AX-15 W12148

dy 905 cy mgs



STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General

August 4, 2015

WESLEY K. DUNCAN Assistant Attorney General

NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH

AUS 17 AM 7:

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL CMRRR 7009 3410 0001 1180 9152

REGINA MCCARTHY, ADMINISTRATOR
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON BUILDING
1200 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
MAIL CODE: 1101A
WASHINGTON, DC 20460

Re:

State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural

Resources, Division of Environmental Protection v. Regina McCarthy, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection

Agency

USDC, District of Nevada, Case No. 3:15-cv-00396-HDM-WGC

Ms. McCarthy:

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 4(i), enclosed for service is the Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and the Summons in a Civil Action in the above referenced case.

I am available at the number listed below if you have any questions or need further information.

Sincerely,

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General

By:

Deputy Attorney General

775-684-1163

BAS:jmr Enclosures (2)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Nevada

STATE OF NEVADA; and NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,)))
Plaintiff(s) V.) Civil Action No. 3:15-cv-00396-HDM-WGC
REGINA MCCARTHY, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency,)))
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Regina McCarthy, Administrator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency William Jefferson Clinton Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Mail Code: 1101A

Mail Code: 1101A Washington, DC 20460

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are:

ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General Belinda A. Suwe, Deputy Attorney General

Nevada State Bar No. 12499 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

(775) 684-1163

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

August 4, 2015	
DURT FOR Date	
3/16	
STE	

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	ADAM PAUL LAXALT
2	Attorney General Belinda A. Suwe
3	Deputy Attorney General Nevada State Bar No. 12499
4	100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701
5	Tele: (775) 684-1163 Fax: (775) 684-1103
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, State of Nevada; Department of
7	Conservation and Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Protection
Q	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA; and NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.

Plaintiffs,

٧.

REGINA MCCARTHY, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency,

Defendant.

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiffs, State of Nevada and Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Protection (hereinafter "State of Nevada" or "NDEP"), by and through counsel, ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General for State of Nevada, and BELINDA SUWE, Deputy Attorney General, hereby file this complaint and allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

 The State of Nevada files this suit to compel the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("Administrator" or "EPA"), to take action mandated by the federal Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 et seq. (the "CAA") to take final action on the portion

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

national primary ambient air quality standard (or any revision thereof)," and these SIP submittals are to provide for the "implementation, maintenance, and enforcement" of such NAAQS. Each SIP submittal must address a list of a specific elements as outlined in 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2). One specific element is that SIP submittals must "contain adequate provisions prohibiting . . . any source or other type of emissions activity within the State from emitting any air pollutant in amounts which will contribute significantly to nonattainment in, or interfere with maintenance by, any other State with respect to any national primary or secondary ambient air quality standards." 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I). This provision is referred to generally as the interstate transfer provision or the "good neighbor" provision of a state SIP submittal.

- 9. The CAA requires the Administrator to determine whether a state's SIP submittal is complete within six months of submission. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). If the Administrator does not determine that the SIP submittal has failed to meet the minimum criteria by the date six months after receipt of submission, then on that date, the SIP submittal shall be deemed by operation of law to meet such minimum criteria. Id. Within 12 months of a determination by the Administrator (or a determination deemed by operation of law) that a state has submitted a plan or plan revision that meets the minimum criteria, the Administrator shall fully or partially approve or disapprove the submittal. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)–(3).
- 10. Upon EPA's failure to perform a non-discretionary duty, such as the duty to fully or partially approve or disapprove a state's SIP submittal within 12 months from the date said submittal is deemed complete, the CAA authorizes any person, which includes a state (42 U.S.C. § 7602(e)), to bring suit to compel EPA to perform its nondiscretionary duty. 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- On April 10, 2013, the NDEP submitted the State of Nevada's SIP addressing 11. the 2008 ozone NAAQS.
- 27 111
- 28 111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 12. Nevada's SIP submittal became complete by operation of law on October 10, 2013, because the EPA failed to determine whether Nevada's SIP submittal was complete by that date. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B).
- 13. Under the CAA, EPA's deadline for fully or partially approving or disapproving the State of Nevada's SIP submittal expired October 10, 2014. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)-(3). The EPA failed to meet this deadline.
- 14. On May 20, 2015, the EPA proposed to partially approve and partially disapprove Nevada's SIP submittal as meeting the requirements of the CAA for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of the 2008 ozone, 2010 nitrogen dioxide, and 2010 sulfur dioxide NAAQS. See 80 Fed. Reg. 28893 (May 20, 2015). However, with regards to the interstate transport provision of Nevada's SIP submittal, the EPA took "no action on Interstate Transport - significant contribution to nonattainment for NDEP, Clark County and Washoe County on the Ozone . . . NAAQS." Id. at 28898.
- 15. In short, contrary to the express requirements of the CAA, the Administrator failed by October 10, 2014 - and through the date of this filing - to take action on the interstate transport portion of the State of Nevada's 2008 ozone SIP submittal pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)-(3). EPA's partial approval of Nevada's 2008 ozone SIP submittal does not cure its failure to meet its nondiscretionary duty to take final action on Nevada's entire 2008 ozone SIP submittal, and particularly the interstate transport portion.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Failure to Perform Nondiscretionary Duty to Take Final Action on the Interstate Transport Portion of the State of Nevada's 2008 Ozone SIP Submittal

- All allegations in paragraphs 1 to 15 of this Complaint are incorporated into this 16. Claim for Relief as if they were set forth fully herein.
- The CAA required Defendant to take final action on the Interstate Transport 17. portion of the State of Nevada's 2008 Ozone SIP Submittal by no later than October 10, 2014.
- 18. Defendant failed to perform that duty by said deadline and Defendant continues to fail to perform that duty.

