAAQS no later than							
22	February 9, 2010. 75 Fed. Reg. 6,474 (Feb. 9, 2010).							
23								
	COMPLAINT – 11							

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 12 of 13

1	37. Thus, EPA has a mandatory duty to complete a thorough review and revise the existing						
2	NAAQS and promulgate new NAAQS as appropriate and publish notice of such actions by no						
3	later than February 9, 2015. 42 U.S.C. §§ 7409(d), 7607(d).						
4	38. Defendant has failed to do so.						
5	39. Defendant's failure to perform each of the above actions constitutes a failure to perform						
6	an act or duty (or acts or duties) that are not discretionary with Defendant within the meaning of						
7	Clean Air Act § 304(a)(2). 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2).						
8							
9	<u>CLAIM TWO</u>						
10	(CAA Sections 304(a)(2); 109(d)(1); & 307(d) for SOx)						
11							
12	40. Each allegation set forth in the complaint is incorporated herein by reference.						
13	41. The deadline under $ 109(d)(1) $ for Defendant to complete another cycle of review,						
14	revision, and promulgation actions with respect to SOx expired approximately a year ago.						
15	Nonetheless, Defendant has failed to perform those actions.						
16	42. Specifically, EPA last reviewed and revised the primary SOx NAAQS no later than June						
17	22, 2010. 75 Fed. Reg. 35,520 (June 22, 2010).						
18	43. Thus, EPA has a mandatory duty to complete a thorough review of the criteria document						
19	and NAAQS and revise the criteria document and NAAQS and promulgate new NAAQS for						
20	SOx as appropriate and publish notice of such actions by no later than June 22, 2015. 42 U.S.C.						
21	§§ 7409(d), 7607(d).						
22	44. Defendant has failed to do so.						
23							
	COMPLAINT – 12						

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 13 of 13

1	45. Defendant's failure to perform each of the above actions constitutes a failure to perform							
2	an act or duty (or acts or duties) that are not discretionary with Defendant within the meaning of							
3	Clean Air Act § 304(a)(2). 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2).							
4								
5	REQUEST FOR RELIEF							
6	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court:							
7	A.	Declare that the Administrator is in violation of the Clean Air Act with regard to her						
8		failure to perform the mandatory duties listed above;						
9	B.	Issue a mandatory injunction requiring the Administrator to perform her mandatory						
10		duties listed above by certain dates;						
11	C.	Retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of enforcing the Court's order;						
12	D.	Grant Plaintiffs their reasonable costs of litigation, including attorneys' and experts' fees;						
13		and;						
14	E.	Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.						
15		Descretfully, submitted						
16		Respectfully submitted,						
17		<u>/s/Jonathan Evans</u> Jonathan Evans (Cal. Bar #247376)						
	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY							
18	1212 Broadway Suite 800							
19	Oakland, CA 94612							
20		Phone: 510-844-7100 x318 Fax: 510-844-7150						
20		email: jevans@biologicaldiversity.org						
21		Counsel for Plaintiffs						
22	Dated	: July 7, 2016						
23	Duicu	. July 7, 2010						
	COMPLAINT – 13							

Case 4:16-cv-037% Cover Sheet 7/07/16 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, and CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,				U.S Environmental Protection Agency, GINA MCCARTHY, Administrator				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Alameda, CA.</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence NOTE:	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE:			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Center for Biological I 1212 Broadway, Suite Oakland, CA. 94619 (510) 844-7118		r)		Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI		NCIPAL PARTIES (Pla			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not	t a Party) Citi		(For Diversity Cases Only) itizen of This State	IF DEF]1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place 4 4 his State		
X 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	of Parties in Item III)			2 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A 3 3 Foreign Nation			
	_			Foreign Country				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT								
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJ	URY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act		
 ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property 	 ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 350 Other Personal Injury ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ 	Other:		 [625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 [690 Other [690 Other [710 Fair Labor Standards Act [720 Labor/Management Relations [740 Railway Labor Act [751 Family and Medical Leave Act [790 Other Labor Litigation [791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act [162 Naturalization Application [465 Other Immigration Actions 	↓ 23 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ┃ 820 Copyrights ┃ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 J'5 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts X 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from ⊥ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ↓4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from ⊥ 6 Multidistrict Litigation								
VI. CAUSE OF Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 et seq. (Clean Air Act). Brief description of cause: Failure to perform mandatory duties pursuant to the Clean Air Act.								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Demanded in complaint: Yes								
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			

Case 4:16-cv-03796 Document 1-1 Filed 07/07/16 Page 2 of 2 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) **County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.