		10
	7	11
reel	1471	12
son St	8970	13
1 Cars	Z.	14
North	ı City	15
100	arson	16
	J	17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19.	The	CAA	permits	any	person,	which	includes	а	state,	to	bring	an	action	for
injunctive	relief to	com	pel Defe	enda	nt to pe	rform	the afore	sai	d none	disc	cretion	ary	duty.	42
U.S.C. § 7	7604.													

- 20. Plaintiffs have satisfied the prerequisites to suit set forth in the CAA as set forth above.
- 21. For all of the foregoing reasons, Defendant is in violation of the nondiscretionary duty imposed by 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) and (k)(3) and Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaration of such violation under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and injunctive relief compelling Defendant to perform her duty under 42 U.S.C. § 7604.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the State of Nevada respectfully requests that the Court:

- Declare that the Administrator is in violation of the CAA with regard to her failure 1. to perform the mandatory duties as established above;
- Issue a mandatory injunction requiring the Administrator to perform her 2. mandatory duties by a certain date set by the Court;
 - Retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of enforcing the Court's order; 3.
- Grant Plaintiffs their reasonable costs of litigation, including attorneys' and 4. expert witness fees; and
 - 5. Grant such further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated this 31st day of July, 2015.

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Nevada Attorney General

By:

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, State of Nevada

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

111

111

of Nevada's state implementation plan ("SIP") submittal pertaining to significant contribution to nonattainment or interference with maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards ("NAAQS") for interstate transport, an element that the State is required to address pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I). In particular, EPA is deferring action on the interstate transport provisions for the 2008 ozone 8-hour standard. On April 10, 2013, the NDEP submitted Nevada's SIP to the EPA addressing the 2008 ozone NAAQS. The CAA requires the EPA to determine whether a state's SIP submittal is complete within six months of submission. 42 U.S.C § 7410(k)(1)(B). The EPA failed to do so and, as a result, Nevada's SIP submittal became complete by operation of law on October 10, 2013. Id. The CAA then establishes a nondiscretionary duty for the EPA to take final action approving, partially approving, or disapproving Nevada's SIP submittal no later than twelve (12) months from the date the plan was deemed complete by operation of law. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2). In this case, the deadline for EPA to approve, partially approve, or disapprove Nevada's ozone SIP submittal expired October 10, 2014. The EPA failed to meet this deadline. On May 20, 2015, the EPA proposed to partially approve and partially disapprove Nevada's SIP submittal as meeting the requirements of the CAA for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of the 2008 ozone, 2010 nitrogen dioxide, and 2010 sulfur dioxide NAAQS. See 80 Fed. Reg. 28893 (May 20, 2015). However, with regards to interstate transport, the EPA took "no action on Interstate Transport - significant contribution to nonattainment for NDEP, Clark County and Washoe County on the Ozone . . . NAAQS." Id. at 28898. The Administrator has significantly failed to meet the 12-month statutory deadline for taking final action on the interstate transport portion of the Nevada's ozone SIP, thereby violating her nondiscretionary duties under the CAA and harming the State of Nevada, which must implement the 2008 ozone NAAQS and its SIP. 111

2

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This is an action to compel the Administrator to perform actions or duties under the CAA that are nondiscretionary. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a). This Court also has jurisdiction because the claim arises under the laws of the United States, namely the CAA, and because the United States is a defendant. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1346.
- 3. On February 18, 2015, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(b)(2), the State of Nevada and NDEP provided the Administrator with written notice of Nevada's intent to sue EPA for failure to act on Nevada's 2008 Ozone NAAQS SIP submittal as required by 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2). More than 60 days have elapsed since the State of Nevada and NDEP gave such notice and the Administrator has continued her failure to perform such nondiscretionary duties.
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because:

 a) Plaintiffs, State of Nevada and NDEP, reside in the district; b) the district is one in which

 Defendant performs its official duties; and c) a substantial part of the events and omissions

 giving rise to the claim has occurred and is occurring in this district.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, State of Nevada, is a sovereign state. Plaintiffs are also a "person" as that term is used in 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a). *Id.* § 7602(d)–(e).
- 6. Defendant Regina McCarthy is the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency. In that role, Administrator McCarthy has been charged by Congress with the duty to administer the CAA, including the mandatory duties at issue in this case.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 7. The CAA requires the EPA to promulgate NAAQS for certain pollutants in the ambient air which are known as criteria pollutants, such as ozone. 42 U.S.C. § 7408(a)(1).
- 8. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(1), states must make SIP submittals "within 3 years (or such shorter period as the Administrator may prescribe) after the promulgation of a